

# ATHEISM AND CRITICISM

Dr. Aydin Topaloglu

Üsküdar 1998

E-mail: [aydin.topaloglu@isam.org.tr](mailto:aydin.topaloglu@isam.org.tr)

Republic of Turkey Prime Ministry Directorate of Religious Affairs

( [http://www.divanet.gov.tr/turkish/web\\_kitap.asp?id=493&vid=6](http://www.divanet.gov.tr/turkish/web_kitap.asp?id=493&vid=6) )

## ATHISM AND ITS CRITICISM

The subject of believing or not believing in God's existence is humanity

It is one of the oldest and most controversial problems in its history.

Almost everyone has somehow dealt with this issue and

has reached a certain opinion. Today, this issue

it stands before us with all its charm and mobility.

## Internal olds

> O NS O Z

> 1. Definition of Atheism

> 2. Varieties of Atheism

> 3. Misuse of the term Atheism

> 4. History of Atheism

> Prevalence of the Concept of God

> 1. Claims of Atheism

> 2. Scientificness and God Faith

> 3. Rationality and God Faith

> 4. rationale and Ğnanc of God

> 5. Moral Freedom and God Faith

> 1. Evidence Problem

> 2. Evidence of God's Presence

> 1. Modern Prejudices

- > **1. Comte's Positivism**
- > **2. Anthropological Atheism of Feuerbach**
- > **3. Socio-political atheism of Karl Marx**
- > **4. Freud's psychoanalytic atheism**
- > **5. Nietzsche and Sartre's existentialist atheism**
- > **1. Scientific (Materialist) Atheism Imposition**
- > **2. Scientific Distortion of Atheism and Islam universality**
- > **G) ATHISM AND THE TRUTH OF ISLAM**
- > **2. Atheism's Approach to Islam**
- > **3. Atheism Against Islam**
- > **ENDLESS**
- > **REFERENCES**
- > **NOTES**

---

## Page 3

# PREAMBLE

The subject of believing or not believing in God's existence is the oldest and most controversial in human history. is one of the problems. Almost everyone has somehow dealt with this issue and in their opinion, reached. Today, this issue stands before us with all its charm and mobility.

In the history of thought, two opposing traditions have been formed on the subject.

The first and most common of these is theism. The other one is more than the first it is atheism that is not accepted but is effective in some circles. Theism is that God exists advocates and this God's transcendence, creativity, personality, goodness, eternity, eternity, etc.

It is the name of the philosophical school that claims to be adjectives. This school is divine by source and

three great religions that believe that the universe was created by God, namely Judaism, Christianity

and is an understanding that expresses and defends the concept of Islam in God in a philosophical style.

Atheism, on the other hand, rejects all the concepts of God, especially divine.

it is a philosophical school that believes that the universe is not created either.

There have been serious discussions among the schools in question, for this purpose, the volumes are full of

books were written. Both theists and atheists criticize each other and make their claims.

They made an effort to refute it. These discussions are generally theoretical and practical.

It has two dimensions. Philosophical issues at the core of theoretical discussions

There. In practical discussions, rather, ideological aims come to the fore.

Seen.

At the core of the controversy between those who believe in God's existence and those who do not,

There are no issues such as why or not to believe. Already this and so on

Nobody has the right to question or judge anyone in matters. Because everybody

Whether positive or negative, it is in its own faith. This situation is promised for both sides

It is concerned. So what comes up and discussed is not an acceptance of a certain belief.

are the rationales and intellectual infrastructure behind the belief in question.

People who believe in God are entitled to the existence of the entire universe, especially their own lives.

They have made systematic explanations regarding the issue. Theoretical about their beliefs

They put forward logical, consistent, rational and moral justifications. Atheists, whatever did not put up a systematic thought and a comprehensive view of human existence Although they could not bring the angle, they put forward some theses about God and religious beliefs.

They made some claims about. Philosophical that can be taken into consideration as criticisms are found, in ideological assumptions that contain many inconsistencies is located.

Atheists, instead of putting together consistent ideas and trying to persuade people, they were reactive and only tried to criticize the other party's claims.

Especially in our century, these reactions have turned into practically disruptive attitudes and no rules

He did not recognize. In most criticisms, the required criteria were violated as much as possible,

Irrational and biased attitudes were exhibited.

In this work titled "Atheism and Its Criticism", atheism has been handled from various aspects.

discussed. After presenting the problem at the beginning of the study, various its dimensions were mentioned and the falsehood of atheism's claims was pointed out. the problem

---

## Page 4

opposed between ideological obsessions and religious beliefs

Emphasis is on. In this study, many thinkers, mostly atheists,

It was benefited. Also entitled "The Problem of Atheism in Contemporary British Philosophy"

My unpublished doctoral dissertation was also used.

In the preparation of this work, the first one who did not withhold his help. Dr. Mehmet S. Aydin

to my dear teachers and friends, TDV Center for Islamic Studies

Religious Affairs of the Republic of Turkey Religious Affairs Department

Thanks to the Head Office, the work will be useful to everyone interested

I hope.

Dr. Aydin Topaloglu

Üsküdar 1998

Email: aydin.topaloglu@isam.org.tr

# 1. Definition of Atheism

The term atheism is primarily a philosophical concept and expresses a reactive thought against the belief of God. is the name of the worldview. Although it is not very common in history, it has existed since ancient times. and it is an important problem raised by some philosophers. In the first half of our century, never in history is a stream of thought that has become more widespread than ever before and finds supporters. Today, however, it is an ideological attitude that diverges and loses its intellectual basis one by one.

The word atheism derives from "Theos", which means "God" in Greek. This which means "having faith in God" or "believing in God"

understanding of theism has emerged. The word atheism is at the beginning of the English word "theism"

"a" is prefixed and it means "in God" in Turkish. (1)

While the subject is used in this work, although the terms of disbelief or disbelief are used, Since the word became widespread in our language, it was preferred to use the word atheism exactly.

The concept of atheism not only expresses a philosophical point of view but also a certain language in everyday language.

expresses lifestyle and behavior. Indeed, a similar language in everyday language

There are words that express or imply thought. For example, in our culture Words such as "disbelief" or "denial" are also used in exchange for this term. also this word in our religious literature, such as "infidels, polytheists, zuts" and especially "intellectuals"

(2) This means that the problem has a practical dimension and that even ordinary people are not alien to such a way of thinking and belief. reveals.

Defining atheism as a philosophical problem is as easy as understanding this term.

It is not. There are several reasons for this. Among them, there are many

The concept of God, the understanding of religion and the philosophical approach to the belief in God.

---

## Page 5

However, there are atheistic currents that are different from each other. So there is a clear It will not be possible to talk about the definition of atheism or the form of theism.

The definition and understanding of atheism as a concept is primarily related to the

it will be possible by knowing what your faith is. Because any divine

faith (idolatry, totemism, paganism, etc.) or religious (Buddhism, Shintoism,

Refusing tribal beliefs, etc.) in Africa will not necessarily mean atheism.

Again, in order to define atheism, prophecy related to the belief in God in divine religions.

and hereafter beliefs will have to be taken into account. The reason for this is

both atheism as a concept and as a thought,

It is addictive. Because if there was no such belief, there would not be atheism anyway.

As is known, there is more than one understanding of God in the world. Even divine

Besides religions, even the same sect or school has different interpretations in itself.

appears to be. From this point of view, any faith or religion of God

It is understood that it does not reflect divine religions (in a sense, there is no theism). This

In this case, it is not possible to talk about a uniform religious belief in the world.

Likewise, if we consider atheism as a disbelief in a broad sense,

we see that there is no kind of disbelief. At least shape, method, rationale and purpose

We will find that some disbeliefs differ from each other. because of

Atheism should not come to mind immediately when it comes to belief. For example, most people have faith

and although they belong to one religion, they reject other religions. Others are the same

behaving in a way, just by defending their own understanding, their beliefs in the opposite

they try to falsify. It is most obvious that Judaism, Christianity and

Islam is encountered.

Christians Islam (the concept of God revealed by Islam) and Hz.

While rejecting Muhammad, Muslims also delivered Christians, incarnations and fundamental crime.

and reject their beliefs like Hz. That Jesus is only a prophet and a human

They are noted. However, the Jews believed that God made the Israelites miserable, and

so while Muslims say they have their own God, all Muslims are equal

whatever he created, whatever color, language and culture he embraces,

They stated that. As can be seen from the source they believe in the same God

Even the members of the religions in question are separated among themselves and

They do not accept the interpretation of God.

Thinkers who believe in God even though they are not religious in the history of philosophy

There. However, it is very common today, especially in the Western world.

There are many who do not believe in God although they are apparently religious. This situation that there are some difficulties in defining both theism and atheism shows.

The ideological ideology of atheism in some parts of the world is also about definition. has caused a different problem. For example, politically essentially materialist and socialist In structures, atheism is a way of life that should be accepted to people.

---

## Page 6

It is presented. Here too, understanding of atheism independently of ideologies has difficulty.

Atheism is basically God of three major divine religions such as Judaism, Christianity and Islam.

chooses its understanding as a target for itself. If the comment differences are left aside, this According to the religions, God is a transcendent being who is essentially eternal and eternal, possessing will and personality.

This being, which does not need any reason for its existence, is not material and appears It is beyond the realm. The power of this being, whose objects are capable of being made out of nothing,

He is able to do everything in terms of being God. He also created the universe he created and It also shapes, regulates and operates laws in its assets.

It is determined. In a sense, thanks to these laws,

(3) Within the framework of this definition, people who believe in the existence of God and believe in this

The person who expresses it is called believer. To the person who does not believe in the concept of such a God

It is called atheist. In a sense, the atheist, as the divine religions express, before or after, which is transcendental, creates the universe and determines its laws, will and who have personality, who has the power to do, know and see everything, He is the person who wishes for his good and does not believe in a being who gives them life. In an other saying

both the level of thought and the daily life of the atheist.

the person who refuses, however, is the one who does not accept the prophet and hereafter beliefs.

The attributes attributed to God by religions are sometimes from environment to environment It can vary. Some of the Jewish and Christian thinkers, in particular,

Although adhering to the qualifications, sometimes the acceptance of others (Muslims) they interpret Him in a way that they cannot. For example, the Jews only

And the Christians see God as a national God.

on the other hand, they portray them as Father, on the other hand,

Muslims opposed their interpretation as they were born.

Among the reasons for Muslims to oppose these understandings, both matters such as the tradition breaking away from the core, changing the original, and getting out of mind and logic

There. An atheist, despite everything, is openly against these religions and understanding of God.

It usually exists all three without considering their differences.

Although philosophical atheism emerging in the Western world is a transcendent being or

Although it is thought to have emerged as a reaction against the creator,

There are also great interpretations of Christianity and church teachings.

He played a role. As a matter of fact, many elements that Islam opposes about Christianity These are included in it. The subject of criticism for atheists and perhaps religion A large part of these beliefs that cause rupture is also carried out by Muslims. Is denied. (4)

## 2. Types of Atheism

Atheists who do not accept the belief in God, like religious people, were divided into different groups among themselves or they at least interpreted atheism differently if they reached the same conclusion. So from a single definition of atheism

---

### Page 7

it would not be correct to talk about it. Based on the atheists' own views, albeit with their main lines. so we can group them.

#### a. Absolute Atheism

According to some atheists, "atheism" is more than just rejecting God, but has the idea of God in mind.

means not to be. According to this understanding, since Human does not have the concept of innate God

he has nothing to refuse. This kind of atheism is an absolute atheism

It was defined and its supporters were called absolute atheists. (5)

between

Baron

D 'Holbach

(1723-1789) and Charles Bradlaugh

düşünürlerbulun is.

There have been many debates about absolute atheism. People are innate believers almost all people, even though some claim to be denied

It is stated that he has a creative idea in his mind and heart. Also happy and healthy

It is absolutely necessary for the atheist who denies God in his days to take refuge in her troubled times

It has been proposed as an example of the impossibility of atheism. About these discussions detailed information will be given later in our study.

#### b. Theoretical Atheism

Atheism is somewhat different from the first approach, "to reject God's existence."

It is also defined. In fact, when it comes to atheism, this definition comes to mind. Important in philosophy

this is the form of atheism that is heavily criticized for the belief in God. So thinking to reject God's existence and refute related claims by arguing in a mental effort.

It is to work. In line with this understanding, also called theoretical atheism, the claims of religious people and

The evidence they brought in favor of God's existence has been the subject of criticism. counter-theses have been proposed to refute their existence.

In theoretical atheism, the existence of God was not only denied, but about this concept. miracle, revelation, prophecy, holy book, immortality and hereafter

Beliefs like his life were criticized and rejected. Also, in this kind of atheism only

the concept of theistic God is not targeted, as well as mystical, mythological, transcendental (transcendent) or anthropomorphic insights, like pantheism and deism, somehow to the belief in God

The other schools that were included were also rejected.

Atheism is defined as "the rejection of the existence of God" rather

It was made by religious people. Because for them, atheism is a reaction against religion. (6)

According to religious people, God already exists. His existence is undoubtedly accepted.

It is impossible to consider its absence. When this is the case, doubt in its existence

atheists deliberately rejected God who was not there.

According to those who believe in the existence of God and describe atheism as above, atheist It has to explain and base on why he does not believe. Otherwise he is born in an attitude, he would have denied God's existence unjustly. Besides, in favor of atheism Many of the justifications put forward are products of a reaction according to believers. it contains some contradictions and inconsistencies.

---

## Page 8

Despite everything, atheists have not only rejected God, but of course some the reasons were advanced. Besides, people who believe in favor of the existence of God. They tried to criticize the evidence they expressed. So serious in the history of thought and colorful discussions have been put forward. An important part of these reasons we will try to address it in the following sections.

However, it seems that atheists in these discussions are only defensive and the thesis of the other party.

he remained in a state of denial. In other words, their own strong theses in discussions They are not time. A lot of evidence in the hands of theists requiring them to believe There. In addition, a person's daily life around him knowledge of life also leads to the belief in God. So there is evidence looking at and without prejudice it is hard to deny God's existence it seems to be even impossible.

Of course, to bring some criticism to the evidence in question about the existence of God. it was possible. However, criticizing the evidence or pointing to their weak points There are big differences between the fact that the evidence and the main idea of that evidence can be refuted.

### c. Practical Atheism

Atheism is somewhat different from the above definitions and sometimes "as if there was no God

living "or" not putting God into daily life. "

called practical atheism. In this type of atheism, the person is more likely to be in his daily life.

and a world without God and a life without God, with his behavior, lifestyle, principles and habits.

He wants to establish life. Besides, the smallest thing about God not thinking, to keep himself away from religion, worship and related ceremonies.

It is working. In the practical understanding of atheism, the rejection of God with theoretical discussions is the second

remains in the plan. (7)

Practical atheists are divided into two as active and passive. Passive those who reject the existence of God, but with religious beliefs or religious people who do not have problems, however, live in their own world and are closed to them are people.

And those who are active, believe in God both in their minds and in their daily lives. rejecting all kinds of ideas, symbols and behaviors that remind God

are those who fight against them. Such atheists should always fight religious people, they have aimed at disarming people. So these people sometimes

they are also called militant or activist atheists. LA among its representatives in philosophy Feuerbach (1804-1872), F. Nietzsche (1844-1900), S. Freud (1856-1940) and K. Marx

(1818-1883) there are also famous thinkers. (8) These philosophers are theoretically

Not only did it try to refute God's belief, but also a practically faithless society

They also dreamed.

Practically, it is quite unbelievable that a person tries to live without religion.

It is difficult. However, atheism has been removed from being a problem of belief in our century, it is destructive and moral

It has been turned into an instrument of non-ideologies. So, in a sense, people to listen to someone else without religion or for moral values for the sake of some hollow principles.

---

## Page 9

forced to leave. Thus, the opportunity and ground for the realization of practical atheism It was prepared. So practical atheism is the product of an enforcement and an ideology. has emerged. Though behind this coercion is far from the love of the church and human although some religious conceptions have unimaginable impositions on people, the result is As of themselves, they have fallen into a bigger mistake and are against human nature. they exhibited behavior.

The vast majority of people suffering from this type of atheism are alone or thinking calmly and logically when they are freed from the impact of destructive ideologies began. By listening to the sound coming from their conscience, some meaningless obsessions and

They ended their escape. He started to look at life differently, his universe, his life, they started to watch nature and the world of living things with another eye.

D. Atheism of the Indifferent

Some thinkers do this without discussing the existence or absence of God.

He preferred to stay away from issues. Both issues are equally meaningless.

They preferred to remain indifferent to the subject, arguing that they were. According to such atheists, human beings

it should not be interested in anything beyond the realm that seems to be content with what is just. because of

in a positive or negative judgment about any asset beyond the world

Trying to find or speak will be a meaningless job. God 's

Those who claim their existence and those who try to prove their absence are also mistaken.

Because they both entered into discussions outside the physical realm and talked about empty things.

Those who think in this thought may not call themselves atheists in the classical sense.

They have avoided. However, although they do not see themselves as atheists, these people

It is also difficult to say. Therefore, being indifferent to God's existence is a

it would be like rejecting it in a sense. Because it is an accepted or believed

they do not see it as an asset. Very effective especially in Europe in the first half of our century

The school of logical positivism, which is a major role in strengthening this kind of understanding

played. (9)

However, the shallowness and inadequacy of this understanding immediately catches the eye.

Inherent in human

it has the power of thinking and reasoning. These forces are limited only to the physical realm.

not seeing it, going further, before, present and after being

Think. Again, thanks to these forces, the human realm is not just material,

he believes that life does not seem so simple and meaningless. Not many people

most of them dive into some thoughts and make evaluations about their existence.

They are located.

Now, ignoring all this and practicing people against the most vital issues

It would be ridiculous to call upon to be indifferent. In any case, both in theory and in practice

It is certain that it will be impossible. Some people dive into the struggles of daily life

consuming life and being indifferent to religious beliefs by staying away from some values,

it will be their misfortune to live indifferently. However, this situation also

Likewise, it cannot dispatch to act as well as anyone on being.

cannot stop thinking.

---

## Page 10

to. Ideological (Materialist) Atheism

Atheism, which is essentially a philosophical problem, is sometimes advocated as an ideological principle.

and has become a political acceptance. Especially Karl Marx, F. Engels (1820-1895) and VI

Atheism in socialist governments established based on the views of Lenin (1870-1924)

it was used as a propaganda tool for communist parties. In the former Soviet Union and

atheism is still an integral part of the Marxist and Leninist worldview in some countries.

and it was presented as "scientific atheism".

In countries where communism is ruled by materialism as absolute truth

Atheism is said to be based on scientific (materialist) foundations, and religion is social (internal).

It was stated to be seen as an event. In this respect, scientific atheism is

(philosophical) separated from atheism, and that (ie, Marxist and non-materialist) bourgeois

He described atheism as criticizing his concepts and style. In this framework,

all religious beliefs, institutions, worship, ceremonies, habits, customs and traditions are strongly

it was rejected and banned. (10)

As you might guess, scientific atheism tried to break religion from the outside, not from inside.

and while doing this, by putting it in a number of patterns, it explains with reductive approaches.

got up and scribbled in an ideological manner. So in the name of science and philosophy

ideological and political goals are targeted. However, as a result, scientific atheism can

Despite ideological support, he could not escape the questioning of man and seriously criticize

It has undergone. As a matter of fact, those who made this criticism have experienced this kind of atheism for years and

there were those who were propaganda for them. Towards the end of the study related to scientific atheism

By giving extensive information, the negativities will be tried to be exhibited.

As we have outlined so far, atheism has been understood in various ways and

It has been identified. Atheism, sometimes referred to as disbelief or goddess, sometimes

the absence of the idea of God in the mind (absolute atheism), sometimes a conscious existence of God

rejection (theoretical atheism), sometimes living as if there was no God (practical

atheism), sometimes being indifferent to debates on the existence of God (atheism of the unrelated), sometimes

emerged as an ideological (materialist) form of acceptance (scientific atheism).

Very serious criticisms have been brought by believers in each of these definitions.

The thoughts of atheists, especially in the philosophical dimension, were questioned and their ideas refuted. Promise

it has been suggested that a person who comes is not believing completely, the existence of God

It was stated that refusal was not so easy. What reveals people who reject religion and the question of how far a person can remain uninterested in God.

It will be possible for a person to see himself as an atheist. But as it is one's foundation of atheism and convincing explanations that anyone can accept it won't be easy to bring.

All these debates, of course, believe in God's existence or not.

it was meaningful for people who saw it as a problem of belief. Otherwise, before the statement

For those who choose atheism as an ideology or a denial trend, discussions will have no significance. Because such a person is out of his own opinion he will reject everything at the beginning and will not consider the exchange of ideas with the other party.

---

## Page 11

However, since the world was founded, many anti-religious ideologies have come and gone. while religion (belief in oneness) exists and will go on like this forever

There is no doubt.

### 3. Misuse of the term Atheism

The term atheism has been mixed with different concepts for different reasons or has been used incorrectly. Its the biggest reason is that schools or individuals do not expose themselves clearly or some it is the ignorance of people about them. Understanding that atheism is often confused with it as a school philosophical currents such as deism, pantheism or agnosticism, as well as some religious understanding There.

Whether or not a person is an atheist is not so decisive. Prior to

It is necessary to know what atheism is. Then a man himself as an atheist

It should be investigated whether he saw it or not. Also, the idea that person or his adoption is relationship should also be thoroughly examined. After all, it is healthy to use the term it will be possible to draw a conclusion.

The biggest factor in the misuse of the term atheism is the term

is used in the form of accusation of another. Although some people are not atheists they were accused of unbelief on various grounds. To such a problem

It was frequently found especially in Medieval Europe. Many people at that time were churches

and accused of denial and punished. Among them, too

many scientists are involved. However, this was not the case for all religions.

On the other hand, some people keep the boundaries of the concept of belief wide and nobody outside

to see believers and to show belief even those who claim to be atheists

It worked.

There may be some theoretical and practical reasons for atheist accusation. Among them

Having different interpretations about God, breaking away from traditional understanding, existing local

expressing contrary to beliefs, or against the current values and principles of society

There may be factors such as falling. Socrates and ancient Egypt in history

There are two important examples such as Akhenatan, one of the kings. These people are the earthly gods (pagans) of the society in which they live, their current religious symbols and accused of being an atheist for not adopting his figures and judged

They were killed. (11)

The first Christians and again the first Muslims were the sacred beings (idols) of the current society.

They were charged as atheists for their refusal. These people are they were accused of leaving and believing and

they were forced to accept their old habits. After these charges, they too from the temples of the society they belong to, tribal ceremonies and idols kept them away from the sculptures they call, they have to withdraw from social life for a while

(12) Despite these accusations, although they deny idols, everything

They stated that they believed in the creative, true God who was beyond.

---

## Page 12

The first Muslims rejected the sacred idols in the Kaaba, they did not see it as worthy assets. In addition, magic, magic and fortune telling are common in the society.

They did not accept such beliefs as belief. In the face of this situation, the idols they made the Mecca polytheists, who worshiped and sacrificed victims, they accused of leaving and did not leave them alone. The pagans for a long time are one God struggling to adopt his belief, joining God in common (other than God they do not give up their habits).

As it is seen, the accusation of a person as an atheist has changed from environment to environment,

sometimes believers and sometimes believers faced this accusation and suffered.

In our century, especially in places where disbelief is prevalent and dominated as ideology

The opposite of the above situation has been the case. Contrary to what happened in the past, and it is difficult because many people believe or are shown to be God

He remained in a state.

XIX. XX from the end of the century. In a period dating back to the last quarter of the century atheism has been removed from being an intellectual problem by some ideologies and a political issue.

was made. In this case, some people are just

defined as an atheist based on the ideology they belong to or propaganda

In order to consciously try to be shown so. Especially the dominance of Marxism

Thousands of people have faced this situation.

Socialists struggling against capitalism have atheism and atheism, their struggle.

they saw it as part of it, and where they realized the revolution,

They are directed. Therefore, any religion in these movements

thousands of believers (Jews, Christians or Muslims) as atheists with artificial statistics

It is shown. What these people really are, what they think and what they believe

Is the unasked. Again, when they are left alone, they are independent of ideologies.

the decisions they will make in the same way are also not taken into consideration.

After all, the claim that people who do not reject the belief in God with an intellectual effort are atheists

is a serious matter of debate. Because the acceptance or rejection of the belief in God is in a free environment

is something to do with a personal preference.

While the term atheism is sometimes misused, it is sometimes confused with other schools.

For example, as a result of keeping the boundaries of atheism wide, besides the pantheist thinkers, sometimes

agnostic (unknown) and deist (believing God but refusing revelation)

It was evaluated as unbelief. However, these are quite different from each other. False to give brief information about the schools in question so as not to allow understanding; and I find it useful to explain their relationship with atheism:

Deism, sometimes confused with atheism, as an understanding of God whose existence is known by reason

It has been identified. XVII. and XVIII. in Europe for centuries, "there is beyond the universe to accept a God who is but to oppose the revelation and prophecy as well."

started to be known. In our culture, these and similar thoughts are somehow defended and found supporters.

---

## Page 13

Since at the root of "deus", which means God in Latin, originated from atheism.

This name, which was used as an anti, was later used as a reaction to Christianity. demonstrated, while maintaining faith in God, violent reaction to the attitude of the church symbol of opposing religious values such as revelation, prophecy and miracle

(13) Beyond the universe, however, living and interfering with the world

deism with the understanding of God that does not contradict traditional religion (church and clergy)

although it was not considered atheism. Because they themselves are they had faith and did not accept atheism.

Pantheism, on the other hand, claimed that God-universe identity is separate from the universe.

(14) According to Pantheism, God is not the transcendental cause of the universe. Already As in theism between the God and the universe, there is a relationship between creativity and the universe.

It is not. With these views, pantheists are some people, whether in the West or in the East. They were accused of atheism by.

In Islamic mysticism, the term pantheist was used for some mystical thinkers.

Sometimes, the tendency to see pantheists as atheists has also been in question for them. their beliefs were viewed with suspicion. However, they do not reject the belief in God.

it would not be right to see the pantheists as atheists. (15) Because they are they do not deny their existence but only interpret their nature differently.

Some thinkers have accepted neither God nor belief. Themselves

between believing and not believing, seeing somewhere in the middle and being agnostic defining these thinkers, a positive or negative judgment about the existence of God

(16) However, these people were often called atheists, even if they were wrong.

According to the agnostics, the question of God's existence is beyond the human mind. HE it is also impossible to talk about or make judgments about such an entity. because of

agnostics preferred to remain neutral to the belief in God. But this is their

its neutrality was sometimes evaluated as atheism. Although some agnostics

although their attitudes and lives give the impression that they are atheists, some of them

He stated that they were not philosophically atheists. For example, the famous known as an atheist

Bertrand Russell (1872-1970), one of the thinkers, is philosophically self-agnostic identified. Because, according to him, he will prove the absence of God despite everything.

There is no sufficient evidence. (17)

As can be seen, agnosticism and atheism are different schools. Religious refusal

it does not indicate that both are on the same line. Agnostics reject the belief in God

he also rejected atheism equally. Although undecided before religion and

Although they were accused of being a secret atheist because of their neutral attitudes, they they opposed. They have already said that they are different from atheists. (18)

## 4. History of Atheism

---

### Page 14

Although the history of atheism is not as much as the belief in God, it goes far back. However, the history is very old this form of atheism that goes is not like the criticism of religious beliefs that we understand today. Because atheism is primarily a kind of reaction to the belief in God. So atheism has belief in God and this it is more likely to appear where faith is expressed.

Whether broadly "disbelief to any understanding of God"

whether it is considered as a "rejection of theism" in a philosophical sense, the history of atheism

To be Ancient (Ancient period), New Age and modern period with the main lines of thought history

It is possible to handle in three phases. Besides this classification, from the holy books and According to the information we received from the words of the prophets, we also learn that some people are unbelievers and fight religion. This people strongly opposed the belief in God, most of them went forward in immorality, they did not accept the warnings of the prophets.

Epicurists in antiquity, where atheism was widely regarded as disbelief, skeptics and Athenian sophists were the first highlights. Again in this period Epicurus (341-270 BC), with the ideas of Lucretius (94-55 BC) and Democritus (460-370 BC). Greek atomism or classical materialism also formed an important role in disbelief. She has played. As is known, materialism does not create matter, before thinking He claimed that he was coming and nothing existed from nothing. Besides, a supernatural He also denied the existence of power (God). (19) Materialism has been It continued, albeit in forms, and continued its influence.

In some circles, atheism came before the belief in God and

It has been suggested that all thinkers in antiquity were also unbelievers. Especially These assumptions by materialist atheists do not reflect the truth.

The history of materialism surely goes far behind. Indeed, the names mentioned above philosophers are the most famous of them. But every philosopher materialist in history as well as the number of materialists, all philosophers consider it is very limited. Besides, atheist in the past or present

There are many who do not see themselves as materialists.

We can accept materialism as the atheism of the ancient period. But as stated

They were not materialist in the ancient period and therefore cannot be called atheists.

There are also thinkers. Besides, everyone who works in nature is atheist

it is not possible to see. Grown in the period before Socrates (470-399 BC) and present Xenophanes, Heraclitus, who are described as true philosophers by some circles in comparison.

Parallels with the concept of God in the ideas of thinkers such as Empedocles and Anaxagoras

There are many points that offer. (20) When we look at the thoughts of these philosophers the abstract they accepted beyond the material world and explained the whole being with it we come across a concept. Therefore, for doing studies related to nature,

To see the ancient period entirely atheist and this misconception for the sake of ideological purposes

repeating in various ways would be wrong.

It is evident in the Middle Ages that monotheism (monotheism) feels heavy.

there was no belief. Although in this period, in the Christian world,

Although there is a deep reaction and hatred against church teachings, they are

could not escape and could not be exposed due to pressures. Vanini (1585-1619) and Bruno

---

**Page 15**

(1548-1600) people who spoke against the church were also tried in this period. Already Religion that emerged with the enlightenment period and became more evident in the modern period

The inhumanity of the church in the name of God in the Middle Ages on the basis of its hostility

applications played a big role.

In the Islamic world, atheism is very common and effective in the sense we understand today. It is not. However, when it comes to atheism, two names come to mind in the history of Islam: Yahya b. Ishak er-Rāvendî (H. 205-245) and Abu Bakr Muhammed ibn Zekeriyya er-Râzî (865-932). These thinkers criticized religious beliefs such as revelation, prophecy and miracle, and

They said things contrary to tradition. Although there is no precise information about Ravendi looking at the ideas that are attributed to him together, he is the naturalist and materialist, his divine wisdom

it is understood that he refused and did not believe in the Qur'an. However, this and similar views

It belongs to Abu Isa al-Varrak, who was his teacher rather than Ravendi, and he was not an atheist.

although it has been stated that it adopts deism. (21)

Râzi, who is famous as an atheist, is the founder of the "Natural Philosophy" in the Islamic world.

It is counted. However, although he is known as an atheist (intellectual) in his philosophical system,

God includes God and sees it as one of the five eternal principles — other principles Spirit, Matter,

Space, and Time-known.

Exact information on whether Razi is definitely an atheist, like Ravendi

There is. However, struggling with religious institutions, especially the concept of prophecy

It is clear that they do. In the Islamic world, besides these thinkers, the same with atheists from time to time

There were also people who were put on the line, called *deferî* and *zındık*. (22)

Two main reasons for the prevalence of philosophical atheism in the Middle Ages

There. The first is the pressure of the church, as briefly mentioned above.

The second and most important reason is an intellectual gap in which atheism can emerge. is not found. In the Middle Ages, religious thought was at its peak and by a wide variety of thinkers.

It was expressed and logically grounded. So only in that period

of atheists, not because of pressures, but because of the powerful schools of thought.

it was observed that they remained in an intellectual level in minority.

Some people have no materialist thought, Ibn Sina (980-

1037), philosophers such as Fârâbî (870-950), Harizmî (d. 847), Bîrûnî, İbn Rüşd (1126-1198).

deliberate their ideas and their work on positive sciences such as medicine, geography and chemistry.

They tried to make it appear as if they were against religion. Whereas this and so on think, let alone be an enemy to religion, but rather to reveal its philosophical foundations they became working people. In a sense, they spread Islamic culture and

They worked with all their might to be a civilization. For these philosophers  
The general aim of philosophy is not irreligion, contrary to the claims, but to reach the  
knowledge of God and  
It is to prove his existence. Then from other scholars (theologians, jurists, mystics)  
etc.) the existence of separating ideas is not evidence of their departure from religion, but  
Islam  
the polyphony of the culture, the intellectual tolerance and the importance given to positive  
sciences  
It is the proof. Muslims interested in both religious sciences and positive sciences,  
They stated that their work was an order of religion. This situation in the past

---

## Page 16

as it is today, many thinkers do not think contrast between science and religion.  
clarifies.

XVII. A new understanding emerged with the Renaissance that took place in the 16th century.

In this new era where rationalism is dominant, not only natural sciences

The belief that religious truth can also be based on reason has become widespread. But this

The spread of blood has not taken long, serious belief in the mind that metaphysics can be  
established

criticisms have been brought. These criticisms are mostly caused by materialists, in religion.

It came from some religious people who attached importance to belief rather than reason.

XVIII. centennial

In this framework, a period of skepticism against metaphysics has started.

It was formed.

Some circles in the modern period are arbitrary interpretation of the church and

he used his practices as a step towards atheism and materialism,

they fueled hatred against others. However, it is only a philosophical choice issue at the  
intellectual level.

that atheism is the policy of politics in the modern era and some ideologies

the rulership has led humanity to a different disaster, and religious

It has prepared the ground for greater suffering and suffering than the disasters caused by  
bigotry.

Atheism XIX. It has gained a new character since the 19th century. Scientific by some circles

studies have been seen against religion, there are various researches in positive sciences and

censuses were used to refute religious beliefs. Also modern

in the West between human freedom and the will of God (Church doctrines)

a gap has formed and people have found themselves in this dilemma. In this period

The problem of God, alienation of human self by atheists and loss of freedom

it also seemed to be a basic issue in terms of. (23)

Schopenhauer (1788-1860), Auguste Comte (1798-1857), Feuerbach (1804-1872),

Marx (1818-1883), Nietzsche (1844-1900), Freud (1856-1939), Sartre (1905-1980) and

Philosophers such as Ayer (1910-1989) have been pioneers of atheism in the modern era. This

In the period, all religions in general, and Christianity in particular, were criticized in various  
ways.

It was rejected.

In the modern period, materialism continued to be defended in various ways.

The claims of materialism have been renewed especially in Marxist circles and the name  
scientific atheism

It was defended under. Again in this period, Comte's positivism and other positivist  
movements

also played an active role in disbelief. The most effective weapon of these currents is positive there were sciences (actually scientific assumptions). Namely:  
XVIII. XIX of the late century. Science on various grounds since the middle of the century  
There is a contradiction between religion and religion, and in the name of science, this so-called opposition is always  
It has been renovated. Believing in the absolute science, therefore criticizing religion based on science and  
Atheist thinkers who reject all kinds of beliefs, values that are unscientific in our age and they wanted the approach to be put aside now.  
Nowadays, there are some assumptions that are put forward by religious rejectors. allegations were accepted as if they were verified general laws. Some even  
In the environment, these claims have been turned into ideology dogma,

---

## Page 17

even criticism has not been given. The belief in God and religion are wrong (artificially) accepted, instead of understanding this belief, only about why and how it exists disclosure attempts were made. With a reductionist attitude, these beliefs are positivist. It has been tried to explain by principle or pre-acceptance.  
In the modern period, thinkers who take science as the basis and reject religion, scientifically they aimed at addressing, analyzing and interpreting and everything that is going on in the world.  
They argued that it should be explained by positive science. Besides, your religion is such a they tried to spread their lie against the thought.  
Those who say that religion opposes positive science, the universe to paint people's eyes and consciously distorted the revelations from life. Even their even bringing them to the agenda, defending them in scientific circles  
They banned.  
It is useful to convey the arguments of some thinkers for a better understanding of the subject. I see. However, in the later parts of the study, the ideas in question are more detailed. We will be contented to summarize them here, as we will consider them and discuss them.  
In the modern period, Auguste Comte, with an evolutionary approach, called a "three law law" used the method, the history of which is theological, metaphysical and positivist.  
He wanted to explain the development of humanity by separating it into the period. What humanity is today  
he saw this history as a result of evolution. According to Comte, man has recently been thanks to it will have reached maturity and will leave religion aside. But Comte more in his own life he contradicted his ideas and displayed inconsistent behavior.  
Unfortunately, this determination, which is not valid today, is still taken seriously in some circles.  
and it is accepted without question. However, God stands with all the power of faith and religious beliefs continue their lives in many parts of the world.  
have made it clear that Comte's ideas were wrong.  
Even with the first day human exists, with all its qualities equipped and given all the talents. So in the first years of his existence, he it is not a simple living being or an animal-like creature. It is not to God  
Whether you believe it or not is what many scientists say.  
When this is the case, some positivists appear and say, "the nature of man is  
It was like this, later it developed like this and today it has become like this. "

It will be. Man has been human from the very first day of its existence (created). Thinking from the start,

it has the power to move and feed. Of course, from that day on, oneself and the universe He sought a creator who had arrived. He admired him for the enormous power of God and be thankful. Despite all opposing thoughts, this belief and admiration continues today It is. It will be so in the future.

The thing that changes (develops) over time as claimed by evolutionists  
It is not the nature and belief of man but its experience and knowledge about the world.  
Indeed, this  
there is no end. Every day something new emerges and new things are invented  
It is. However, there are permanent values as well as changing things. These

---

## Page 18

ethical (moral), aesthetic and religious values are among them. Also these are human are also integral features of its nature. Ultimately, according to yesterday or today, the human and the belief in God

to evaluate or to say otherwise will be doomed to failure  
is a situation.

Among the most famous atheists of the modern period are Feuerbach and Marx. God Feuerbach The Essence of trying to explain his belief with an anthropological approach

He described atheism as "a real humanism" in his work Christianity. God that the concept of human mind reflects its own nature to the outside.

He said. According to him, believing in the existence of God, in a sense, his own self. it will be a denial, alienation and impoverishment. (24) Feuerbach's ideas

He was very influential in his life, especially Marx and Freud.  
has profoundly influenced the thinker.

Marx is XIX. century Europe, bourgeoisie and capitalism dominated  
it addressed the role of religion in society. According to Marx, in such a society religion (church) man

by inactivating it. Therefore, according to Marx, socialism must be established. (25)  
Thus, the freedom of people should be ensured socially and politically, so a sense of religion. (26) Marx's ideas are also deep in our century.

it had aroused many people. But economic and social

With the change of the structure, Marx's ideas did not have much weight in practice.

Another pioneer of atheism in the modern period, Freud, believes in God in man.

tried to explain psychological analyzes, the feeling of religion is the oldest, strongest and most He regarded it as his inevitable desire. This feeling is also a childish error (dream)

expressed as. In The Future of an Illusion, God believes in

He suggested that the father was an exalted reflection of his image. (27)

Another atheist Nietzsche, on the other hand, provided a different basis for disbelief, especially He strongly rejected Christianity's understanding of God. All tradition that contains the belief in God

and Nietzsche, who takes a skeptical approach to values, makes God (Jesus) a

did not find it as an asset. "God (Jesus) died. We killed him," with a dramatic style.

With this word, Nietzsche is fully concerned with the concept of God and the beliefs built on this concept.

He wanted to be put aside and aimed for a life without God. (28)

One of the most famous atheists of the modern period was JP Sartre. Man's freedom

Sartre, who claims to be a prisoner, believes that the idea of God is

He claimed that it was a result of his desire to see himself as God. According to Sartre

The only being whose existence precedes its essence is man. After this human being exists, it creates its nature and values and thus defines itself. because of  
According to Sartre, God should not exist in terms of human freedom. Because there is no god  
Sartre (29) who says that no absolute value will be mentioned, existentialism.  
He became one of the most important atheist philosophers of his current.  
Western thinkers emerging with the crises of the Christian world and for Western culture  
They made claims that could be a solution. Nevertheless, a Muslim  
the likelihood of the same problems and the same views in the environment is quite weak.

---

## Page 19

Therefore, we should not confuse them. But the sad thing here is for the West reflection and acceptance of these important and meaningful thoughts in other cultures Trying to get it done. However, besides the unique characteristics of each culture, It has a unique understanding of life and internal dynamics that distinguish itself from other cultures.

The thinkers, whose names I mentioned above, are big marks on people in our century they left and became pioneers of atheism. His ideas have been criticized a lot. It is very important to say that their thoughts continue or are strong today. it will be difficult. Today's people have very different information compared to the past. So hardly has left many things behind. Again, many people who are not in doubt experienced the claim that it was wrong, disbelieving and destructive which is said to be in its own good

He also closely experienced what ideologies cost.  
As long as humanity exists, the diversity in thoughts and beliefs will continue. This future disbelief is in the conditions of the future and in their own discussions. will continue to exist by reshaping. But it seems that he was in the recent past it is possible for atheism to find the arms of science and humanism in the future. Will not. Because in both areas, people have been exposed to serious abuse and suffered memories.

## The Prevalence of the Concept of God

Absolute atheist not only denies the existence of God, but the concept of God in any way is the person who says that he is not. However, strong theses have been put forward that this is not possible and serious discussions were held. During these discussions, some thinkers, whatever Speaking of its impossibility, some thinkers have advocated otherwise. Atheism is possible According to the evidence in the hands of those who say they are not convincing and convincing others is seen to be.

Looking at the ideas of atheists about absolute atheism, many things are generalized. and it is understood that forced comments are used. Indeed, many of these it could not go beyond being reactive thoughts. It seems that a person should be it is not possible for it to be an atheist forever. The mind, heart of man, its sense and moral angels, in short, its nature always leads itself to God. however, it also blocks the disbelief.

Whether they accept it or not, there is the concept of God in the minds of all people. This concept

it takes different forms in different cultures and religions and can take different qualities. Whichever of these is more understandable (acceptable) or not, Having such an idea in all people is at the beginning of the absolute claims of atheism. frustrates.

People who say they don't believe in God have this concept in their minds.

they do not want to give. The vast majority of atheists do not have God saying that there is absolutely chaos in the universe or that the universe is irregular. They did not claim to be a mass heap. So they too are the ones who dominate the universe (or

---

## Page 20

behind the visible order) of a hidden power, cause, energy, cosmic consciousness. They state that there is. After all, even if they don't accept God a principle, power, or explanation that functions as a God in the face of situation and order. They were in search of.

Atheists, especially materialists, theoretically reject the idea of a transcendent God. Together, they adopted the concept of an immanent god. So they are, in a sense, of nature (the universe) saw themselves as God. Infinity, limitlessness, creativity to nature, By attributing qualities such as eternity and eternity, they somehow sanctified him. This too reveals that they have a concept of God, albeit different from religion.

As a result, it prevents the search for God in the minds and subconscious of atheists. they cannot be. Although they reject the notion of theism of God, the non-transcendent (material

they look at an entity through the eyes of God. When carefully examined atheists some qualities between the concepts in their minds and the concept of religion God will not be overlooked.

Most of the people who prefer disbelief by reacting to religion and religious people, in fact, they admit that they accept the existence of a love and loving power. This a large number of people, whether they are in the West or the East, did not find the traditional understanding of religion sufficiently humane and hated it they say.

Wars in the name of religion (sectarian conflicts, crusades), cruelty, excommunications, scaring with hell etc. many people from religion as well as in history and leads them to seek other searches. Here

A fact that is seen is that sometimes people can either see their own passions, their passions, their hatred, their hatred.

performs its hopes and dreams under the guise of religion. But this is also the religion (Islam) it does not mean that it is or affirms similar negativities.

Some people who left religion because of the violence and negativity they saw

It is seen that they are kept full while running. For example, with the death of sick people, God

a member of an atheist ideology that blames, for the sake of its principles, offspring-child, young-old, woman-

against the brutal murder and suffering of millions of people without saying men

it remained silent. The sadder is that some ideologists have

that they are soulless enough to say it is necessary. However, human

when he wants something from the other, he must know where he is and according to him should behave.

People who cool or chill from religion sometimes think of the ideology they have they are shifting towards disbelief with the effect. Ideas expressed after disbelief

on the other hand, this person doesn't have his own ideas, he is the real

what is said (injected) are ideological claims. Indeed, most of them are religious

when you refuse or accuse religious people with evil,

they reacted against. Grow the negativity they have seen in their minds and

by making them monsters, they are a bogeyman that must be abandoned as soon as possible.

They think.

The vast majority of atheists were his mother, father, grandfather, who believed in God. finds the faith and behavior of a grandmother or a loved one to be lovable, rightly he launders them and often states that he respects their personality. Even they want everyone to be like them. This means that atheism is largely utopian and imaginary. shows that it was built on the grounds. Therefore, these examples it is a good indicator that absolute atheism cannot happen.

Naturally, when religion is turned into any political ideology (or sect) those who are opposed to ideology or sect are

They see. However, as can be expected, a large number of these people are absolute. is not an atheist. The majority of these people who say that they believe in love and love They believe in a non-ideological religion and individual religiousness. Even in their loneliness

or when they are alone with their children, the warmth of the love of God in their hearts They say they feel.

Here is another proof that absolute atheism cannot happen. In human

He discovered a logical rule against his experiences. He's a reason for everything is the principle that nothing is found and nothing can be without reason. This is what he got in daily life

experience ultimately takes people beyond the world and the existence of the universe.

causes him to think. This is an undeniable situation. The existence of the universe a person who thinks and begins to imagine how he exists

it is impossible for him not to bring such an option and think about such an option. "How is the universe

I don't think it exists "," There is no concept of God in my mind "or" I think of the idea of God

it never comes ”.

It is not only theists, but traditional religions that absolute disbelief is impossible.

even thinkers who do not believe and are not religious in this regard have expressed. These D. D. Hume (1711-1776), I. Like Kant (1724-1804) and Voltaire (1694-1778)

There are also philosophers. Some of them even opposed religious belief in the history of philosophy.

They are known for their relentless criticism. However, these people's traditional religious with their understanding or criticism of interpretation, as they themselves say,

it is necessary to distinguish between accepting the existence of power.

Although David Hume strongly criticizes concepts such as religion and miracle,

He did not believe in atheism: "The purpose and order in the universe is the most careless and most intelligent person.

Even everywhere is clear enough to attract your attention ...

takes the existence of the creator to acceptance "(30)

In the atheism article of the philosophy dictionary he wrote in Voltaire, Socrates and Italian thinkers like the philosopher Vanini (1583-1619) with unfounded accusations about this injustice

he condemned their killing by suffering, and he did not give absolute possibility of atheism. Voltaire

By linking atheism to the clergy of the clergy and making God look bad,

He stated that the idea of a creative superior being was always in his mind. (31)

Philosophers such as Hume, Voltaire, and Kant ultimately allow absolute atheism.

if they do not know and somehow point to the existence of God, they are more systematic than them.

---

## Page 22

some atheists who do not have serious thoughts  
they need to review and act more cautiously

Although the theistic rejected his understanding of God, he also did not accept atheism.  
the existence of thinkers should not be surprising. Their situation as a secret atheism  
should not be considered. It is possible to see these and similar approaches in our country.  
For example, in his works, he strongly understands the traditional understanding of religion  
and the way of religiosity in this sense.

Cemil Sena, who criticized, did not accept atheism, and all her hopes, wisdom and conscience  
defined as the collapse and destruction of the supports. Traditional belief in God

on the other hand, an individual, pragmatic and moral piousness

Sena, who defended, separated the secular lifestyle from the goddess. According to Sena

A soul without God has lost consciousness of all life bases and spiritual existence.

Is robot. (32)

Thinkers who consider atheism impossible, the consensus of humanity on the existence of  
God

They claimed that he was in, and claimed that disbelief was a mistake.

Indeed, for thousands of years, billions of people even in different times and places  
their belief in God gives us a message.

All human beings are innate, both instinctively and mentally.

(33) As a matter of fact, the prophet of Islam is on the fitra when every human being is born.

He was born (prone to believe in Allah) and was then guided by his family or his  
environment.

(34) He did not condition himself to disbelief in any way and to ideologies.

It is certain that a person who does not bleed will maintain this tendency brought about by  
birth.

Even if he is away from religious life for a while, that person will eventually be deep on the  
universe.

he will dive into thoughts, question why and how he exists. Brought from childhood

if he has not lost his purity, reasoning for good and beauty,

will think that there is a creator beyond, there is no life without him

He will know.

Some thinkers who state that belief is fit is happy and healthy days of atheists.

That they denied God and confessed the power of God in their troubled and painful days.

stated. Atheists, by these thinkers, blind and deliberately opposing the existence of God

defined as deaf people. According to them, even the smallest creature in nature

It is enough to refute the theses of the unbelievers. (35)

There are numerous reasons before man to believe in God, however

To deny, one should close his eyes to the outside world and listen to the sound of his heart.

it should clog. Naturally, before man enters into a mental condition,

it is not possible to deny it.

The Qur'an is mostly with the problem of idolatry and joint cooperation with God.

he was also interested, voiced evidence that refuted the atheists' theses,

No subject left. At every opportunity, one's attention to nature, man's own ego and

it has attracted total creation, that all this is not a dream, it is a reality

He emphasized. It is recommended that man use his mind and open his eyes before the  
universe.

It has.

---

## Page 23

As has been seen so far, disbelief is not innate, but later against religion.

It is a situation that emerged with the reactions. In the emergence of disbelief, that is, of course, on the basis of some people emerging and saying "I don't believe in God"

There are a number of reasons. Some of these reasons can be scientific assumptions.

Some of them may be sociological or psychological determinations.

an important part of it will be discussed and discussed in different parts of our study.

The artificial acceptance of atheism is also seriously asserting the claims of some thinkers.

it does not mean that it will not be taken. Regardless of its purpose and style, speak against the belief in God.

There is no need for people to stay away from any claims made. Even their

it should also be considered. As long as these claims have an intellectual value and discussion

It can be made.

As it is understood from the explanations made, there is no idea of God in the mind of man.

It is impossible. So an atheist said, "There is no such idea in my mind."

refusal is not credible. In this case, for conscious atheists back, God consciously

the option to refuse remains. When an atheist is already mentioned, such a person is conceivable.

It comes.

Even a person who is alone on a desert island has a healthy structure,

at least he is certain to think of a supreme creator in his mind. The mind of such a person

his reasoning will not be difficult to go to God. Maybe this concept of God will arrive

it will not be like God, for whom the prophets informed all their qualities. But most

like His transcendence, eternity, creativity, might, eternity and eternity.

that person will be able to capture his qualifications.

Even if we assume that a person who lives alone for a moment cannot think of God, he still

it would not be correct to call an atheist. Because that person, with the understanding of God of divine religions

has not met yet. At least nobody has given information about it.

Moreover, he was not asked what he thought of God. So to that person

It cannot be called an atheist. Why a negative attitude about something that does not have human knowledge and ideas

You enter. Perhaps such a person may be interested in what they hear when they hear something about God.

It will be heard. He will most likely believe it. Because when this person is told about God, there will be no mention of a fairy-tale hero or imaginary beings. Yourself

The creator of the world will be mentioned. Therefore, it is not possible to give a negative answer.

Thus, it is understood that a person cannot be an absolute atheist in nature.

Those who do not believe in God are not absolute atheists and are conscious of Him for various reasons.

as those who refuse. As stated earlier, behind the rejection of God

There are some theoretical and practical reasons. In fact, these reasons are by themselves they are not original ideas but mostly objections to the opinions of people who believe.

As can be seen in the next chapters, these grounds are not missed, seriously.

questioned, misrepresented and disproved.

## 1. Allegations of Atheism

In order to understand atheism well and give him persuasive answers, first of all what his claims are need to look. So he saw what atheists thought about God faith and what Based on the point, we will understand that they oppose religion. Indeed, what the atheists did about the belief in God it won't be difficult to guess what you can say. Already when defining atheism, more or less these claims He was mentioned. However, it would be useful to analyze and discuss these claims in more detail.

Atheists generally criticize religion in two ways, internally and externally.

worked. In external criticism, as seen in the first part,

Positivism is based on and the nature of religious belief is emphasized. In this context, religion

accepted as a social, psychological or anthropological phenomenon, this or that on the grounds that he emerged later on, with some narrow and ridiculous views tried to be.

Secondly, religion was tried to be criticized internally by atheists. According to this atheist considering the nature of religious belief, the concept of God and this concept it is based on the statements of religious people and concentrates on them. This believing that he encountered some contradictions or inconsistencies while doing so. He thought he refuted. However, the atheist failed to understand the concept of God and It is seen that he could not get rid of his judgments. So much so that the other side is inconsistent

The atheist who accused himself used contradictory statements.

Atheists mostly had difficulty understanding religion. Who do not understand religion as it is and

Atheists who do not know how religious people feel, in this case distort religious beliefs worked. Otherwise, they don't have a lot of material and they have an original there is not much that atheists without claims can do.

No one doubts the reality of the universe that takes us to the existence of God. contains the universe, which is a strong proof in favor of the existence of God with all beings (both theoretical and

both practically) it is impossible to think uncreatively. Such a mind

it is unlikely to think that this could happen even if something came. Indeed, atheists they are trying to explain in some meaningless and vague terms and they are blocked. Seen.

The theoretically strong atheist's whole trump card is one way or another

life style, worldview, thoughts and opinions about the realm of existence

will only be criticized. In other words, it is active, has a thesis, claim and proof.

It is theistic. It is the atheist who does not have any claim, proof and original thought.

All he can do is consequently either to reject or to remain silent. Thus

the real atheist, who does not even need to explain that he is an atheist, however

is the person who feels the trouble of the problem in his world. Unfortunately, today's atheists some of them became detached from religion by artificial principles of daily ideologies.

Who understands that it is impossible to refute God's existence even if he does not believe, and

Although there are thinkers like Bertrand Russell who admit it, most atheists have the same maturity.

could not show, despite all of his ideas and preliminary for the sake of ideological obsessions they did not give up their judgments.

According to Islam, as well as the presence of God, Hz. İbrâhim, Hz. Moses, Hz. Jesus and Hz. Believing in all the prophets and the life of Muhammad is essential.

are the principles of belief. What is the language, religion, race, sect and social status in Islam?

regardless of any person, in all three matters,

it is enough to have faith without hesitation. Separating believers from atheists the main feature is that they adopt these principles.

The main characteristics of atheists are that they have rejected the beliefs in question.

As can be guessed, a person who rejects religion today is around these principles.

lists his criticisms and expresses his objections. Based on this, the atheists

It is possible to consider their claims in three groups:

1. The objections put forward regarding the existence of God.

2. Criticism expressed about the Prophets.

3. Appeals about hereafter life.

When an atheist rejects God, of course, he prophes himself and his life

denied. Therefore, it is possible that he believes in one and does not believe in others.

not within. But although some people believe in God,

It seems that he is not interested in or believing in the hereafter life. Again some

although philosophers also reject the existence of God, eternity or immortality of the soul.

is known to have believed.

But despite all this, the belief in God is essential for a person to be believed.

He must believe in all three issues. Likewise a person's atheist

the measure of the fact that all three beliefs, especially the belief of God, is clearly

It is the refusal.

Although a person believes in the existence of God, from the belief in the prophet and the hereafter.

The fact that he lived unannounced does not mean that he is an atheist. Such a person

It is a believer. However, despite this situation, a person who believes in God (if later

is informed) believing in the existence of the prophets as a requirement of this belief and their he will need to accept his messages.

As stated earlier, the main objections of atheists are related to the existence of God.

It has been. Many atheists, philosopher or not, make some claims about this issue.

It was found. First, he argued that God did not exist, brought about his existence.

they also rejected the evidence. While doing this, as seen before,

they raised objections based on the rationale.

Some of these theories are philosophical, psychological, sociological and anthropological

there are also ones. With these theories, which we will discuss in detail in the future, atheists

God

they tried to refute their faith. However, they did not succeed in this, but in themselves

are also inconsistent. However, some of these theories are ideological dogma

advocacy has given them the opportunity to live to the present day. Such that religious people

while religion is open to discussion and discussion on a subject,

the advocates never accepted the discussion and questioning of their ideas. This

---

## Page 26

He tried to cover his attitudes with baseless and unfounded accusations.

They have gone through the passage.

The most pronounced atheists in our century about the existence of God

One of the main claims is their opinion that the belief in God is not scientific. Scientific

some atheists who think that the studies have eliminated the belief in God

accused of being out of order. These people are scientifically

it cannot be proved, it is also possible to perceive and experience with sensations.

They stated that there is no.

Atheists naturally refuse God, as well as the universe and the world of living things. they had to bring explanations about the formation. So the universe that it exists on its own, that is, it is not created, within the framework of its own internal laws.

claim that he was coming, not shaped by an outside intervention (by the will of God) they have.

Atheists who do not accept God's existence naturally tell the prophets and their

They also did not believe that they received revelation from God. According to them, a person of God

it is not possible for him or her to receive a message from God. In this process

It is not possible for the events described to be true for them.

Rejecting prophecy and revelation, as today, the prophets themselves

It was also seen during periods. Prophets especially against paganism and paganism

they faced violent objections in the first days of their war and

They were charged. As opposed to the prophets were the basis of these objections

A sense of rebellion against the abandonment of old habits and the acceptance of new things or it is.

For atheists, a person claims to be an embassy (prophecy), angel from God

it is unacceptable to bring a message through and talk about life after death

It is the case. Their resistance against immorality by telling the good in social life,

trying to ensure justice, opposing injustice, people are equal

the same reaction and the fact that they say and live an honest life,

It was rejected.

Some people insist that although the number of believers in the prophets is in the majority they struggled and tried to do evil to them. These people are

They rejected the messages they brought for various reasons and avoided listening to them.

Besides some theoretical objections about religion, under the opposition to the prophets,

There are also psychological, sociological and even economic factors. Again in this opposition

even personal and cultural characteristics have been observed.

Hz. By discriminating among the people who believed in the Prophet and first entered Islam

the oppressed and unfairly treated weak, slaves, women and poor people

it is interesting that it is in the majority. On the other hand, those who first opposed the

prophet were the rich,

tribal chiefs, idols, superstition and witchcraft

It is also remarkable.

---

## Page 27

Atheists also did not believe in hereafter. To resurrect after he died,

against the calculation of the work done in the world and that there is a life there

They are rebellious. The news about the life of the hereafter, which are described in the Quran,

refused, believers' beliefs, expectations and actions towards these situations.

they did not accept.

According to the narration in the Qur'an, Hz. A person who does not believe in Prophet and Hereafter

taking the bones of people who died in the hands of a masterful person

how to get these bones resurrected after turning them into crumbled flour

He was asked. So the Qur'an is forgetting how he exists in this world.

accused. He stated that the first one was more difficult than the second one and that person He accused him of thoughtlessness (Yâsîn 77-79).

As seen in the example above, believing that life is only in this world and people who did not want to account for the bad work they did in the future did not believe in the hereafter either.

and they concluded that everything would end with the death of bodies. Like this both the Qur'an and Hz. The Prophet has voiced several times and warned people.

Regarding the claims we have so far outlined, atheists have they put forward justifications. However, these reasons are satisfactory, as we will see later. is far from being. For example, when claiming that God does not exist, he is strong in favor of his claims.

they lack evidence. Apart from scientific assumptions that have not been proven yet All they do is, as mentioned earlier, that people who believe in the existence of God from trying to say their theses are wrong, to refute or criticize them consisted of. In doing so, scientificity, rationality, logicity and moral freedom He used a number of scientific and philosophical concepts, such as They tried to put it. But how subjective and how much atheists in this process It is also not overlooked that they are misleading.

## 2. Scientificity and God Faith

The laws of facts and events that examine the structure of objects in the world of science, time and space, it is also the name of the activity that investigates the way it works. Religion (Islam), in essence, believes in one God It is a system that defends and has specific belief, worship, moral and transaction principles. Science experience It tries to go towards a principle and unravel the secrets of our world based on individual examples. Religion, on the other hand, draws a field of values to human beings both individually and socially. offers a perspective (vision). Science is, in a sense, our material world, and religion is our spiritual world. illuminate-taste is.

As can be understood from the explanations above, science and religion are the most It is one of the important sacred values. Both are as important to man as water and bread. Neglect

In case they will cause consequences that may cause great injuries in human life.

Science and religion are not opposites, both in terms of field and purpose.

Because both of them are different from each other. The phenomenon of science is about our world

that this activity is in a sense

---

### Page 28

It is clear that it will also be in favor. Because religion is a creature of God in this universe and its contents.

that there are certain laws there and everything is in order.

He stated that it was made. Science, in turn, considers the laws and functioning of the universe.

this is because your religion will discover an order rather than chaos.

It would be wrong to think that he would be disturbed by the result.

However, in some periods of history, these fields, whose fields and subjects are certain, mixed and caused an artificial conflict environment. Honestly in this

The people of both groups acting ideologically are guilty. But against science in the name of religion

large gaps between the number of those protesting and those who oppose religion in the name of science

There.

It takes people out of their natural nature and makes it a birth stack,

The church, which interfered with its life, unfortunately also led the enmity of science in the Middle Ages.

He made. It is known that many scientists in the West were victims in this period.

However, with the developments achieved by science, what is put in front of religion (church teachings) is actually

However, it has not been difficult to overcome obstacles that are not related to religion.

The science that achieved success against the church was removed from its own media after a while and

The ground has been prepared for making claims about the areas in which he has no say. This too

Another mistake made in the name of humanity was wrong and the wrong answer was answered wrongly.

Therefore, a conflict environment was born.

Atheists who took advantage of the artificial conflict above did not stay idle, but about religion.

making use of science's yet unverified data in his criticism and they went to talk. Especially using the science weapon against the belief in God

atheists (materialists) say that science is the absolute truth,

They tried to show that it was wrong. At least the science beyond religion and belief in God they did not see that their efforts, and they did not guess that their efforts on this issue would be wasted.

As atheists examine any object in their daily lives, claims about God they wanted to put it on the table and make it the subject of positive science. So both

They were wrong because they could not understand the difference in nature between the subject.

Atheists were proud, albeit briefly, with the relative advances made by science, but with scientific studies extending beyond our world, success in astronomy they understood their impotence and their mistakes. With a history of thousands of years

Where did the existence of planets, galaxies and countless stars come from? Whereas

There was no need to go into space to understand some things. For example atom

The structure of the nucleus also gave us an idea. A small realm of his own this is our existence. So, in a sense, it provides understanding of our world

positive science, unraveling the secret of objects, to its usual line in the last quarter of our century

scientists have a neutral attitude about religious issues.

caused. Some scientists' views against the belief of God are subjective.

it did not go beyond judgments. After touching upon the relationship between science and religion (36), science

what kind of a problem is the existence of God?

---

## Page 29

The question of God's existence is more about faith than a scientific matter.

It is the case. It is not surprising that the nature of God's eye is the eye.

It will be understood spontaneously that this should be the case.

There are objects in science, and these objects with our five senses that exist in our world of time and space.

are objects that can be perceived and experienced. Is God like this? He stands in front of us and

Is it any entity that we can grasp with our sensations? Both by us,

Could it be an object that can be tested with scientific tools and materials? If there was such a

could we call existence as God?

Religion is merely God what it is worth to believe and worship

He says. What does this mean? To the thing that is not God, that is, to the objects and people around us,

we should not worship figures or symbols. Because, by nature, a

it is clear that any material being, which is no different, cannot be sacred and worthy of worship.

Even if such an entity, person or object is claimed to be God, human beings

It will not be difficult for him to decide that he cannot be God.

As a result, positive science does not have the authority to test God

as can be seen, the desire to prove Him (God) scientifically by some people is also

it would be meaningless. At this point, atheists' "Where is God?" or "God"

can you show me? ” such questions remain childish. Because time and God

Searching like any object in the world of space, scientific as it does not fit the essence of religion

it already exceeds the scope of a research. Some of the above questions

that people think of God as any being inside or around us,

attempts to perceive and explain will be in vain in this case.

God is transcendent in nature and is not an earthly being. Phrase before

It is not part of the universe, as it has been. However, this situation is between God and the universe.

it should not mean that there is no relationship. God, a transcendent being,

in the universe, not with its nature, but with its qualities, with the order it has established,

it exists with laws, power, beauty, compassion, and creativity. Thus

eyes that can think and see are also aware of this. God gives us

in a flower, in the chirp of a flying bird, in the eyes of the smiling boy, in the forests

in the green, in the seas where colorful fish and countless creatures live and at night

It makes its presence felt in the landscape in the glowing sky.

Islam is a non-physical problem of God's existence and belief in him.

He stated that. When religion says “there is God” in a corner of our world,

it did not claim to exist like any other entity. So religion is a positive test

he made no scientific claims. Therefore, the existence of God himself

it is a dream to be researched or refuted by positive sciences. Because positive science

its limits are clear. That border is where we live and experience

is a world of phenomena.

The debate at this point is whether science is in favor or against God's faith.

The debate. When this is the case, the existence of God, which is a complete belief problem

So-called scientific refutations about it will not go beyond ideological assumptions.

Certainly, some ideologies can be Godless. But science and scientific assumptions

---

## Page 30

it should not be given to the service of some ideological obsessions. In the last quarter of our century

As can be seen, sooner or later the science

will also overcome those who say nonsense words against God for himself.

It will be denied.

Science clarifies the world of facts and issues related to our world.

Religion, which says that disbelief stems from ignorance and thoughtlessness, is also scientific.

is the one who will be most delighted with the studies and discoveries and will have a share in his name. Because

The world, seen and experienced by religion, of an invisible and inexperienced world and the creator's marker. So all scientific studies will take man to God and He will always remind Him.

As a result, when God talks about his belief, well where science corresponds it is necessary to think and speak accordingly. At this point, being scientific or it should be well understood what is not being, the difference in nature between belief and science is always an eye. should be in front of you.

Unfortunately, in the Christian world, this situation is sometimes overlooked. God's (Trinity Father in faith) son was born in the guise of Jesus, that he was suffering and crucified. Atheists also made scientific criticisms against the teaching church, and this and similar claims

They wanted it to be proved. After all, thanks to the church teachings in the West, atheism strengthened. However, there are no such claims in Islam-yet.

therefore, no objections were made. Because of Islam with the world of facts

There are no principles that contradict the relevant mind and science. Occasionally occurring conflicts are not from Islam, but from cultural or custom habits of some Muslims.

It arises. However, Islam is the cultural of any nation, society or group.

It is not identical to its habits. So even though it is a Muslim, it is negative in the face of science.

the movement of the person who exhibits an attitude is only about himself and the values of the society he lives in. connects.

A man praying to God at the time of drought, which rain as an atheist

knows that it is formed under conditions and how it rains. Likewise that person earthquake, flood

and how to react to natural events such as fire and what measures to take

He is aware. So between a person who believes in God and a person who does not believe

Today, there is no scientific difference about the occurrence of natural events.

It does not need to be found. Because both live in the same world and are common

are in conditions. The difference is that in interpreting these events, we have

effects occur in different evaluations and affect. because of

people with a difference of belief, emotion and interpretation

their trials will not be true at all. (37)

Apparently, people who believe and do not believe in the existence of God today are

They are in a consensus about their nature and borders than ever before. Most

at least they cause-effect relationship about the events taking place in the world in which they live

They don't think very differently in terms of. Not only in issues that concern positive science, common values between the believer and the non-believer in relation to many social events and

meeting points are available. So they live in the same world but opposite each other

---

## Page 31

not to be considered as very different creatures. Of course with the atheist to God the feelings and feelings of the believer may be different. This

Therefore, it is seen that they view the world with a different eye. But science and universal

It is now a well-known fact that values bring them together at a common point. because of

Mutual accusations on this issue must be ended. If there is a discussion, this both areas must remain within their own borders. One with the other, or the other with another. If we judge this, in a sense, the mistake that has been made until today continues. will be made. It is definitely not possible to get anywhere with this.

### 3. Rationality and God Faith

Atheists, who establish a negative relationship between the belief of God and science, also have a similar attitude towards rationality they have accused believers of being unreasonable and rational. As if reasonable and reasonable they used this concept in their own favor, as if the basic condition for being unbelief or to reject religion. In fact, atheists themselves have faced such accusations for centuries and the weight of this accusation is on them. they felt. Already in the accusation in question, a reactionary attitude has emerged. Unfortunately this behavior is often found among devastating ideologies.

Whether or not the rational basis of the belief in God can be found is a matter of philosophical debate.

However, as a result of this discussion, the acceptance or rejection of God's existence is necessarily

it is not on the agenda. Just an opinion about whether belief can have a mental basis. judgments are made. For example, even those who come to a negative conclusion in this regard, such as Kant

They did not reach atheism, only pointed out the nature of the relationship between faith and reason.

Therefore, with the judgment that faith cannot be built on reason — even many religious people

way of thinking - the accusation of the belief that God is irrational (irrational) are different things. The subject we criticize here is the second case. So some atheists have God

They criticize their beliefs and squeeze the concept of rationality among their negative claims. An attitude that does not find rational belief in God itself will not actually be rational.

Because the provisions about any concept that are not rationally accepted

All of the (inferences) are at risk of not being rational. In this case the atheists themselves will also be irrational, according to their own principles.

A person is rational in almost every subject, especially the belief in God

It is possible. It is not so important whether the result is positive or negative. God "or if a believer can defend his belief as a result of rational judgments, in this attitude, if it is consistent, comprehensive, logical and systematic in itself, it is doubtful that it is rational

They do not. Likewise, a person tries to reach disbelief with a similar attitude.

it is not unlikely. So to be rational, as opposed to being natural, there should be a unique attitude to people. As an identity in the monopoly of any person should not be considered.

In fact, there is no single mentality in the middle. Different according to different thinkers There are definitions of rationality. As such, some atheists believe that belief is irrational.

his theses are also becoming meaningless. For example, it is essentially materialistic and some atheists who do not see the mind as the source of all kinds of information,

When it comes to the agenda, it changes the attitude for some reason and hugs the weapon of mind and criticizes religion.

---

#### Page 32

They are active. According to some, it is not possible to go to God with the mind while a According to another, no more rational behavior has been observed.

However, the charge of foolishness and illogicality is nevertheless

is something you shouldn't use. So smart when you believe in God's existence, when you don't believe

be mindless or, on the contrary, rational when it denies its existence, and naturally when it accepts

an acceptance to be made, the denial of one's self (nature) and rational will result in making his judgment controversial.

A person's existence of God, after long thoughts and reasoning,

What could be more natural than essential? Again, a person thinking differently about the same subject,

at least it is not theoretically unlikely to have different judgments.

Therefore, in the case of being rational as in the subject of science, one side

there can be no absolute superiority. Of course, this is the state of science and mind

It does not change the fact that it leads to the existence of God rather than disbelief. Whereas

Even the assumption that the existence of God cannot be proved by reason is necessarily atheism.

It does not result. As a matter of fact, Kant believes that proof of God is impossible with mind-blowing intelligence.

Even as stated above, he was not an atheist, but another way to God. searched for.

Everyone knows what it is to be reasonable and reasonable. What are these in philosophy

(38) Besides, it is obvious that philosophers are reasonable and reasonable or

It is not difficult to think that they care about these issues. When approached from this angle

Expressing their thoughts in a logical order, which is not doubtful to be rational

It will not be a surprise that many philosophers who believed believed in the existence of God. the unbelievers

the vast majority of them are hesitant between believing and not believing.

They are people who suffer. So mind, philosophy, and scientific thought reject God

Claims like are only ideological assumptions that are incompatible with reality. Ordinary philosophers

and it is true that they are not traditional devout people. But the majority of them, like atheists,

It is another truth that a godless world cannot be dreamed of and does not aim to establish a religious life.

Being rational, that is, rationalism as a technical term

Even if we take it, we will see that the situation has not changed. As a philosophical school, rationality is ahead

Let the philosophers like Descartes, Spinoza and Leibnitz leave,

They made an effort to prove the existence of God in a way. (39) So atheists

The accusations made by people who believe in these issues do not reflect the truth at all.

Because such accusations and thoughts are ideological and can be taken seriously

They are not.

It has been claimed by some religious people that reason cannot know God's existence.

Even though believing in God's existence, many people are hesitant about their mind

statements are found. However, this approach tells us about the beliefs of those people.

should not mislead. What they mean is not a claim that reason rejects belief.

On the contrary, it is related to the fact that the mind is insufficient in this regard, it is limited and it cannot know everything.

They are the thesis. Therefore, it is necessary to distinguish this perspective from others.

## 4. Logicity and God Faith

when they could not reach, they entered into logical analyzes (conceptual analyzes) of the word God. Firstly They argued that the concept of God is a pseudo-concept and its reality is lacking. This again that the concept is produced by the human mind, and basically in the face of events in which people do not know the reason They stated that their hopes and fears lie. As pointed out in the previous section, atheists believe in God not rational prejudice to the issue, even using a misleading and destructive style was the result. The absence of logic of the belief in God is the result of a similar attitude. it is about ideological conditioning.

By questioning the concept of a transcendent God logically, the religions of God criticism is separate from each other. Creative God, universal in the first case There is no risk for the concept. In the second case, however, religious interpretations it is possible to be criticized to the ground. Indeed, the most harmful out of it Christianity became a religion. However, the contradictions of Christianity and the paradoxes within it in short, it binds itself to all the problems. Generalization of these for another religion should not be the subject.

It is necessary to be a logical system that contains paradox.

Is the problem. As a matter of fact, the majority of Christians are delivered, baptism, incarnation, primary crime and

They are aware of the difficulty of providing a logical explanation on issues such as the cross. This

Therefore, the emergence of a conflict between logic and faith in the Christian world it was inevitable. However, to say that this conflict is also in Islam, will be equivalent to not knowing and not knowing. Because it is about the belief in God in Islamic religion

There is no paradox similar to "trinity" or "incarnation". This situation is atheist

Although it is a fact that even some Western thinkers express. because of a system of belief without paradoxes (logical contradictions) is not logical

To accuse would be an unfounded and groundless claim.

After all, there may be people who do not believe in God. Because believing in Him or not his job is about will and everyone is free about it. But those who do not believe,

To a point that is difficult to understand or irrational in the belief in Allah in Islam it is impossible to come across. It is also unlikely that they make such a claim. This

belief-logic that emerged due to the unique beliefs of Christianity conflict will not be valid for Islam.

Who cannot logically criticize the belief in God in the Islamic world and at this point Some atheists who repeat criticism raised for Christianity

they took refuge in psychological terms. In a sense, on the basis of the belief in God they brought up the issues they claimed to be lying on the agenda. As stated above, these are situations related to human mood, such as fear and hope.

Moreover, ethical (moral), aesthetic and religious values are psychological, such as "fear or hope".

explaining with experiences must be a primitive behavior that a modern person cannot do.

Because these kinds of things are timeless and to all people as well as to all times.

are the values that appeal. Otherwise, what we are currently admiring, watching,

There would be no historical artifacts that we watch, listen to or get excited by reading.

---

## Page 34

The objections in question have been repeated for centuries and believed in every opportunity. are striking, striking as well as hollow thoughts. If human

if he produced the idea of God because of some hopes and fears, he would be

It is also possible to say that it produced disbelief on the grounds. In this case, disbelief phenomenon will also face the charges of artificiality. Moreover, such an approach that he could not seriously grasp the issue of belief and did not know the main factors

It is understood.

Man's attitude, hope and fears in the face of natural events, basically rather than religion are things related to science. By nature, almost whether or not you believe in God events occur in which everyone is affected or affected in some way. Both

The side is also happy or frightened by some events. But all

these are things related to the consequences of such events. So such a problem is

It is a common problem that must be overcome.

Occurring in nature and leading people to some superstitions, obsessions and superstitions events are the issues that science must solve. With such things, Islam is as much as science. is war. Superstitions are the only God that religion tries to bring and repeats every opportunity.

it undermines the belief and causes pollution of the human mind. These are sometimes pagan, sometimes totem, and sometimes hidden power

it appears as sanctified beings. When science is back

Unfortunately, such common events are still going on in different parts of the world today.

It is.

Some atheists talk about the history of humanity, the world is left behind and hidden

They measure the people (tribes) living in their regions. The values of these people

They also reflect to history and try to portray the world of faith in this way.

Of course, it is obvious that such studies will shed light on human history. But besides this

a deliberate wrong attitude has been demonstrated. It is this: they (some atheists) are science and

Though advancing in civilization, the beliefs, culture and religious values of billions of people (monotheistic tradition) to history that did not take scientific (!) data into consideration

They did not. Here, science, especially anthropological studies are victims of ideologies

It is possible to see how bilateral and selective treatment is done in scientific studies.

It is.

Ordinary man's own reasoning without the need to read volumes of books

and logically enough to judge the existence of God as soon as he looks around. Even

perhaps it needs philosophical or theological evidence to reach this conviction.

not necessary. If it does not force itself to oppose the natural tendency in it and

if he does not make a special effort to reject it, that person will also love his love and admiration for God.

Can not conceal. This natural tendency and unwavering conviction is

it will also be reflected in its relationship with assets. To encounter such a situation all over the world

It is possible. Indeed, this has been the case throughout history. Do all this in a snap

to assume that it is equivalent to human conflict and self-denial

It will be.

---

## Page 35

Aside from everything, why does man really not exist as the positivists claim?

try to prove it and believe it? Besides, something that does not exist is in the mind of man

Is it logically possible for him to come (born) and occupy space in his mind? A moment

even if such a thing is possible (ie it is impossible to think of something imaginary)

if not) is it possible that this corresponds to God?

Man cannot form God in his mind like an ordinary being. Just its existence and

grasps the size. Moreover, for thousands of years, something that is not real

being adopted by millions of people, taking place in the mind and hearts, a little surprising

won't it happen? For many centuries, many people were wrong or something wrong

Could it be thought to be persistent?

Seeing the claims they have about God's existence, one by one, are inconclusive.

Atheists, by making one last move, will not be known in any case,

They had to say that it could not be identified and talked about.

Therefore, believers are inconsistent about the concept of God, contradicting, and sometimes they accused of ignorance. However, such claims of atheists also suggest that philosophical polemics

it did not go beyond, and had no effect on believers. Besides this

The objections were again very philosophically challenged and refuted.

As Atheists claim, people who believe in God have never been concerned about what He is. they are not ignorant. God's inability to fully grasp us by nature,

It means that we will be ignorant about him or we cannot speak anything.

It does not. Of course, the kind of information between God and man, man's spouse, child, it will not be like his car, his environment, or his colleagues.

In the second case, the person's interlocutor is like himself, living under the conditions he knows and various

it is an asset that it experiences in ways. In the first case, the addressee of the person

it is a Supreme Person who is not like any other object around him. because of

the believer is aware of this and thinks, worships and

expresses his feelings.

A number of minds in mind when God is considered human by nature

there is a possibility of troubles arising. But what should a reasonable and reasonable person be?

should understand well. Especially when this being is God,

thoroughly judge how or what it should not be

must. Besides, everyone who believes in God's all perfection, beauty and all the shortcomings and imperfections,

He knows very well that he is distant. That is mind, logical and religious

is aware that it is necessary. It is also possible to have another kind of God situation

It is not. When this is the case, in the human mind, any

there will be no room for confusion or doubt. Pointless and empty in objections from atheists will be cast aside as words.

The existence of God is, above all, a matter of faith.

It is not an information problem. From this point of view, information about His nature

it is possible to see our lack as the factors that make the belief phenomenon attractive. Here

what is abnormal is that when asking the believer about God,

---

## Page 36

to speak or speak of any being living in our world

will wait. This is a separate injustice to that person. Already that person did like this

so (when God likens God to an object in nature), God is

It will appear. In addition, the first person to ask why he did this is the atheist himself.

It will be.

The strict attitude of the church on the basis of the easy settlement of atheism in the Christian world

with the human figures such as Father and Son.

the description lies. So questions they can't logically answer them

asked, the infrastructure of their beliefs can easily be revealed through some psychological analysis.

It has been omitted. However, which forms the essence of divine religions (especially in the religion of Islam,  
The belief of the transcendent God is easy to understand, found beyond any form and symbol.  
is based on a creative concept. At this point, more about denial to atheists  
there is no chance.  
There is no doubt that it is not ordinary business to talk about God or to think about it.  
As a matter of fact, God is aware of this difficulty that people face. For this reason  
because of the language he spoke in the Qur'an,  
besides, there are plenty of symbolic expressions in the metaphors that will make it easier to  
understand.  
It was found.  
The concepts of human knowledge in diving while thinking about God  
it is inevitable to use it and make some comparisons about His nature.  
However, there is a situation that one should pay attention to. By doing so, God's  
it is not right to think it is.  
To say that God is fully known by the human mind means that He is  
It will mean the same as it is assimilated. The pen that a person uses in his daily life,  
knowing things like books, typewriters, radio, television, computers and  
it is possible to have their secrets. However, the concept of God is not limited to one's limited  
mind.  
it is too large to fit and is not small enough to be handled. Man yet  
Although he has been watching the world for centuries,  
Nor is it powerful enough to grasp its grandeur and the mysteriousness of the universe of  
stars. Whereas  
May he know the creator of the world.  
The knowledge of God in man is very small in the sense of tender something with its limits.  
But our thinking about it in the sense of knowing a transphysical being and that  
Our enormous emotions and contemplation within the framework is enormous.  
If we want to see God as any object with a shape and schema (our illusion)  
he leaves it aside, at least he thinks he's not like us and  
If we can be accepted, we will be able to overcome many difficulties in our minds. Actually  
atheists now understand that believers do not believe in such material existence; and  
they should not expect evidence of such an asset. Otherwise  
their expectations will be in vain. It is against the people who believe what this result is like.  
neither is the situation nor a weakness related to the existence of God. People believe in God  
God will continue to exist forever. Atheist

---

## Page 37

that he denied it or that He was not fully grasped by us, about the existence of God  
That will not change the situation.

## 5. Moral Freedom and God Faith

Atheists have some questions about freedom, as well as scientific, rational and logical issues.  
They made distortions. For this purpose, thoughts that are not original and absolutely incompatible with religion (Islam)  
They put forward.

As is known, religious people have a very serious connection between belief in God and  
morality.

and they did not think of both subjects separately. They believe in God

He argued that man is also (or should be) moral, however

a person who does not believe is irresponsible in his actions because he does not accept a  
supreme authority

They claimed that it was and that it faced immorality.

In the face of this situation, atheists did not remain silent and defended themselves. Briefly they did not see a compulsory relationship between the belief of God and morality and They claimed to be independent. Some of the atheists (some philosophers) naturally He stated that they did not object to being moral, and what they oppose is the subject of morality.

They said that the belief in God is confusion. Another part is ideological

He stated that he did not recognize any moral principles by acting. These people are the attitude of religious people and their behaviors are materialist

They tried to interpret from the perspective.

Thinkers who are mostly philosophers and theoretically deny the belief in God, the result

He argued that, as stated above, it could be ethical,

they gave. Therefore, to think of atheists completely immoral

it will not be true. When God created man, he created him as a moral being.

It instilled moral sense and moral responsibility into its nature. A human being's existence

Even if he denies, he will remain moral to some extent unless he denies (destroys) his own nature.

There is no doubt.

But besides the above group, all moral or not

Atheists who opposed values were also seen. These are mostly for ideological purposes

who have become atheists and are affected by a number of destructive currents,

are people trying to get under their feet. In a sense, if we don't believe in God,

are people who think they are free to do and live irresponsibly. These people are daily

have shown many abnormalities, oddities, imbalances and misconduct in their lives.

In addition, God's belief in bad faith, insulting religious moral understanding, religious people

They made slanders, accusations and accusations.

Being ethical is one of the indispensable basic conditions of the individual and society.

Morality,

it is a value that all individuals must adhere to and maintain, whether they live together or not.

The guarantee of being moral for man is primarily his nature and mind, but as a result

it is practically a religious life. Religion (Islam) is one of the easiest moral behaviors behind

human beings.

---

## Page 38

praised, and immediately warned and corrected in the face of the slightest mistake showed the ways. He accepted moral actions as a piece of religion and believed in them

put it side by side. Even in thought and behavior as a prerequisite for being religious

he accepted cleanliness, believing in God, but of all kinds of evil

He advised them to purify and stay away.

Morality is not only in individual life, but in all stages of society and daily life.

is a value that should exist. In family, friendship, neighborhood, business life, commerce,

at school, factory, etc. It is also a virtue that should be. It can be beneficial to human

environment

Saying that he gained value at the rate of Islam, Islam again said a loving word to his environment,

He saw his smile and greeting as a favor and gave all of these rewards (that person

God made it worthwhile).

Religion (Islam) harms even the smallest living thing, such as an ant

He said that he should not give harm to the road,

it has also accepted the virtue of throwing something (thorns, etc.) aside. Because of his thirst Providing water to a dying animal in the desert as a reason to enter heaven, assessed respect for parents as obedience, loving children as an example of compassion He has seen. Even if it is in very difficult conditions, it makes people green their environment ( asked him to plant the sapling) from him, increased his neighbor relations to the degree of kinship. recommended that they respect and help each other, on the right of the employee ordered to be paid. Whatever the language, religion and color, love for all living beings and all natural resources, regardless of their nature, suggested treating with respect, compassion, and savings. What is a person who looks at the world with such an eye and approaches his environment with these values? it is not necessary to explain that he is so virtuous. Already all the purpose of religion is moral and is to create a virtuous person and prepare the conditions of a respected society. Status Despite this, religious ethical ethics by atheists for some psychological reasons criticism is not understandable. Denial that belief in God is an important factor for a moral life on the individual is an inexorable truth. Therefore, it is necessary for man to win the love of God and what is more natural than he wants to live a virtuous and virtuous life by following his orders can. Therefore, while criticizing religious moral understanding, religious people are meaningless and hollow. accusing a number of concepts is neither moral nor scientific. Besides, religious Besides the pointless criticism of these people who refuse, people's happiness in daily life and What they do for the sake of morality and what they put forward is still unknown. Moreover, leaving aside belief in societies that care about irreligion or their conscience why disorders in people's lives such as suicide, perversion, insanity, or tragic and It has not been explained that dramatic situations are more likely to occur. Although they rejected religion, some philosophers have seen and questioned the dilemmas of atheism. For example, Gaskin, one of them, said that atheism also had some problems. He made self-criticisms within this framework. According to him, in our century, God's moral The fact that he was rejected as an authority did not solve everything as expected.

---

**Page 39**

According to Gaskin, having a secular morality and removing many moral obligations Modern societies, which have not replaced anything new, have been inconsistent. Actually According to Gaskin, there is no such thing as the demolition of moral authority. Because to him according to those who reject the authority of God in the moral field, looking metaphysical instead of God they have put in new authorities. For example, Marxists command the proletariat and its existence they saw it as an inevitable sacred authority. XX again. one-party in century societies Party sovereignty in governments only as a brute force affecting human behavior this party is also used to ensure that what is ordered is not used. principles have been proposed as a kind of metaphysical power. (40) According to Gaskin, the name of atheism Under it, concepts such as "good and bad" have disappeared. Moral values are weakened,

As a result, human has moved away from the concepts of “good and bad”. Morale in the modern period stating that the concepts are limited only by a number of social and human needs According to Gaskin, many things that are universal and eternal are also overlooked, under the name of morality. only social rights, proletarian demands, personal relationships and daily needs in society started to be talked about. Again according to Gaskin XX. One of the biggest mistakes committed in the 19th century is that On the other hand, tolerant to the destructions and evils that were not seen in history and imagined. is, on the other hand, that he has dealt with the details in social life. For example, even the punishment of a naughty school boy in the West is a problem for days Stating that it was made, Gaskin, in turn, occurred in different parts of the world. ignoring the situation of the massacres, the thousands of people who were killed or killed innocently He also stated that he had come. Indeed, it is not possible to disagree with Gaskin. Trying to be strong at all costs and thus ignoring others it is not an acceptable situation to arrive. In our century, the moral breakdown caused by materialism and positivism is very expensive. cost. The dimensions of this depression were felt in every area and heavy bills were paid. Due to the moral irresponsibility and insensitivity in the West, thousands of innocent people, animals and natural resources in their regions disappeared visibly It is obvious that he went. In front of all of this, only protecting and protecting their own interests from the dreams in the poorest regions or backward regions of the modern states. against confusion, massacres, ethnic slaughter, invasion, diseases, hunger and thirst their failure to act, another dimension of the moral collapse we have encountered revealing. Another dilemma caused by secular and irreligious morality in our age is individual has been at the level. Many societies at the expense of destroying tradition and traditional values society, which has been devastated, the past has been worsened and has some hollow imaginary words The individuals who make up are detached from their family, environment, culture and their religion. In the name of individualism, freedom, progressiveness and modernity, these people's brainwashed, for this reason many people's mind is blurred, religious and moral values are blackened and deliberately badly shown. Individuals only eat and drink themselves, work like robots, physiological and biological He saw it as an irresponsible creature living for his needs. Around and Many young people who did not see a moral authority after breaking their religion suddenly

---

**Page 40**

he found himself in bad habits, he could not get out of it and somehow He has been captured by heres and passions that he could not leave. As a result of this, not much many disasters and dramatic landscapes.

After the painful experiences towards the end of our century, many societies, He felt the lack of religious belief and moral values within his body and for his compensation It worked. First of all, he gave importance to family values, alcohol, gambling, prostitution and drugs.

started to take serious countermeasures. In this process, great for religion and religious institutions in education.

tasks were assigned and their activities were supported. In different parts of the world the materialist and positivist education system has also been revised and similar errors important precautions have been taken in order not to fall. The spread of this positive development and

it will be a good gain for humanity to be permanent.

## 1. The Problem of Evidence

People who believe in the existence of God have provided evidence to support their beliefs and that atheists they frustrated their claims on the subject. Atheists have also rejected the evidence put forward in favor of God's existence. and they did not give up their own thoughts. However, while doing so, it only brought criticism, they did not make an original idea in favor of their own claims, except for a few issues such as the existence of evils. Whereas The criticism of the evidence put forward for God's existence and, in a sense, falsifying atheism, it does not mean refutation or inaccuracy of its content.

It is such a simple matter to deny God's existence, as atheists think.

It is not. Consideration of many theoretical risks and illogicality to reject

You will need. Because if there are many evidence in their favor, believers can be criticized,

It is easier and more ordinary to criticize atheists who do not have any concrete evidence.

It will be. So it is more difficult to deny the existence of God than to accept it.

it is evident at the beginning. Only the universe is a direct proof of God's existence.

So trying to deny God and make justifications for it is a river

as much leak as possible.

Those who claim the existence of God made some evidence suggesting us and

They put forward ideas that we think of. Any prejudice, obsession or ideology

they did not overtake the subject with an acceptance. For example, the realm that lies before us has its own

claimed that he could not be, there is a need for a creator and editor

stated. Therefore, in the hands of theists, clear and acceptable evidence

There. Why do anybody think of them on these concrete proofs?

He can not say. Because this is the result of the human mind and logic. Thinking of the mind or

it is not possible to prevent reasoning about our existence.

The work of atheists consists in criticizing or rejecting the thesis of believers.

Their criticisms, on the other hand, are not sufficient to misrepresent their beliefs.

It is only about expressing the theoretically opposite of the evidence they express.

But to think that something is theoretically opposed to something can be that in practice.

Does not mean. If a person does not want to believe anyway, some reasons

it will not be delayed in finding. So the atheist just denies the existence of God

---

### Page 41

it is a self-connecting situation. This result is also the reasoning and opinion of the believing person.

it will not bring the slightest negativity.

In fact, for some theists, the atheist's objections or the concept of God are negative.

it is also possible to ignore his thoughts. Because first of all objections about it

will be meaningful with the acceptance of the existence of something. This is also true for atheists.

After all, according to them, atheists talk outside without accepting the existence of God, and

Their criticism of the concept of God will be an inconsistency in itself. The existence of God is not only a matter of evidence, but ultimately a matter of faith. Faith too to believe in a supreme being, a creator, to connect with it in mind, mind and heart. people Creating a loving and affectionate bond between its creator. This requires thought, it is something that takes courage and is stepped in some way. So God belief and judgments about this belief, as seen in the criticism of atheists is beyond simple logic games and intellectual obsessions. This subject is It is a problem about life, existence, feeling, consciousness and self. Between Islam and other religious beliefs about proving the existence of God there are obvious differences. These differences are reflected in the discussions. Such as a It is so easy for Christian to understand the trinity or incarnation and tell others Will not. Likewise, it is difficult for that person to provide persuasive answers against the atheist.

So all he can do is say that this is a matter of faith, and is not to argue.

Although the existence of God is a matter of faith as a result, a Muslim faith can easily can understand and explain. He can conduct judgments about him and find evidence. In a sense, a harmony between the outside world and the concept it believes in it can establish a response. Ultimately, the creative and created relationship and the resulting he can easily shape a worldview in his mind.

Now it is in order to prove the existence of God, which has dismissed the atheists' claims. we can take a look at the evidence voiced by believers. Many of the evidence we'll cover are issues that have been discussed extensively and still discussed by philosophers. Addresses a few issues related to the speak-to-speak purpose before discussing the evidence there is benefit. We can list them by shale: First, in favor of the existence of God, The fact that the evidence put forward is basically philosophical is similar to that of an ordinary person.

it does not mean that he cannot arrive or think of the same things. Every person's own the eye in which he dives deep in his world and carries out some ideas by looking around it will be seen that similar evidence is shared by most people.

As stated in previous chapters, people (believers) about the belief in God they have a great mental attitude. This is because they don't speak baseless and promise is a sign that they do not exhibit a naturalistic attitude in the subject. In short, believers are God

they reveal their belief as a rational belief. (41)

The claim that the existence of God can be reasonably known by the fact that the belief in God is rational

it is not the same thing. So when we talk about the rational dimension of faith, It should not be concluded that it is completely rational. Because the existence of God for believers

---

## Page 42

The issue is a situation that does not need to be proven. This is the proofs put forward It is the event of explaining and explaining belief after acceptance. Most believers it is not primarily seeking evidence to believe. Because they are the creators they do not doubt its existence. To the voice that comes from them and to the call of the realm they experience they listen.

The mind makes people think about everything. Especially deep on life and the universe

causes him to dive into ideas. In this process, man's faith in God strengthens and he It makes it satisfactory in the world. So mind bases its faith in God, like this decides that a belief is not superstition, fabrication or artificial. Your faith is logically reveal your satisfactory grounds. These bases are above all mind, logic and are the things that human thoughts reveal and say are indispensable. Because God's the existence of a belief or a belief that is the basis of this belief. The bases will of course have a description. The possibility of such an explanation to the human mind It provides.

Another issue aimed at proving the existence of God is the conscious and conscious of believing people. is to ensure that they are determined. Because just believing in something, intellectual comfort not guarantees. Therefore, believers will be able to They also pursued the purpose of removing doubts that may arise in their minds. For example to God a person who believes may think of thoughts that he or she does not want or desire. Thus also comes. However, the belief in God can be caused by any occasion in the mind, or it is not a groundless topic that will disappear with questioning. Hz. Even the Prophet said He approached a person who said he had a problem with tolerance and need not worry. He emphasized that there is no. Even the doubts that occur in the mind of that person become stronger in faith. He evaluated it as a sign. (42)

People sometimes get mixed up thoughts when they don't want them It is seen that they are stuck. Indeed, this is an abnormal situation There is. Theoretically, the human mind generating an unlimited number of ideas and it is possible to fall into thoughts. However, the situation that should be known is discussed mentally. is that things, even some nonsensical ideas that come to mind, do not harm faith. Because God something as simple as to vanish with belief or with a nonsensical idea It is not. Therefore, there is nothing to worry about, and one's there is no need for fear of falling. What needs to be done is on pointless ideas is to test them increasingly and discuss their claims if they are wrong. Man is stronger than the conviction that God should exist logically and reasonably. there can be no thought. To reject His presence in the face of the dazzling world It is not possible. Despite everything, even if there is disbelief before man, should not be magnified and problematic. Human mind after some fluctuations it is strong enough to make decisions right and realize that it cannot be experienced with suspicion. as long as to condition. In doing so (when questioning disbelief) everything seen in the outside world will prove in favor of the creator. If we have another reminder about the evidence, we can say the following: it seems that the majority of atheists are seriously

---

## Page 43

decided without thinking. The vast majority of atheists outside the philosophical circles because of ideological, psychological, sociological and some practical reasons he found it in a religious environment and took a negative attitude towards God. An atheist in the atmosphere, some people who find themselves unbelieving are, by their diligence, Strong evidence for the sake of dogmatic ideologies, engaged in the defense of fruitless work they tried not to see

It is impossible for disbelief to go on forever. Despite everything, an atheist rethinking human experience and revisiting God  
It is possible. Solving artificial problems in his mind and overcoming some ideologies it will not be difficult. Not every stage of human life has been missed for such a development  
It is an opportunity. Progressing of a person who prefers atheism for some reason in a section of his life  
It is not at all difficult to change this preference for stronger reasons encountered in years. Indeed, there are many people who show this maturity.  
God asks people to believe in themselves, while giving them all kinds of possibilities and advantages.  
provided different options. Therefore, a thinking and realistic  
all roads lead to God. So the belief in God is a  
It doesn't look like a puzzle or a game of chance. God people are already confused and complicated  
did not leave them in the face of a problem, equipped them with features such as mind, heart and conscience  
He revealed the magnificent universe in front of them as a sign of his own existence. After that  
it is up to people.

## 2. Evidence of God's Being

Evidence that proves the existence of God and frustrates atheists' claims are as follows:

- a. Evidence of Being (Ontological Evidence)
- b. Proof of Realm (Cosmological Evidence)
- c. Order and Evidence of Evidence (Teleological Evidence)
- D. Psychological Evidence (Evidence of Religious Experience)
- to. Proof of Morality

The claims put forward by these evidences make atheism impossible and it destroys the rest. A person to become an atheist after learning this evidence  
It is not possible. After all, if that person still continues atheism, rather, it will be seen that ideology dominates.

As stated earlier, these proofs are the subject of philosophical works, rational that anyone can think of, even express it more beautifully without difficulty  
They are reasoning. As a matter of fact, although he is not a philosopher, many scientists from different branches

---

### Page 44

they have interesting thoughts about this evidence. This means that the existence of God is reveals that it is a clear and distinct issue in a way that can be understood. (43)

We can now consider these proofs in order.

- a. Evidence of Being (Ontological Evidence)

From time to time, we dive into thoughts about our own being or our environment. Especially when we are alone, when we think about our own life,  
when we watch, we feel that some emotions occur within us. In this process, our mind he notices the existence of a subject in the depths, and after a while  
We intensified. This issue is that nothing around us can be unplanned, for no reason and in vain.

It is the idea.

The feeling of admiration that we have in front of the universe is nothing for us.

Taking it one step further than the thought that it would not have been created, behind everything

it leads to the idea of a found entity. A voice in us also means that this being it whispers that it cannot be an ordinary being. Because a dazzling image in front of us The world stops. In this world, with all its beauties, It serves. That perfect being is also God himself. That the idea of a being that everything came to life with was born within us On the other hand, while observing that such an asset must exist necessarily we begin to understand well. Here are some of you aware of, sometimes unconsciously inside us the idea of this supreme being that we feel is the greatest proof of the existence of God. This also It is called “ontological proof” (44) (evidence of existence). Ontological evidence is important in both the Western world and the Islamic world. It is an evidence expressed against atheists. In both worlds, various thinkers of God, It was stated that it is the most perfect being and that there is no reason for its existence. This from those who expressed proof. Anselm (1033-1109) said God, “more perfect than himself incomprehensible being ”and in the minds of those who deny the existence of God. even claimed that this was the idea. It is the perfect being that exists only in the mind. The concept of the concept can not be thought also, as well as the mind in this concept claimed to exist in the world. (45) One of the most important people who voiced this evidence is the famous philosopher Descartes (1596-1650). According to Descartes, we have a supreme competence in our minds. We have the idea. This being is God himself. The concept of God in the human mind mathematics is as clear and distinctive as a concept. According to Descartes, God's absence it is not possible to think. Because the existence of God is an inseparable concept of God It is part of. So He exists both in our mind and in reality. Is. (46) According to Descartes, finding the perfect idea in our mind is God's is a proof of its existence. Because we have such an idea from our nature and environment It is not possible. Nature is lacking in one way. Because it is material. So a missing The concept of excellence does not emerge from the source. Even though this concept is

---

## Page 45

originated from it. After all, according to Descartes, God has his own seal on our hearts. and placed the most competent existence concept in us. (47) Atheists have objected to ontological evidence in various ways. But their objections could not weaken the strength of the evidence. Because the criticism in question is rational for people who believe remained to discuss their reasoning. However, it is theoretically to discuss an idea or Expressing the opposite does not refute the truth of that thing either. For example, motherless and It may come to mind as an idea that a child without a father can exist. However, God's Everyone knows that it is not possible to do this, except he wishes. Again in your realm thinking that it could exist without a creator and become its current state is a can come to mind as an idea. But to think that this could be the case in practice It is impossible. Some may think that God does not exist like this as an idea. However, this opinion remains an idea and is doomed to remain. Because practically without him existence and life are not possible. Deny our own existence and the reality of the realm we live in

Since we cannot, we cannot deny the creator. Besides, there is God we would not have existed without it. The concept of God in our minds even if we existed Would not. It is evident that this concept is in everyone's mind, whether believing or not. Therefore, it is not possible for something that does not exist in our minds. Also God rejecting such an entity means that it is thrown or disappeared in our minds. It will not come. Something that doesn't exist is born in us and we think it too It is impossible. So there is God that we have that concept, and about it or this is how we argue.

For a moment when the concept of God is not innate and comes into our mind later even from the existence of the universe and our own life experience It is certain that an idea consists of us. So listen to the voice of the inner world of man It is unreasonable to claim that God does not exist without giving and teaching nature. it would be an unhealthy decision.

It is not easy for one to disassemble the concept of God that exists in its nature. Such if a concept were really baseless and unfounded, it would have to be abandoned, forgotten and not to be emphasized today. But transcendence and love, as the number of believers in God has failed to reject the belief in the perfect God of God,

It is certain that trying to give up will be doomed to be meaningless and fruitless.

Ontological evidence proves in a sense that absolute atheism cannot be. More As seen in previous chapters, the existence of a person whose nature is not intellectual It is not possible. There is such a concept in everyone's mind in one way or another. although even mind, logic, heart and conscience will lead to that concept. Indeed, absolute We discussed and explained in detail the impossibility of atheism. because of We can proceed to the proof of the world.

b. Proof of Realm (Cosmological Evidence)

---

## Page 46

In addition to ontological evidence, atheists who prove the existence of God and atheists evidence that leaves it is cosmological evidence. This evidence is in every moment of our world

Moving from the realm (cosmos) we experience, it takes us to the existence of God.

The existence of the universe is at the top of the issues that people dive into.

revenue. Stars, planets, solar systems, galaxies, the realm of living things, and the unknown

In many ways, the universe stands before man. The existence and formation of the universe, everything from the smallest entity (atom) to the largest galaxies

the fact that he was in a mobility caught the attention of man and to investigate him

It was prompt. The cosmological proof is based on the existence of the universe, the existence of the creator.

that there must be a God behind all that is going on and going to us.

He says.

Not only philosophers or theologians have focused on this evidence. Other people every

They stated that at this opportunity, this world pointed to the existence of God. Already creative

The Qur'an emphasized these kinds of issues and gave striking examples: Qur'an.

Many times in Kerim, people travel, turn their eyes to the sky,

to watch nature, to look at the living world, to watch the world work, in short, every

they are asked to pay attention to how the thing is going on and they think about it

are invited. Islamic thinkers are therefore great in their cosmological evidence.

and they tried to prove the existence of God in this way.

The basic claim of cosmological proof is this: The universe appears to really exist. So the existence of the universe is not a dream or a dream. But the universe cannot exist on its own  
is a stack of objects. It existed later. It is God who reached the universe and gave it life. It is impossible to think that the universe has existed since time immemorial. Because when we look at the realm  
after all that he is a material being and does not have the power to exist on his own. Seen. In this case, the existence of a force that creates it automatically emerges. It stands out. This power is also God.  
Entities do not have the power to exist on their own. There are also these beings, they cannot owe their being to an asset like themselves. In this case, all the universe, including  
finite beings owe their existence to an entity (God) other than themselves. Therefore, the existence of an existence that gives life to us and the world becomes inevitable. This being is God. The existence of the universe, the existence of the compulsory being, that is, of God.  
It is proof.  
Cosmological evidence secondly by investigating the cause of movement and change in the universe.  
He reaches God. As it is known, the objects in the universe are in motion and they are in change and formation. From the atomic nucleus to the functioning of celestial bodies  
this mobility is observed. However, the mobility of objects and their taking different forms it cannot form by itself. It is impossible for them to be so. This power, the movement the power to be able to change and change, a supreme that is beyond movement and change to them.  
given by the entity. So behind the movement and change in the universe, it is a God who gives them this power.

---

**Page 47**

A life without movement and change is unthinkable. Everything is static and static it is impossible to exist in a world where there is. Even if for a short time we are not turning, no day and night, no seasons, no clouds  
don't move, rivers don't flow, or that the arteries in our body or  
It is not even possible to think that our hearts are not working. God who made life exist for us offered the opportunity to live in the most favorable conditions and environment, both our own body and  
and has organized our environment perfectly to serve this life. Actually  
God is not only behind movement and change, but of beauty and order  
there is also behind.  
Cosmological evidence is third, that the universe is infinite, based on finite points to existence. When an object is finite, it means time and space  
in a certain process in the world, it exists and disappears again. Any  
Objects that exist within the time frame and are condemned to disappear after a while are real. they owe their assets to an asset other than themselves. So the world of objects  
The love that will not exist in a certain period of time like them  
and an infinite being is needed. This being is also God. (48)  
The reason for any asset in the world may be another being. Of something  
There will be another reason before that entity that caused its existence. This  
it is possible for the situation to continue for a while. But this rule is forever

It is impossible to continue until. Because after a while that didn't exist and existed that the beings that disappeared with his death are eternally the cause of each other. and logically it is not possible.

When we look carefully at our environment, many things, including our own life, that it is subject to time, it changes after it has passed through various phases, it ages, we see it getting old and disappearing. However, while all this is happening, we think that the existence of a power that does not change or disappear beyond anything is necessary.

Because even if we think for a moment that everything is finite, how far will it go? it will be difficult for us to estimate. Then how this changing and finite realm exists and we will have to ask how he maintains his vitality. After all, behind everything to think of an entity that is not similar to beings that are found and disappear in nature is required.

God is an entity not limited to time and space. He is constantly in motion and is the creator of the changing universe. Therefore, a finite universe gives us A finite, eternal and eternal being reminds of God.

Some people, who are not creative, but who are mortal like themselves. as if their beings (even inanimate beings who are sometimes incapable of talking and doing business)

blessed as if they had extraordinary power, yet the true creator

They have forgotten. The Qur'an is that the world is created and finite to us at every opportunity.

It recalls. Also, some finite beings of some of the old nations

expressing that they have acquired God, but it is very ridiculous and futile.

It has. The Qur'an was sent to Hz. He gives a good example in the person of İbrâhim.

---

## Page 48

Hz. İbrâhim was the father of many people, especially his father, he was unable to digest his taps in some way and reacted violently to them. Within that he did not participate in the belief of the society he lived in, emerging and shining at night, but day

the disappearing stars, the moon that glows after a while, or the dawn that disappears after a while

that the sun rising in the evening but setting in the evening will never be God thought that the only creature worthy of worship is that only God is (Enâm 75-79).

Hz. This reasoning of Abraham has been a good example to people for centuries and leads them

It showed. The mental clarity of a person who believes in God and even in ancient centuries This attitude, which demonstrates its predisposition to positive knowledge, is the same has revealed a situation to be boasted for. Such an understanding, superstitions and superstition

It should not be forgotten how far beliefs are. Scientific in our age

Despite progress, some of the circles are open to observation and research accepting it as a spiritual and mysterious being, not as a scientific object, or how meaningful are the Prophet Abraham to hope for them some benefits it reveals clearly that it exhibits thought.

Hz. One of the important factors in the judgment of Abraham is the realm and the realm.

It is the fact that the things deified in them are weak and mortal. So on their own is that an entity that cannot even exist does not qualify for worship. So the true creator

worshiping these and similar objects while standing still has no explanation  
Hz. This reasoning of Abraham reveals many more facts. first  
The belief in God or the concept of God, as atheists claim,  
it was not caused by fear and confusion. For example, Hz. Abraham and the like-minded  
people (monoteist-monotheists) worshiped one god, no matter what shape  
they are not afraid of the object, but on the contrary, their beings to be feared and worshiped  
they ruled that they were not.  
Second, this example is about the evolution of atheists, both about man and faith.  
also destroyed their views. According to the atheists, “Man is both physically and  
intellectually  
from a simple structure to a more complex structure, and from day to day  
matured. The person who was very godly in his early years and worshiped idols later became  
one God  
he turned to his belief etc. ”  
As the Prophet Abraham shows, a transcendental belief in God is from the first day of  
humanity  
has been there since. Some people later changed their mind and moved away from religion.  
Maybe some have turned to paganism and paganism. However, this situation throughout  
history  
it is not something that will overshadow the importance, supremacy, and widespread belief of  
one God.  
Not only did God create the universe, he also determined his laws and functions. This is also  
clear  
and it is selectively observed by us. For example, ordinary  
or maybe the law of gravity, which we never notice, in the orbits of the planets  
regular rotation, the lifting power of the water, the atmosphere that allows us to breathe  
structure, air environment that allows birds to fly, heating and burning feature of the fire  
Or what would we be like if the land didn't have the power to produce us? A life without these

---

## Page 49

Was it conceivable? Again, for a moment, performing the duties of the limbs in our own body  
Let's think they don't bring For example, the eyes don't see, the heart doesn't work, the  
stomach doesn't digest, or the nerve  
what if we lose our mind if the system is broken, what kind of situations we can face  
can we guess However, all this continues without a hitch and every  
we clearly observe that something carries out the tasks assigned to it. This situation  
the glory of a voluntary being that creates the universe for us, creates the necessary conditions  
for life.  
gives news.  
Scientific advances seen in our century, new discoveries and space works  
New discoveries have also supported the cosmological evidence in a sense. Because the bride  
scientific levels tell us that there can be no coincidence in the world, on the contrary,  
everything is planned and  
shows that it is organized programmatically. In short, a power is planning everything,  
it proves that it has determined its laws to the finest detail. This order of man too  
seeing and taking lessons from it, admire the existence of that supreme power  
It is inevitable.  
Consequently, proving the existence of God based on the existence of the universe.  
their attempts have also been criticized by atheists. However, the atheists

it will not be easy for them to put forward an alternative view that may refute their claims. the Universe

its existence, content and laws, purpose, just for material reasons, as materialists do. it does not present a structure that is simple enough to explain. So in the face of events in the universe

It is not as natural as the idea of God in man. Actually such an instinctive

it is also obvious that it would be wrong to curb or mislead thought or admiration.

The biggest mistake that man has made against himself is that by our wing,

It is the suppression and ignoring of emotions that lead to God and make Him think.

Today, Human has made scientific advances and makes his daily life more orderly.

It has brought. Compared to those who lived in the past, it has come to a better place in many subjects and

technically it started to dominate the world. XX. These advances at the turn of the century

The fact that with the influence of positivism, one can move away from religion and be self-sufficient.

led to an error. This process is going out of the world of man and foot on the moon

until he presses and believes that he can handle everything at once

It has. However, space studies, which is a window for the discovery of the universe, and going to the moon,

to the Human who is moving away from religion and seeing himself bigger (against the size of the universe)

He reminded him of his childhood and taught him to act more cautiously. In human

It contains thousands of stars and galaxies that saw that the world in which it lives is not everything.

that the world is as small as a point in the face of the magnificent size of the universe

has observed. Besides, even in our little world, many things that are still secret to us

There. Man is like "luck" and "casually" in the face of such an enormous landscape

felt the need to reconsider the concepts.

started to see that it would be meaningless. As a matter of fact, there are no accidents in the universe.

however, it shows that there is an order in the world of objects.

there have been scientific studies.

Another thought that takes us to the existence of God after the cosmological proof

emphasizing order, order, aesthetics and purposefulness that we have seen in the universe.

it is teleological evidence.

---

## Page 50

Cosmological proof is in a sense to us from the cosmos and the outer appearance of our world.

it made us think of the existence of God. Teleological evidence is rather in the universe.

Based on the structure, the order and beauty seen there, we are

leads to its existence.

c. Proof of Order and Purpose (Teleological Evidence)

The evidence we have seen so far is understandable and rather rational reasoning.

the forms of proof that required. The order and the evidence of purpose are predominantly emotional and eye

It caters to. With this aspect, it has a structure that can attract the attention of more people.

Even

It is evidence that can be effective even on atheists and easily persuade them.

An atheist who has already rejected the claims of this evidence is likely to believe on any other occasion.

It is weak. After this point, stack up in front of a person who still refuses it means there are ideological obsessions, hypotheses and psychological prejudices.

According to this form of proof, also called teleological proof, there is an order in the realm. God's

Almost everyone, whether they believe or not, is aware of this order. In the universe no matter who is in the existence of this order. For example, our world first all celestial bodies, stars and planets move in an order and they rotate in their own orbit.

The world of all living things, especially human beings, also exists in an order and they continue their lives. All natural events in our world have a certain order and it is formed, develops and continues within the system. On the earth, in the seas and the existence of an order in the atmosphere is observed, and living beings are also defined by certain laws.

They swim, walk or fly without any hitch

Seen. This order, which everyone can think easily, gives us everything it informs the existence of an organizer behind it.

People who grow up in different cultures of the world have this order and aesthetics in various ways.

expresses, writes philosophical, literary or religious works about him. Human alike

He perceived the world he lived in emotions and wrote books full of love. One

Feeling by the poet's poetry, the painting of the artist or the work of the architect, Man is

He did not hide his excitement in front of his art and always expressed his admiration. Earlier As stated, even many atheists are denied this excitement.

He gave up and convinced God's existence. Again, the current order in the realm and Based on aesthetics, many philosophers think that absolute atheism is not possible. reached.

The subject that teleological evidence emphasizes is the order in the universe, order, living and

It is the purpose and purpose that is seen in inanimate beings. Among them Kindî (v. 866), Farabî (v. 950),

Muslim like Ibn Sina (v. 1037), Ghazali (V. 1111) and Ibn Rushd (1126- 1198)

With thinkers, Christian like R. Tennant (1866-1957) and W. Paley (1743-1805)

many thinkers have dealt with this evidence in two ways, and the existence of God

They tried to prove that:

Philosophers based on this evidence, firstly, from the goal and order in the universe.

they came out and reached the existence of God. Sometimes from the existence of God and

---

## Page 51

by acting on its qualities, the path to explain order, purpose and beauty in the universe

They are gone. So they are talking about the order and order of the realm at every opportunity.

He has gone to God's existence, or God's blessings, justice, generosity and beauty

He explained the word to the structure of the universe and explained his views in this way. attempted. (49)

For example, order, harmony, liaison, beauty and purpose in the beings in the Kindî realm

(50) According to Kindî, the perfect structure and order of the universe,

harmonious contact of the parts with each other, everything will protect good, destroy bad

Its arrangement in style is the best sign of the existence of a knowledgeable editor.

Fârâbî and Ibn Sina also pointed to the order and beauty of the universe in their works.

According to Fârâbî, God is the organizer of the world. The universe is also the work of this divine order.

has. Since there is divine justice in this order, there is no injustice in there.

According to Ghazali, God did not create anything in the universe for nothing.

everything in the universe, like the parts of your body being interdependent and harmonious

There is harmony and harmony. So the important thing is to look at the universe with lessons and

to see the wisdom in all beings. (51)

Among the thinkers of Islam, Ibn Rushd was the most important to teleological evidence.

Ibn Rushd first highlighted the concept of "intention", all beings are suitable for human beings.

and that this order is the work of a willful being.

He stated. The claim that the suitability in the universe cannot be on its own and by chance

It has. Secondly, he talked about the concept of "purpose", people, animals, plants,

that everything that exists in the universe, especially the stars, is created,

He stated that he needed the creator. (52)

Christian thinkers in the West, such as William Paley and FR Tennant

they took the evidence and tried to prove the existence of God in this way:

According to W. Paley, a watch we found on the ground was again a coincidence

differs from the condition of any piece of stone. Because the clock is made up of particles

and we see that each particle is carefully processed and put together in an order.

This shows us the state of the universe. The universe, just like a watch, is mind and willpower

(53) Again, according to Paley

the eye confirms the watch sample in the most beautiful way. Different eyes of the organ of vision

parts in a complex structure that allows people to see

arranged. This order of the eye informs us of an intelligent presence.

Moreover. (54)

Both the watch sample of Paley and the example of the human eye are known to everyone.

things are really important and impressive. Next to the eye

The functioning of other parts of the body, the brain and the heart, also taking lessons and

lessons

required examples are. However, the value of blessings and beauties that man has achieved

he forgets over time and may think that cosmic beauties are something ordinary.

Even thinking about the human body without the need for anything else takes us

It is evidence that can lead to the existence of God. Only with its physical or biological

structure

---

## Page 52

not only by his heart, moral and conscientious aspects, but also by his aesthetics and limbs.

it points to the existence of the creator. Can think, speak, understand, have imagination,

It is the greatest work of the human creator with its structure that can love, feel and feel. .

FR Tennant also emphasized the concept of adaptation in living and inanimate beings.

pointed out that the âhenge seen:

According to him, a universe that is in chaos and empty by itself

There is. Because the universe, or cosmos, is understandable in one way or another.

In other words, the universe has specific laws, rules and features. This

it is not that hard to understand.

Despite the fact that the goal and order evidence is so strong, with some reaction from some circles,

encountered and criticized. Some of these criticisms are direct teleological evidence.

Some of the scientific studies have been deliberately made with religious beliefs. It was revealed by confrontation. Some philosophers order and beauty in the realm although he admitted that he stated that there was nature behind him, not God, others argue that there are evils in the world, and that God exists.

They tried to refuse.

CR at the beginning of the objections made to teleological evidence and alleged to be scientific

Darwin (1809-1882) has ideas. Related to the biology science of Darwin his works seem to be an alternative to some people's belief in the creation of religion. teleological evidence will be shaken and demolished in this way as if it had been negotiated.

It was assumed. But this thought did not last long, contrary to claims, Darwin's atheist (55), and in his works it was observed that he did not mention the evolution of man. But Some of Darwin's scientific hypothesis ideas after his death

It was developed by friends and was ideologically used against religion.

According to Darwin, today's living structures are from a simple organism in a natural process.

They came. Living cells also undergo genetic change from generation to generation. Him

According to the change, there are some natural requirements. This is a living entity struggles to survive in the process of change, to adapt to the environment and therefore trying to survive. However, during this process,

they have more chances to continue than others. Whereas

Weak creatures that cannot adapt or adapt to the environment disappear. (56)

This view of Darwin has shaken the understanding of creation of religion by some. But

Darwin's scientific studies on the formation of living beings and some theories

the conception of the creation, order and order of religion by the necessity of conflict

It is not. The claim that a living cell has formed by itself

As it could not be confirmed, there was not always a strong and weak struggle in nature.

In addition to being a human having a physical and biological aspect, emotion also

it is an entity that also has aspects of thought and belief. So biological explanations

Darwin's theory, which tries to make, does not fully explain human beings and other living things.

Darwin's theory, which cannot explain people in all aspects, is far from explaining life.

Are we just biological living beings? Why are all these beings available? Everything

---

## Page 53

is it a coincidence? Why are we born, grow, grow old and die? Why do we educate our children?

and we try to train. Why we strive to count and keep the adults satisfied. Why

we rush to each other's help, sacrifice, sacrifice? Why our bread,

We share our troubles, secrets and opportunities, and strive to be righteous.

All these questions remain unanswered when viewed through the window of Darwinism.

Another important point in Darwinism that is problematic with religion is that according to this understanding,

In this sense, a purposeless and aimless understanding of life is accepted. Above

As stated, according to religion, man is not created in an aimless way. Only human

it is not only the purpose and the wisdom of the creation of the realm. If the

the beings in the universe are purposely and purposelessly, completely biological

if they existed, we will never talk about order, aesthetics and love,

we would not have seen a planned and programmed life.

In addition to Darwinism, another objection against order and goal evidence

it was caused by the existence of evil. According to this objection we should focus on “The universe contains many negative situations. There is mess and chaos around us there are countless evils. So between evil and religious views There are contradictions. ”These and similar thoughts do not reflect the truth and are exaggerated.

it is immediately noticeable. From the order and order in the universe, in the world of living things

from beauty, aesthetics and compassion, who cannot go to the existence of God and criticize it some people admit to trying to deny religion in the face of negative views is not a feasible situation. Moreover, this attitude is against their weaknesses and inconsistencies.

mark is.

### Evil Problem and Atheism

The existence of evils is sometimes to show that the world is not beautiful.

It has been used to prove that God does not exist. Generally, his life is troubled

This objection, put forward by people, has been very effective in some periods and many people's minds

engaged. However, people who believe in the existence of God also accept to some extent it is not possible to cite this problem as a justification for atheism. Occurring

bad events are almost everyone suffering from an atheist or not, and

are events that he does not want. In the world, both in terms of natural events and between people

In relationships, sometimes the order is disrupted and unwanted bitter events are experienced. These

among events such as earthquake, fire, flood, illness, war, cruelty and injustice

It comes.

Some of the evil is about nature and develops outside of us. Besides, we

We still do not know exactly why and how these occurred. But received

With the precautions, the losses of these disasters can be minimized. So the human

If prepared, it is possible to avoid them to a large extent.

Some of the evils are directly related to the human, even the role of the person himself are the events he plays. Therefore, it is possible to remove God's absence from them.

It is not.

---

## Page 54

The majority of the evils encountered are caused by the human element.

Seen. When man was created by God, he was given reason and willpower. Yourself

what good and bad are taught and recommended to be ethical. But all

Despite this, both nature and all living things (language, religion and race difference

Regardless of what happened, it was man himself who made the biggest evil. the gender

killing, persecuting him, stealing his property, starving his children, the heart of another

it is none other than the injured person. So in most of the evils we face

First degree man plays a role. It should not be overlooked.

According to Islam, the people who do the most cruelty and evil to living things are the heaviest

The fact that they will be punished is proof of that.

To share the nature that God has given to him and to benefit everyone

it has also been his own that destroyed it and made it uninhabitable instead of presenting it.

These

there is no need to have extraordinary ability to see. So all this

that we have a better view of the underlying factors and the events  
We need to evaluate it as it is. As a result, against evil  
it is primarily the human being that needs to be questioned. As some atheists do  
To hold God responsible for what is going on is an example of one's own irresponsibility.  
Those who believe in the existence of God, beside the human factor, occur in the realm  
They have also put forward some theses that reconcile the understanding of God with the  
existence of evil. This  
the most important of the theses is, as can be guessed, the good and the worst, the less  
is the view. In other words, in the sense that evil is not dominant in the world, contrary to the  
world  
It is the belief that it is full of goodness. These favors are from the creation (birth) of man  
it continues until the functioning of the planets in the sky. Sea  
It extends from beings under it to birds flying in the sky. The evils are some  
on the grounds that this order is interrupted, paused or interrupted. So the evils  
it does not have a presence on its own.  
Man was created beautifully, he was given many riches and also his nature  
Envy such as compassion, kindness, love and love are processed. So He  
it is not a bad being from its creation. Lie before being affected by a child's environment  
not telling, stealing, speaking the truth, not saying bad words  
matters are the best proof of this.  
In the beginning, it was the person who polluted the clean seas and atmosphere.  
A variety of animals that destroy and burn forests and add wealth to nature.  
kills and eliminates them for reasons, allowing the life of all living things  
a number of tools and equipment that pollute the atmosphere and are inevitable for life,  
technology, intentional  
poor animals that are vulnerable, let them be  
it was man himself who brutally destroyed even his generation.  
It would be ridiculous for atheists to search for scapegoats after all this happened.  
Moreover, this defeat has no cost or eventually the day of the account  
it will be difficult to think that it will not come. Life is neither a theater play nor a dream.  
They are not part of a dramatic movie in pain and suffering. So our existence and

---

## Page 55

total life itself, to be taken seriously and to be considered in the future  
is a fact that is required.  
God's existence and beauty, from a child's smile, to an aquarium  
the colorfulness, the chirp of a flying bird, the scent of a flower, or the full  
It makes you feel its dazzling brightness. So in a total way  
Looking at it, it is impossible not to see the order and order in the world. Of all this  
being pessimistic in front of him, talking about the existence of chaos in the world is first of  
all  
there will be a disrespect for man's own mind. Who can't see this much anyway  
Waiting for a person to believe in God will also be irrelevant.  
According to another thesis about the existence of evil, evil is obtained goodness,  
it is made available to be known and appreciated. Indeed, everything is completely fine  
In the world, it will not be possible to know goodness as "good" and to understand its value.  
In addition, benevolence, compassion, sympathy, heroism, a sense of forgiveness and being  
virtuous  
Diseases such as are not situations that can occur on their own. Occasionally seen  
The pain and suffering are also preparing the ground for the formation of such diseases. (57)

As stated above, people play a big role in the formation of evils. The reason for this is that human beings have free will, and this will will be abused from time to time.

is the possibility of using. God has given man the free will and that will It does not interfere with its use. Although people are given free will, it was recommended to seek beauty, however it is advisable to avoid evil by will It was. However, in this process, people who are given free will to achieve the best There is also the possibility of making wrong decisions. Because it is a mistake to behave freely it also includes the possibility of doing and causing evil. (58)

Sometimes people make mistakes and cause some negative events. So God has persecuted people and deliberately gave evil to them his belief is wrong. According to Islam, God is waiting for revenge and people suffering. it is not a pleasure being. Taking revenge, suffering or suffering from people enjoying is not the job of the creator of the universe. These kinds of things may be blissful, ambitious,

Persecutors who are thirsty for blood and become wild enough to kill children may be the job. God always wants goodness. If it were, it would not create us and the universe. He is also an evil one He wishes to be transformed into a good moment and to make people happy. Suffer or not, all people are servants of God. Evil and the basis of their existence There is goodness and love, not pain.

As a result, people should work and take precautions against physical evils encountered in the world, To dominate goodness by having a virtuous and virtuous personality against moral evil should strive for. According to Islam, the value of man in the sight of God is also for the sake of goodness.

it will come to light with efforts to eliminate efforts and evil. Theists who do not accept the existence of evil as a reason for atheism, listen to them. they tried to reconcile or explain. According to these, the pain and suffering it is not pointless. On the contrary, these are vital for religion. For example, real and sincere beliefs are exposed to the tragedy. At such times, people

---

## Page 56

feeling the weight and seriousness of life and leaving laziness aside they regulate their behavior. Indeed, beliefs control in troubled moments It is known to be measured and measured. (59)

According to some religious people, sincerity is very important in belief. People's to God It is not known whether they are sincere in their beliefs or not. Such At times, people become aware of their condition and measure their level. But at least this is the way to win the love of God according to Islam.

it does not mean that it is through pain and tragic situations. God belief in such cases helping people of course, allowing them to stand and stand It provides. However, such resistance in the face of God in the face of pain and suffering value, trying to suffer when there is no reason to win His love or putting into hazards must be evaluated separately. The prophet of islam even a small creature forbid torment and disturbed their killing, How can it allow people to suffer or suffer on religious grounds? There is also a question of fate about the existence of evil. But this the subject is often misunderstood or described. In some periods of history, God

some people who believe in their existence have become hopeless when they encounter pain and suffering.  
thought that the world is transient and that happiness can only be achieved in heaven.  
they were convinced. So such people are sometimes passive in combating evil.  
remained and withdrawn into their own shells, they continue their lives by being the audience.  
They move. Whereas religion (Islam) and the belief in God, the evil of believing people  
in the face of their tears, imperfections, weakening, sickly  
He forbade them to live, depend on others, to pull skirts from the world.  
The bad events we encounter should be evaluated on their own terms and  
It should be reviewed. In fact, events like this are a fold that God's existence must exist.  
it strengthens and reinforces the notion that the universe cannot be without God.  
As stated earlier, the emergence of evil is due to the disruption of a certain order.  
or due to hitch. But if it were not for God, from a certain order,  
goodness or beauty could not be mentioned. In such a situation, it is called evil.  
there would be no problem. As a result, there is evil that is sad for everyone.  
instead of falling into disbelief with movement, the goodness that dominates the universe and  
our life and  
It will be more rational to go to the existence of God by moving from beauties. (60)  
D. Psychological Evidence (Evidence of Religious Experience)  
The moral and psychological structures of man are important evidence for the existence of  
God. Human  
it is not just an entity with physical and biological functions. It's just the brain again  
it is not a machine like a computer. The creator besides her mind and five senses  
thought, depiction, dream, imagination, intuition, inspiration, etc. It also gave some features.  
Its  
besides that heart, heart, conscience, etc. It is equipped with spiritual forces such as. These  
forces  
thanks to him, he had inner riches such as love, love, goodness, pity, compassion or disaster.  
These riches have been given to man by a great power. Otherwise  
it is not possible for man to have such wealth.

---

## Page 57

The love and love that exists not only in humans, but in all living things, loyalty and loyalty.  
Behind his emotion (at his source) lies the love of God. Already loving and affectionate  
God, who embarks upon these characters of man's character. You can find them from  
somewhere else.  
It is not possible to take (from nature).  
It must also be a source of lofty and moral emotions within us. This source is also  
he is himself. For example, an emotional feeling in the face of maternal compassion in living  
beings.  
the person is unthinkable. In the face of such compassion, a person who does not remember  
God  
his conscience cannot be mentioned. Unfortunately, man has given these beautiful tricks of  
God  
sometimes he forgets, neglects, or places hatred and hatred in their place. Present love and  
It can also be ruthlessly treated against another by breaking the order of love.  
However, despite this, even at this point, many people do not give up on themselves.  
it does not become angry, lose faith and can survive. At the source of all this  
it is also true that the belief in God lies.

Another evidence that leads man to the existence of God is the psychological he has experienced.

They are conditions. The vast majority of these situations are basically mystical religious experiences.

Religious experiences are considered vital for religion for many people. This For people, religion is not just a rational activity, it is a deep experience that must be experienced.

Thanks to these experiences, he reinforces human belief and ensures that God exists. It is.

However, the proof of religious experience is a psychological dimension and the subjective aspect prevails.

It is proof. In a sense, it is personal and does not have an external test facility. because of With this evidence, there is no possibility to prove another's existence to God.

However, another person has no chance to deny this experience. Because a to experience that to claim that such an event has not passed from outside, it has to be in the inner world of man. Such a situation is still in question

It is not. Therefore, the expression of the person who has experience here becomes important.

Millions of people have had such an experience, sensing, feeling, influenced

They claim that they witnessed the existence of God. So they should be taken into account It is inevitable.

The relevance of God's belief to reason and logic is at the core of the evidence so far. or it is. However, believing is not just a mental activity. Mentally

Along with its aspect, religion also has a psychological dimension. There is no emotional aspect anyway

a belief cannot be mentioned. If it were, that belief would remain only a theoretical acceptance.

However, many people experience some emotions in their inner world, from time to time. they are also affected by them. Sometimes, in the event of a shock, happy or

that they felt the presence of God even in an unhappy time, and that they were with him. Say-s. People are sure that God exists with these experiences.

being, feeling her in her self, consciousness and hearts, a peaceful and happy

They live the way. Again, the vast majority of these people are

purifies himself from busy and prevents his ambitions and passions

They are active. Not to fight people, to break hearts for worldly interests,

strives not to crush anyone, by taking humility to them as a principle and arrogance and

They also avoid being rude. With these aspects, religious experience evidence and thus

---

## Page 58

People who emphasize the psychological aspect of belief are separated from other people and they enter a specific lifestyle.

The concept of religious experience in the West and Islam, although it has many common aspects.

did not mean the same things in the world. This concept in the West, people's God (Jesus), Hz.

Mary, meeting other saints and saints while awake or asleep (in dream), meeting them talking and being together. In the Christian world, mostly people's religiousness

it starts like this. In the Islamic world, the concept of religious experience is the heart of faith.

means the emotional dimension that is experienced and gives people happiness. So your religion is with love and

it is the phenomenon of living with love. As God's supreme being and supreme creator

thinking means to look at everything that exists and to look at it through his eyes.  
The best examples of his poems with his poems that he realized in his life  
Among the givers were Yûnus Emre, Mevlâna (d.1273) and Ahmed Yesevî (1083-1166) (61).  
There are such famous thinkers. Therefore, religious experience is incompatible with what  
people believe.  
is to enter into a state and enjoy the work and the friendship of the being that he believes in.  
Islamic thinkers, especially Ghazali, generally believe in imitation, knowledge and pleasure.  
They mention that it has three dimensions. According to these, the principles of belief are  
more  
acceptance at the beginning is called imitation. Science, on the other hand, has some evidence  
of these beliefs  
reaching, knowing and conscious is believing in God. Pleasure is belief only knowledge  
It is a situation of not being at the level of being, enjoying it. Sufis especially this end  
the point is their goal and encourage people to go that way  
they have. Many thinkers, especially Bergson (1859-1941) in the Western world  
He attached great importance to the emotion aspect of belief, saying that his mind was  
insufficient in this regard.  
They talked about the importance of the heart and the dignified life. (62)  
Atheists do not have much to say about religious experience.  
Indeed, it is difficult to bring criticism at this point. Because what's going on inside a person  
it is not possible to estimate from outside and talk about what is happening. They  
all they can do is listen to those who have such an experience and understand them  
It is to work. Or it's just a negative attitude and it's all about rejecting everything  
It will be. Unfortunately, it has often been the case.  
However, in our century, even if he did not believe in God, to understand the person who had  
religious experience  
There are also thinkers who work and want to learn how he feels. For these people  
what matters is not whether things that are described as experience are true, but that is your  
belief.  
are the effects on people and their functions. From this point of view, God  
it is easier to see and understand what role belief plays in one's deep-seated life  
It will be.  
to. Proof of Morality  
Rational and psychological aspects of a person who goes to God's existence, in another way  
he was able to achieve the same result and prove this belief. That direction is also a moral  
is being. Thanks to this feature, human "goodness" "beauty", "truth", "justice" and  
It had concepts such as "equity" and tried to establish them in practice.

---

## Page 59

The moral of man who is capable of going to the existence of God with all his abilities and  
qualities  
It was unthinkable to stay away from this aspect. Conscience, compassion, loyalty within us  
the feeling of compassion, loyalty and pity, together with others, is what God has placed in  
our heart and  
there were signs he embroidered on our nature. For the sake of these values when the place  
and time came  
endangering the existence, life and property of people, giving up many things  
It is an indicator of the belief in God.  
Man doesn't just live for his biological needs. So life is only about eating and drinking  
It is not just about. On the contrary, meeting biological and physiological needs for human

it is not a purpose but a tool. In order for them to achieve some lofty goals, they are things that help and sustain. Indeed, if a human being is just eating and it is unthinkable to exist for a purpose such as drinking. If it were, it would be resolved in the middle

there would be very serious logical problems needed. Indeed, in the forest and daily living life that meets the needs and disappears when the day comes we do not see an abnormality in the state of the assets. Even in their lives (in his own terms) even in his hardest times to see the existence of a moral order we observe that they do not commit flaws in fidelity. So the human the existence of moral values is a major source of God's existence as a source of those values. sign is. (63)

While some thinkers go to the existence of God based on the moral structure of man They also saw him as a guarantee of moral life. For example, the famous German thinker Kant believes that man is an entity that should be happy. He said that it could be obtained. According to Kant, if this is the case, human beings can reach

he will have achieved the best. However, according to Kant, in the current conditions (material in the world) it is very difficult to meet morality and happiness. The world we live in and there are many obstacles in the physical environment. So this is in our current world it is impossible to happen.

According to Kant, man's moral happiness and perfect existence two elements are required. First, it must be immortal. Because The current life of man is very short and full of problems. After all, human beings have an eternal life.

must have. Secondly and most importantly, there is a great power presence is required. The way to think of all this is to make the universe according to moral laws.

it will go through the imagination of an absolute being that runs.

Again, according to Kant, moral principles should not remain only as a principle. They practice

should be poured. If these principles are implemented, they will be meaningful.

The guarantee for this is the existence of God, which will save us immortality. we have seen criticizing rational and traditional evidence such as and believing that faith cannot be based on reason

Saying Kant ultimately went to God in another way (with moral proof) and said that His existence

pointed out the necessity. (64)

## 1. Modern Prejudices

---

### Page 60

Atheist thinkers of the modern era, a reductionist approach that treated religion as a phenomenon.

they tried to define it with an approach. It is essentially a religious, scientific set of beliefs.

They treated it as an object and tried to explain it from a single principle. Naturally

They dismissed the belief in God by saying that it originated here or there. But

In doing so, they overlooked many things. Because they're just at work

They misunderstood the nature of belief. He often draws a narrow frame and

They tried to fit it in the frame. However, the religion of a single principle or a narrow framework and

It is not possible to explain the belief in God in all its dimensions.

In the modern era, the philosopher discussed religion only as a theoretical problem.

worked, and the psychologist saw some tests that were just about emotion.

attempted to interpret the function that the sociologist thought of as a social event.

It worked. Economists also tried to see religion in the shadow of an economic life,

the anthropologist, almost in the backward regions of the world (primitive) people

started looking for behavior. At the beginning of our era, narrow framework like this

religion was tried to be defined and supposedly refuted, but realized that it was shallow.

It has not been reached. Unfortunately in most modern atheists this is almost

troubles have been observed. Indeed, many people realized this situation later

made changes in his ideas.

Of course, very strong objections to the erroneous behavior mentioned above.

and the mistakes made were expressed. Western world weaknesses within itself

Although it caused the emergence of powerful atheists,

Giving counter-based answers has shown its power. Indeed XX. wind at the turn of the

century

The positivist movement that blows and ravages like this is speeding up in the second half of our century.

lost and turned into a sweet nostalgia for many atheists. In a sense, positivism

and the West, which gave birth to atheism, was able to restrain them thanks to its experience.

Unfortunately positivism in the West and the ideas we will discuss in this section

continue to live in the developing or underdeveloped regions of the world

It is. One of the reasons is that there is only a scientific assumption in the West and

ideas that can be criticized easily become an ideology in these regions and

This is because it has been tried to impose (without the opportunity to be questioned).

In the modern period, one more truth has emerged in the face of criticism from atheists.

There. It is either a theory of religion and human life, or a single

is not too simple and narrow to explain. So you and your faith

it has a complex structure. Any explanation without regard to these features

will be incomplete. As a matter of fact, the theories that process this error will also disappear

from the history scene over time.

They were convicted.

It does not deal with the phenomenon of human and religion as a whole,

it was not only atheists who evaluated. It is similar among some religious and religious sects.

attitudes and behaviors are observed. Although they also believe in religion,

Depending on their points, they sometimes described it narrowly. "This is religion."

the things they put sometimes even got the reaction of believers. Their wrong attitude

also led the atheists to a bigger mistake,

It brought the feeling that religion could be destroyed by being refuted. However, what is

religion

---

## Page 61

it is narrow enough to fit one's recipe. Nor does the atheist criticize that person's view is simple and ordinary. So modern era atheism

While evaluating this point should not be overlooked.

After this introduction, atheist who criticized religion from various angles and was very effective in our age

we find it useful to address the thinkers. These thinkers are Comte, Feuerbach,

Marx is Freud, Nietzsche and Sartre. Indeed, when we say atheist in our century, it comes to mind immediately. These are the people who come. However, besides the ideas of these thinkers, even their lives are complex and have a complex structure. So childhood, youth and what kind of personality and life do they have in their maturity periods or in the last years of their lives? They should always be considered. Again, their environment, their education, that what they see or experience is very important to their ideas. It should be remembered. Because ultimately they are people like us. Like every person they may also have strengths or weaknesses, affected or reacted to events donor bodies. So their ideas and their census regardless of their personality and environment, as if it were a general reality defense has no meaning. Besides, it is meaningless to reject them in advance. It is attitude. The important thing is that the mind shows the truth, free from prejudices. and considering their human experience, their thoughts Evaluate. As a matter of fact, they have also experienced this process and followed a bumpy graphic. and his supporters also made self-criticism from time to time. The majority of people who consider themselves atheists believe that they are they tried to support and ground. Again, many atheists criticize religion has made an effort to reveal these people's ideas. Your job is more striking Even those who are not from the world of these thinkers and who do not live in the same culture is to embrace and defend their ideas. However, something that appeals to itself As it is not found, it is a problem that those thinkers will hang out in their own culture. There is. When this is the case, you can either accept it completely or not it will be faced with rejection in advance. So this is primarily about atheism thinkers should be known and their ideas should be known. Of course the first in the world Atheists are not these, they will not be the last. But in today's world most effective on the masses and removing atheism from theory to practical life It should not be forgotten that these are the transformers. Therefore, they are of great importance. Religious beliefs in the Western world thanks to atheists (Christianity-church teachings) he was severely injured and had to retreat to his own shell. But the same thing today it cannot be said to continue. Let the Islamic world, people in the Western world today mostly it seems that they somehow believe in religion, at least they do not object. Even sometimes even individuals who are atheists individually have a religious religion (Christianity) is known to have emerged or defended the interests of that religion for political reasons. How consistent are some atheists in the Western world among themselves? it is a subject of separate discussion. However, an important issue here is this. Some of the Western world is irreligious in our country or in other developing regions. is said to be and does not believe in God. Among those who said that There are two different cuts. The first one is its own aim to be Western

---

**Page 62**

getting away from its culture and religion or even embarrassed by its own people and demanding change are people. These are the reform and Renaissance movements that took place in the West briefly,

it has resulted in atheism and we have to follow this path to develop they are trying to say. However, to spread their own irrelevance, the West it is not overlooked that they want to present it. The second is other than himself they are strict conservative (traditionalist) people who consider everyone irreligious. These are their own deflects the target to make people forget their weaknesses and problems and criticize without discrimination they send their arrows to the other party. It is obvious that both sections are misleading. Therefore, this situation should not be overlooked in discussions about God belief. to need-tive.

## 1. Comte's Positivism

One of the trends that have opened the door to atheism in the last centuries and thus constitutes the biggest danger for religion. There was positivism. This trend caused many people to break away from religion and listen to their supporters. encouraged to fight. As a matter of fact, people who adopt this mentality mostly do what they believe. regardless of whether it is true or not, it inculcated positivism to people (in a sense proposed) tried to eliminate religious beliefs. For a long time, this trend has it is obviously effective. However, the effect and power are only conditioned and somehow did not go beyond. Contrary to all claims, the existence of religion and belief in God today to live in a stronger contrary to what it is, to take its place in history where this trend fell from the agenda. shows that he is holding a face. Because the value of an idea is closely related to whether it is consistent and accurate. It is relevant. However, positivism's claims about religion have been falsified and their beliefs about disbelief have been wasted. It has increased.

The word positivism is not only in philosophy as a school, but in science, art, literature and It was also used in law to express a certain way of thinking. (65) This concept seeing science as the only valid type of knowledge, but also by experimenting with existing facts

an understanding that accepts it as the only object that can be known and examined is the name. It was Saint Simon (1760-1825) who used the term positivism for the first time. Saint

Simon and his friends will stay out of metaphysics to restructure society they searched for a scientific knowledge and a scientific method.

The French philosopher Auguste Comte (1798-1857) continued Simon's ideas, knowledge that gives importance to experiment in science and cannot be obtained by experiment (metaphysics and theology)

He saw it as a fantasy. Comte with an evolutionist approach, all three of the human mind He stated that he had passed the stage and matured over time. Human history

Theological, metaphysical and positivist divided into three periods, respectively:

In the theological phase, which is the first period according to Comte, humanity occurs in nature.

He explained the events for supernatural reasons such as God and spirit. The second term

In the metaphysical stage, humanity is behind the things that are happening in nature.

instead of looking for powers, we can also explain the causes of nature-oriented events in an abstract way.

though he searched again in nature. He used his mind instead of a dream, from ore and land

started to talk about. In the third phase, the positive phase, according to Comte, humanity

put aside theology and metaphysical reasoning, to understand nature by experiment

It worked. Supernatural or metaphysical reasons for the reasons behind the events

---

### Page 63

to explore the laws of the world by observing or experimenting instead of searching

It is added. According to Comte, adult (positive) science will follow

This is the way it is. Again, this phase will be a period when belief in God will disappear. (66)

As you can see, Comte has established a philosophy of history at the same time with three state laws,

He also saw the development of humanity as a result of historical evolution. But Comte  
It is obvious that he was wrong. Like so many thinkers, he is also religious in the culture he  
lives in.

crises, social turmoil, the loss of the church's influence and science

he made his defeat a polemic subject, hoping that religion or the idea of creative God will  
disappear

It has. However, these people who think that they put their mind and science to the forefront  
they lived and were captured by their emotions. After a while like every human being,  
They have completed their lives within the framework of the laws they have set and died. But  
the universe

and every child born, with all the glory and beauty of the living world

Again, with all his innocence, he is the innocent of all those he continues to turn to God.

As stated above, Comte's ideas have been influential in some circles, but very  
also faced serious criticism. According to these criticisms, what is the history of Comte's  
ideas?

on the one hand, even the current state of the world and humanity

Revealed his mistake. Indeed, the phenomenon of religion and belief in God today

lives with its diversity and richness and billions of people continue to believe

It is. Religion (Christianity-Catholicism), which he says will disappear, is still vital.

and continues its activities. So what he'd seen if he lived to this day

It was certain that even Comte would be disappointed in the face of the landscape. Actually  
Comte, who says that religion will disappear, is in his own life, against this idea.

He tried to establish a religion of humanity towards the end of his life.

Despite all the inconsistencies, Comte divides itself into three phases of human history.

He saw his right and expressed these dates as the course of maturation of humanity. Human

did not see it as a mature being on the first day of its existence,

has tied him away. However, this also affected many atheists in our century.

it will be understood at first glance how contradictory the facts are. Thus

to the situation of the communities that have moved away from religion or have fought with it  
in our century.

they come and what they can do, how they turn our world into hell

It is known.

Comte's common experience of what he calls theological, metaphysical and positivist phases

could not see that. Indeed, as stated in history books, even in very old years

high civilizations were established, scientific studies and philosophical works were revealed.

Some of them have survived to the present day, and unfortunately most of them have  
disappeared.

However, there is a fact. He has been with us since the first day when man was created.

is that it has the talents, features and power of thought. Same way

that those who come to the world thousands of years after us will not be different from us.

Such

historical, historical monuments, old temples, palaces, monuments,

we would not find the pyramids, archaeological remains, inscriptions we read, inscriptions.

also

We would have demolished the scientific level of humanity. Because of the centuries

Scientific advances that have accelerated and progressed in the last two centuries without  
accumulation

It would not be seen. Anyone with a little bit of archeology culture and a history of civilization

He will not be able to hide his astonishment in the face of Comte's allegations.

## 2. Anthropological Atheism of Feuerbach

In the modern period, especially XIX. In addition to the positivist views of Comte in the 19th century, another for the faith of God danger is also the ideas put forward from anthropology, sociology and psychology. Of these ideas some of them have been very effective, and some of them have not been directly targeted to religion, but only on people. It fulfilled a suspicion-related function.

The most effective of these ideas and especially the danger of the Christian world

The views of the materialist thinker, Feuerbach (1804-1872).

Although Feuerbach believed in the first years of his life, later on

He is a philosopher who has been cut off from religion by criticizing Christianity. The church is strongly against the concept of God.

opposed, however, gave a great place to people in his thinking. Probably too

Being under the influence of the image of Christianity in Christianity, a relationship between the concept of God and human nature

relationship and as a result of this relationship the concept of God in the human mind

He spoke of his artificiality.

According to Feuerbach, the concept of God is the result of human reflecting his own nature.

It was formed. According to him, believing in the existence of God, in a sense, his own self.

to deny it, to mean its alienation and impoverishment. because this

In this case, man gives his own values to someone else. The main thing, according to Feuerbach

it is man and his own existence. (67)

Unlike Feuerbach, many philosophers believe that God beliefs are inherent in man and

He claimed that he brought this from birth. For example, Descartes (1596-1650) is in the essence of man

that the idea of a perfect God exists and that this innate idea comes to human nature

He said that he was placed by God. According to him, this idea,

It was the most beautiful sign that God exists. Feuerbach, on the other hand, believes in God

he did not admit that he was innate and later on in the mind of God the concept of God

He argued that it had occurred.

As it is known, when the church speaks of God, it is in the form of father, son and holy spirit.

He stated that Jesus was not a prophet (a man) as Muslims believed,

He expressed it as a humanized (embodied) God. At this point like Feuerbach

The majority of atheists grown in the West are between the concept of God and human nature.

he established a direct relationship and interpreted this relationship in various ways.

They thought about shaking. For example, Feuerbach symbolizes human figures like father and son.

claim that the concept of God is revealed by man (Christians) themselves

It has. Somehow he targeted that incarnation (embodied God) and to destroy it

It worked. Therefore, his criticisms directly concern church teachings.

The destruction of church teachings may also be sad for the church. But this result

millions of people outside the church are sincerely connected,

it is not able to bring a negative situation to the concept of the creative God it thinks.

---

### Page 65

Feuerbach's ideas may be meaningful to atheists in a christian world. But the same

it will be difficult for critics to have a similar effect in other parts of the world. E.g

According to Feuerbach, human beings in a sense attribute their own existence to God

Glorified. Perhaps this criticism could have been found in the deification of Jesus.

However, when we look at the religion of Islam, there is a cliff.  
There is a cliff.  
According to Islam, everything that is human is outside the divine, and everything that is divine are far from man. Humanizing something that is already divine, or  
It is not possible to divine what is human. Everything is in its native place. we have seen  
The line between God (Creator) and man (creatures) in the religion of Islam is quite thick and  
It is evident. As in other religions or cultures, a half divine or half human  
no person or object is mentioned. As a matter of fact, Hz. Even Muhammad is like everyone else  
to make a living that you are a human, eat and drink like them, lay down  
that he was working, sometimes he was happy and sometimes sad, in short, with his sweet and bitter aspects.  
stated that he is in life, because of his prophethood,  
He did not find it appropriate to be afraid or afraid. Of course Muslims to Him  
Praise was compiled by and praise was written. But all this is His God  
point to its value on the floor and its precious personality as a good example for people  
is nothing but to. Therefore, neither Godized beings nor what  
There are sinless saints, neither the clergy nor divine institutions like the church.  
According to the Islamic religion, one cannot see God in his own form, like Him himself.  
can not think. Because an entity that is in human form or whose qualities are similar to human  
no. The same Muslim person cannot deify himself. What is he as a human  
is aware that it is. In other words, he did not humanize God as well as human  
does not attempt to sanctify. So by God's human thought  
An objection that is put forward does not bind the religion of Islam. Christians' God  
In describing him with terms such as father and son, such a criticism to Feuerbach  
may have given the possibility. However, these criticisms make sense for the religion of Islam.  
It does not.  
Worshiping nature pagans, figures, symbols, taboos, fetishes, and some  
It is obvious that the tendency to see holiness in people is faced with a violent reaction in the religion of Islam.  
As a matter of fact, keeping such trends synonymous with denialism is sometimes such a religion.  
that anthropological (human-shaped) understanding of God cannot emerge in societies  
shows. Besides, the image of God created by Christians is only  
It is not the atheists, but also the reaction of Muslims and Jews. So the West  
criticism of Christianity and church teachings in the world should be examined thoroughly.  
Extreme care should be taken when moving to other cultures (such as the Islamic world).  
Otherwise, bringing the sin of the church to another system that does not think like it  
it would be unfair.  
In fact, when dealing with the topic of atheism in the modern period,  
reactions to christianity must be processed. Because here we also discuss their ideas  
famous thinkers appeared in the western world and somehow experienced church bigotry

---

**Page 66**

They discussed the problems of Western culture. So this point is always  
will have to be remembered.  
Feuerbach's criticisms of Christianity have also inspired other atheists.  
accelerated their work. Karl Marx comes first.

Marx's negative ideas about religion are an ideological revolution that is not only in theory. In this process, it has also affected the lives of thousands of people. Actually Marx. It is also controversial whether he is a philosopher with a problem of direct religion. Because in one way he is a sociologist who thinks about reconstructing the society or an economic viewer. It is revolutionary. So his complex personality should not be forgotten.

### **3. Karl Marx's Sociopolitical Atheism**

It is not possible to discuss all of Marx's ideas here. Moreover, his views from a single point of view it would be better to accept it as a worldview under the name of Marxism. Listen in this case. Taking into consideration the history, society and economy understanding besides their criticism must. Therefore, Marx's critique of religion becomes important as part of his worldview. One Being an atheist in a sense is a situation that requires and requires being a Marxist.

With the industrialization of Marx's worldview, the social distortions seen in the West emerged as a reaction. In short, the capitalist formed by the West within itself is a reaction to the system. So the West in the last two centuries to understand Marx the structure of his society should be thoroughly reviewed and his views within this framework

it should be subject to evaluation.

Marx dealt with the social structure, such as Comte, who established positivism, the problems he saw.

he wanted to rearrange the political and economic structure. Production in societies (bourgeois), employees (workers-proletariat) of those who possess their tools and equipment has always found it crushed, to prevent equality and injustice.

He said that one should be ended. The shortest way to this is regardless of its shape.

it will take place with workers' power. In this, according to Marxists, everything including violence

It should be done.

According to Marx, the removal of the power of production from the bourgeoisie is as easy as it seems.

It is not. Because they have very strong weapons in their hands. One of these is religion (Christianity). Therefore, for the oppression and exploitation of people in society, The religion used as a tool must be abolished. Because religion is a kind

He acts as a drug. (68) While religion is being abolished, it must be taught that it is self-liberated.

While Marx gave the drug role to religion, he explained the human experience with a single theory.

his ideals, personality, belief, behavior, character

reduced it to its material structure. He looked at history with this eye and is behind everything that is going on

also sought financial (economic) grounds. According to him, history is entirely class and exclude.

is full of conflicts.

---

#### **Page 67**

Religion has been heavily influenced by Marxism for the reasons we have expressed. criticized and tried to be refuted at every opportunity. Even these criticisms and attacks are philosophical

It remained at a level, and withdrawing into the ideological field, the way to fight religion was made. So a

In every sense, an effort has been made to spread irreligion by trying every way. In this process too

the ideas of materialist thinkers were brought to the fore and materialism dominated. It was requested. However, despite these efforts, it was not successful and Marxism was not. It is not. A number of political successes have been achieved, but in the conscience of individuals and

The belief in God could not be dismantled in his heart.

Marx's views on ideologically advocated social life in some countries

wanted to be passed and became a political propaganda tool. Engels and Lenin

Marx's ideas, together with his views, consider himself atheism and materialism as a principle.

it has been the main source of inspiration for socialism. In many places where socialism is dominant

atheism has become an ideological acceptance under the name of "scientific atheism."

It has been imposed. In the last sections of our study, we will devote a lot of attention to this issue.

Marx's understanding of atheism is also called proletarian atheism. Because according to Marxism

Claiming the existence of God means looking after the bourgeoisie and working for them.

It is the future. To say that God is gone is to be on the side of the Proletariat and

will work in their favor. (69)

As can be seen, in Marxism, there is only one theory of humanity or human history.

it is clear that it is not shallow enough to be explained. However, Marxism speaks some truths

However, it caused many situations resulting in disaster for man,

caused unforgettable suffering.

What Marxism brought and took away has always been the subject of debate. also

what he brought to the fore and what he neglected was also constantly discussed. Of course this

The approach of the people to the issues has been different and different ideas have been proposed. But in the old days

There are so many experiences of thinkers who are Marxists and spend their life for the spread of Marxism.

what they said after the experience is more important to us. Thus

the vast majority of these are due to the narrowness of Marxism, neglecting the human element,

He mentioned that he misunderstood history and misunderstood religion. Already the point reached

reveals how right these criticisms are. In addition to these evaluations

I would like to say the following.

Marxism itself, which aims to eliminate religion and belief in God, is also time

it became a religion in it, and it was tried to spread and sanctify its supporters.

Indeed, Marxist ideology and the leading ones of this ideology were also deified. People also

he was forced to submit to sacred values. Marxism that became a religion

Just like other religions, it has established rules and sanctions. Marxist worldview and this

the unfaithfulness put forward in the framework, without even being questioned,

was introduced. Judgment on both society and the individual against contrary opinions and

The prosecution was carried out. Freedom of belief and property, which is the natural right of the person,

taken from the hands of people in the name of freedom.

Perhaps Marxism subjected the social structure to discrimination like "bourgeois" and "proletariat".

may be true for capitalist societies. But the capitalist of an ideal Islamic society it is the public of everyone that there will be no society. Besides, this distinction is also in human experience.

not suitable. Contrary to Marx's claim, similar structuring in all societies

It is unprecedented. In other words, it is possible to create such a formation without class conflict.

not knowing, both the society and the individual's right are protected (muslim) communities have also been.

Humanity existed before Marxism, the oppressed rights were protected and exploited opposed. In addition, when there was no Marxism in the history of humanity for thousands of years, the victim was oppressed.

to the bourgeois (!), who first ran the religion, to the help of people who were suffering in some way and

He defended their rights against the oppressors, kings and sultans and relieved their suffering.

Religion is a morale for many people even in today's extreme conditions.

remains a source of motivation.

Marx saw religion (Christianity) as serving the bourgeoisie, and this view

was generalized. Indeed, XIX to some elements that will prove this determination of Marxism. and

XX. It may have been found in the Western society of the century. Maybe the church and the ruling class together

his work might have brought Marx to such a point. But as always stated

Western and Western culture is not a common example for humanity. So their particular their social and cultural structures and the crisis they encountered in this period and

It is not possible to accept the problems as universal.

However, religion (Islam) has started to injustice and injustice since its first day.

alongside those who have suffered, were underestimated, oppressed, snored and somehow discriminated

it has been the lifeline of the exposed people. This situation is clearly in the person of the Prophet.

As it is seen, in the coming years, scholars (especially lawyers) who were his heirs

It was also observed in their attitudes. Islamic legal history, with rulers (statesmen)

It is full of discussions of fukaha (jurists). Although there are exceptions, many scholars

refused to take office in the state administration for various reasons, besides the administrators

management, even at the expense of imprisonment (or whipping)

has not approved their application. They defended the rights of the individual even in the most difficult moments,

law is not as a power of the state, but against the administration for the happiness of society and the individual.

they saw it as a shield. Administrators and those who hold power are religious values.

because of the fact that they have arranged themselves, they have been gentle towards the people and their rights

they supervise. As a result, the church and the clergy in the West together with the state

While there is a dominant factor on it, in the Islamic world, scholars and lawyers are against government,

It was located next to the people and the individual and became their shelter. So the difference is good

it is necessary to see and speak accordingly.

There are also serious flaws in Marx's understanding of history. Affected by this school people generalized this point of view for the history of Islam and have a huge history.

They scribble. To understand the events that occurred in the history of Islam within their own conditions and

instead of telling, he tried to see with his own glasses from an outside approach and this

In the framework, they made subjective evaluations. Seen in the history of Islam and

The virtuous and wise attitudes that emerged in the personality of the Prophet were ignored.

The simplest relationships in daily life, ordinary problems between people, people

---

## Page 69

habits, characters and beliefs have been evaluated from a Marxist point of view.

Everything that happened in the course of the stream was tried to fit into Marxism's understanding of history.

Islamic history especially Hz. The period of the Prophet was deliberately ignored.

despite the rarity of the human element in the later times, and

The negativities, conflicts and bigotry encountered today are exaggerated and a huge civilization was tried to be scribbled.

Those who act with the Marxist conception of history believe in the belief of Islam, the prophet,

the understanding, human love, the Holy Quran with a conscious or unconscious

They tried to scribble in a distorted manner. That religion is just a social phenomenon

based on his acceptance - and how wrong it is - Islamism is also materialist.

and tried to be defined with a positivist approach, its universality, transcendence (revelation dimension)

and morality is shaded, anti-idolatry and oppression, equality and justice

being next to him has been ignored.

Hz. Wise advice and dignified life of the Prophet;

warnings that wealth and fame are not everything; related to racism and tribalism

prohibitions; severe objection to slavery and gender discrimination, daughter of the person who will be unfair

even if he will punish him, his principles are left aside and he struggles to disappear.

was accused of unfair and disrespectful of some bad qualities. Hz. Prophet

While these are being done, in the past or today in different parts of the world from time to time

thousands of people, including children, women, old people and sick people

people who caused their death, starvation, deportation and suffering

He was proclaimed as a hero and brought to heaven for ideological reasons.

Islam, like other religions, contains some beliefs. To these

believing or not believing is a job for people. At this point, coercion, pressure

and methods of imposition are, according to Islam, already contrary to the nature of man and faith. But

As mentioned above, some of our century-old scientific attributes

ideologies distorted Islam's belief in God, the prophet and the hereafter, in a different way

they saw the right to explain. You can see him in some parts of the world.

The religious, which has kept with animist, totemist and fetishist beliefs and even degenerated in the West

they did not avoid comparing with institutions. All this is done with science,

It clearly demonstrates that it is incompatible with morality, philosophy and objectivity.

Marx's ideas had a great impact on our century,

upset Material difficulties, especially in developing or underdeveloped regions it attracted many people living in it and made them dream of sweet dreams. But Marx's views, like many ideas, are in fact correct and wrong, he lost his charm. Leaving behind frustration, frustration, sadness, even tears It was drawn into its own shell.

Alongside Marx, one of the thinkers who made relentless criticism against religion Freud "stop. Along with it, atheism increased its speed and became widespread in the West. Freud "la

together, the beliefs of God with the spiritual structure of the human being concentrated in the field of psychology.

The relationships between were laid on the table. Appeal against religion (Christianity) in the Western world

the points have increased and the attacks have intensified.

---

## Page 70

### 4. Freud's Psychoanalytic Atheism

In the modern period, some psychologists, especially Freud, are based on the psychological structure of human beings. They tried to refute the belief in God. Actually, the science of psychology is like refuting or falsifying the belief in God. he should not have had a duty. However, the aforementioned scientists have determined the methods of this branch of science. They thought of destroying the belief in God by using them and showed an ideological attitude in the name of science. (70)

Although Freud and those who think like him have some useful

Although they have been found, he was biased about the belief in God and erroneous

They concluded. The scientists in question are mentally ill people instead of analyzing their structures and helping them,

They have reached a number of wrong provisions on matters. The more interesting one is this wrong

has built its provisions on the psychological state of people who are not healthy there are.

Freud, who investigated the psychological disorders that arise on his patients, pressure of society, existing laws, family and religion at the source of discomfort argued that he was. According to him, the desires and wishes of the person as a result of these pressures

suppressed and pushed into consciousness. This desire and unsatisfied pushed into consciousness and

requests caused some discomfort in the human body and abnormal gave way to behavior.

Freud founded the belief in God in the psychological relationship between the child and his father.

He was looking for. According to him, the sense of protection in the child continued throughout his life.

the difficulties encountered have placed such a need for protection in the mind of the child.

So the idea of God is the difficulty that man faces in childhood and

It originated from a mental defense system developed against disasters. (71)

In other words, some of his fears, anxieties,

Feeling guilty was an important factor in the emergence of the belief in God.

As we have seen, in this study, we emphasize on every opportunity and

between the concept of the creative God we repeat and the concept of God revealed by Freud. there are huge cliffs. So Freud is, in a sense, the God in the mind of religious people.

Instead of understanding and analyzing its reflections on the person, Greek mythology, primitive tribal beliefs, the mind of patients who grew up in an unhealthy environment and suffered a mental crisis

measured their structures and generalized them. Therefore, in the name of science, God He thought that he had solved the secret of his faith. But Freud was very seriously wrong Seen.

As well as being Freud's cocaine addict as a person, he is an emotional and depressed having personality (72) is serious about the correctness of his ideas. made us hesitate. Many, including famous philosophers and psychologists one's criticism, yet millions of smart and healthy people are still It is so simple to believe that God exists and continues to believe. show that there is no.

---

## Page 71

In contrast to Freud's belief in God and his childhood period it can also be translated and used against atheism. For example, disbelief It can be seen as a repetition of a mood brought by man in his childhood. Because a that the child is jealous of his father, afraid of him, because he could not bear the pressure of his father, trying to get rid of it as soon as possible to reject God (authority) under consciousness, It can lead to disbelief. Indeed, troubled childhood period due to family problems those who have passed are closer to anger, rebellion, crime and disbelief clearly seen.

Freud discussed the concepts such as totem, taboo and fetish in his evaluations about religion. It has brought. However, such concepts exist for some local beliefs in Africa. divine religion (Islam) is not related. For example, totem, a person considered sacred in primitive tribes,

is the name given to objects such as animals and trees. Taboo, on the other hand, is it is the immunity that is thought that something that will hurt when touched. Fetish is nature means the idol who is thought to have power over and worship.

However, Islam was idolatry as its biggest target in its early days, He chose primitive beliefs, superstition and witchcraft, and with their utmost power they dominate

tried to break down the Arab tradition. In short, nothing but God is holy He stated that he could not be and was not worthy of worship and he was deemed sacred at every opportunity.

He talked about the weakness and weakness of idols. Actually, this Islam it also means a kind of enlightenment and modernization movement. One is to establish the basis of scientific mentality. Positive science has made it the most has been a great contribution. As a matter of fact, the fact that the first Muslims succeeded in a short time and

This mental clarity provided by the Qur'an is the basis of establishing a civilization. or it is. With all this in the middle, some of the atheists were still

What could be the relationship between primitive beliefs other than ignorance ?.

While some atheist psychologists examine the psychological structure of belief, some truths They deflect. For example, they explained the belief in God with the happiness of people.

They thought he was comforting them. However, even at the cost of his life,

They have ignored those who do not give up. People think of the concept of God as a to enjoy it from time to time by holding it like a decorative item or when they get bored they do not have to share with their troubles. Again in the happy days of the people who believe in God

A phenomenon that they will forget, and they will show some spectacular ceremonies during their troubled days.

it is not. The person who believes in the existence of God feels his faith throughout his life and

it is shaped according to that belief. He looks at life that way. Such a belief also includes love, passion, excitement and some risks and anxieties.

It is hosting.

People believe in God just to be happy, as atheists claim.

They are not. Because it's incredible to be just psychologically happy with God. God it should not pursue practical and pragmatic goals within its belief. Happiness and peace of mind

Of course, God is one of the biggest advantages that faith provides to people.

There is also a fact in the middle. Spiritual structures of people who believe in God is healthier and more stable. Psychological resistance against tensions experienced they are not destroyed because they are strong. The belief in God is the joy and sadness of his life.

---

## Page 72

It keeps people alive during their periods and prevents them from losing their balance.

Preparing the ground for his virtuous life, such as old age and illness

During his periods, he supported him in the face of the fear of death. So such a

the belief is that the person will get depressed, feel lonely, go crazy,

to be incompatible with his environment, to be frightened by unfounded fears and primitive obsessions.

not to let it fall. Psychologists see this aspect of faith

They were not delayed and expressed the psychological effects of the belief in God on man.

## 5. Existential Atheism of Nietzsche and Sartre

Two more important thinkers who played a role in the spread and support of atheism in the modern period has. These are Nietzsche and Sartre. It has a rebellious spirit structure against their own culture.

thinkers rejected God for a number of emotional, moral and existential reasons, traditional (religious and moral) tried to draw attention to the freedom of man by breaking down all values.

The thinkers in question specifically focus on the understanding of Christianity in God and on this understanding.

has fought relentlessly against the established moral structure and living without faith is a principle

they learn. According to these thinkers, Christian morality also shrank people and their freedom

It has been lost. Both thinkers are depressed by a depressed world

It is possible to qualify as people. (73)

Nietzsche, who said, "God is dead, we killed him," expresses a life without God.

revealed what he wanted. According to him, the death of God is God in the human mind.

It means destroying the concept and removing it. (74) In this case

according to Nietzsche, man will regain his freedom and dignity,

he will have determined himself.

Sartre, who was greatly affected by Nietzsche, also has no God for human freedom.

He suggested that it should be. According to him, there is no freedom if there is God. This

In this case, the human will be deprived of the opportunity and power to create its own

essence. According to that

No absolute value can be mentioned because God does not exist. So human

he has to create his own values and his own worldview.

Therefore, He is alone in the world and left alone. (75) Therefore, he is

doomed. It has to form and determine its own substance in liberty.

The ideas of both Nietzsche and Sartre are not only in the West, but around the world.

aroused great excitement in their places. To many despair temptations,  
It has become the solace of people who are depressed and depressed. But both thinkers  
that his claims should not exist morally rather than refute God's existence  
These are the types of cries or complaints that have been put forward with a pre-acceptance.  
His interlocutors were also overwhelmed by the pressure of Christianity, suffered and  
searched

(76) The things they put out were ordinary people  
rather than having thoughts that people can adopt, people who walk around and act  
contradictory  
are thoughts that you will like.

---

## Page 73

In addition, Nietzsche and Sartre's thoughts were directed directly towards Islam.  
it should not be considered as criticism. Because both thinkers reject God  
It is not the God of Islam. It seems that these thinkers are rather Christian  
These people show that God (Jesus) was tragically crucified,  
that people are born sinful and cannot be acquitted unless they go to church and get baptized.  
when he sinned (as seen in the Middle Ages) he was brutally thrown into fire, even after death  
They grew up in a culture where he was frightened by hell. Years of religious wars and  
church

They voiced the intellectual freedom of a society oppressed under its pressure. (77)  
Therefore, understanding and evaluating such thinkers within their own circumstances  
is required. Not considering their projects and ideals as a universal norm  
is required.

Although the opinions of the thinkers in question are important, they are against them (Islam).  
it is not possible to see it as improved theoretical objections. In the eyes of these people, God  
It has ceased to be a god and has taken another identity. So what convince these people  
neither is it possible to accept the concepts in their minds. The best thing to do  
leaving them to their own state and seeing that they were wrong over time  
will wait. These people deny God in the name of morality (liberty) and virtue.  
they have. Whereas, according to Islam, it is important to be moral and virtuous with the  
belief of God.

roads were opened to the end.

When we look at the Qur'an and the life of the Prophet,

It will be seen that they are recommended to have a moral, rational and personality.

Indeed, God created man in such a talent and allowed us to be ethical.

It has to offer. There is no prerequisite for virtuous living under any circumstances. So  
regardless of religion, language, race, social status, material status, health, peace, everyone  
There are a number of human and moral norms that must comply with. Man in adverse  
conditions

Even this feature should be preserved. This is what religion wants. So the claim of atheists  
on the contrary, religion (Islam) does not have a negative role in morality. This is religious  
it is the result of religious ignorance and an ideological attitude.

Whether he believes in God, whether in the West or the East, some people are unethical  
is their lack of attitude. It is possible to hold God responsible for this situation.

It is not. To a social life or to the detriment of people, in which immorality prevails

God cannot give approval to things. So some economic and social

getting rid of people's immorality or the distress they have fallen into

it is not understandable for them to reject God. At this point, the freedom put forward by the  
atheists

ideally, it will be synonymous with irresponsibility, irregularity, mess and chaos. It is clear that man is often an emotional being. The belief of this emotionality, it is reflected in moral and science issues and therefore can be misleading. It should not be missed. So to build disbelief on a number of sensational reasons, It would not be right to oppose the belief in God because of people and some institutions. Moreover, such an attitude will not make people happy, and their current problems It will not solve. Indeed, in places where unbelief is common, people should and it is difficult to say that they are socially happy. Besides, many of those people no longer hides frustration and hopelessness about disbelief. Thus

---

## Page 74

Many of those who have saved themselves from the influence of denial ideology are in the foreground of the love of God.

they turned to a new way of life, and they started to look at the world differently.

The duty of the prophet of Islam is to make a first degree of morality of women

(78) When calling people to the belief in God, moral values are a prerequisite for them.

his commitment inspired him to be a good person and to leave bad habits. All

Despite these, some people's inappropriate behavior under the name of religion, hypocritical, beneficial or interest-filled actions are encountered. Of course these are those people

it is about their personal weaknesses. Therefore, the negativities caused by the human element

It is a great injustice to think that it is caused by God and to criticize religion.

## 1. Scientific (Materialist) Atheism Imposition

Atheism, which is essentially a philosophical problem, was seen as an ideological acceptance in our era and some political

It was used as a propaganda tool for (communist) parties. Especially during the Soviet Union, Marxist and

It was seen as an inseparable part of the Leninist world view and was presented as "scientific atheism".

It was taught as a compulsory subject in education and training. All people are intended to be atheists, even

this was not considered sufficient, and it was stated that everyone should actively fight religious beliefs.

In these countries where materialism is accepted as absolute truth, atheism's scientific (religion), which is said to be based on (materialistic) foundations and which is seen as a social event,

There was an intense struggle to disappear. In this context, all religious beliefs, institutions, worship, ceremonies, habits, customs and traditions were strongly rejected and It is prohibited. In this respect, he separated himself from atheism in the West, bourgeois atheism.

He criticized his concepts and style.

Scientific atheism as a materialist worldview that is opposed to idealism

presented. In addition, to spread self-disbelief in theoretical and practical aspects.

He saw the method as an atheistic education system in a sense.

As stated earlier, materialism claims that matter is the only true being.

He continued and rejected realities such as God, spirit, metaphysics. Owner

We suggest that the features such as consciousness, thought, perception that we are are manifestations of matter.

continued, did not recognize the existence of a spiritual space. Especially Marx and Engels

The dialectical materialism it pioneered is that the universe exists on its own,

emphasized that he has no creator, no dependence on God or human mind,

He argued that consciousness came after matter. (79)

Scientific atheism, because of its principles summarized above, all religious thought,

He saw it as a critique of emotions and behavior. In addition, the phenomenon of religion in itself

found the right to explain, refute and eliminate and dictate it to people

tried to get it done. The source of religion is the social and economic structure in society.

social consciousness such as culture, morality, law, politics, aesthetics.  
evaluated as one of its forms.

---

**Page 75**

Materialism, which has a godless worldview and is the basis of scientific atheism generalized his fight with idealism, his principles are correct with a holistic approach, Other views involving metaphysics, especially idealism, claim to be false It has. In this process, of course, his own mistakes or the truths of others vision has lost its objectivity. Everything that happens in life and human history he tried to see it through his glasses, to interpret what was going on with a materialist approach  
It worked.

Considering the existence of matter and claiming that everything that exists originates from it Of course, great criticism was directed against materialism. Very serious criticism throughout history

faced with many questions that he had difficulty in explaining. Such as shapeless could not explain how the present universe came from a substance, with the life of man, about her psychology, beliefs, ethical values, thought power and desires it could not produce a systematic philosophy. Great about the existence of the universe and human life

he fell into a deadlock and found himself in uncertainty. (80)

How matter emerged, how it formed man, how it portrays and that he made an intellectual moral being, still answered by materialism

It is not. It is not satisfactory in the assumptions put forward and attributed to this subject.

Failure of a living cell to form spontaneously even in all kinds of laboratory conditions, the fact that it was impossible to occur caused the materialism to be blocked at the beginning of the work,

it has sent materialist thinkers to explanations about the existence of coercion.

The reduction of human thought and intelligence to matter is another dilemma of materialism. It has been. In addition, as well as the function of the brain and the spiritual structure of the person,

by their beliefs, everyone can no longer be explained only by the material structure.

has been an accepted situation.

Scientific atheism based on the views of Marx, Lenin and Engels

tried to spread the relevant baseless, accusatory and destructive ideas. In this context, biased and made unfair remarks and took an ideological attitude. On the one hand, religion to be rude while criticizing, talking empty and agitating the feelings of religious people supporters of disgusting scientific atheism, on the other hand,

stating that he is fake and imaginary and has nothing to do with the real world

They reveal their faces. Besides, going further, religion is ignorance,

claimed that helplessness, ignorance of the laws of the universe, myth and unfounded beliefs, the lie that social development is the enemy of civilization and personal freedom tried to spread.

This type that any healthy person with his mind, logic and conscience cannot say unfortunately things have been propaganda for science for years, memorized in schools and public

the bulks were forcibly accepted. This assumptions by many people who have read blindly imitated and advocated for ideological purposes without being considered correct. been introduced.

---

## 2. Scientific Distortion of Atheism and Islam universality

Scientific (materialist) atheism has expressed many groundless ideas about Islam and its historical events. distorted the name of scientificity. Despite all the negativities, justifying the Marxist worldview and justifying to proclaim the Prophets and Hz. Tawhid, which Muhammad also passed on to people (one God) his understanding has been darkened, and the established civilizations have been tried to be shown badly with some absurd statements.

The materialist atheists Islam is a divine religion with the belief of oneness.

They did not understand it as they tried to explain it for some material reasons. Firstly

In the emergence and spread of Islam, the social and

they emphasized economic relations. While explaining the beliefs of the Islamic religion, Mecca

the structure of the society, the geographical features of the region and the climatic conditions

They bring. Worse still, the old idolatry that Islam was trying to destroy

society exploitation, slavery, greed, tribalism, witchcraft, vendetta, fetishism

They tried to cost their habits to Islam. Unfortunately, this attitude is still in our day.

maintained by similar circles. Some countries, nations, societies, groups or

evaluating the person's person and reducing them to their own characteristics and

They try to accuse unjustly. The real model of Islam is the Prophet

He does not take into account His attitudes, words and deeds, but what happens in history and

The political, geographical and commercial fights that the Prophet would never approve of

they try to present it as itself.

Marxist atheists Hz. They did not want to understand what Muhammad had reported.

He claimed that he was influenced by his surroundings and ancient religions by making some slanders to him.

they have. Not only that, Islam was against development, thought and discussion.

They spread the lie that it was, and at every opportunity there would be a distortion even behind ordinary beliefs.

they sought the element.

In fact, the religious understanding of scientific (materialist) atheism and its interpretation of the belief in one God

it contains many scientific errors. Let us refute religion and falsify their claims,

he has shown great weaknesses even in understanding and depicting him. This is how it happens

it is also natural. Because they looked at the events with their own glasses,

people who dream of and want to see in the name of religion,

they tried to show. In short, what they call religion is not religion as they call Islam

it is not true Islam.

For example, atheists, the framework of the religion of Islam, the social and economic

They claimed that their structure was determined. Because they do not believe in the existence of God

That Islam cannot be divine originated and that Mecca society has revealed this religion.

They expressed. However, Hz. When we look at the Prophet, Mecca in his personality of the community and the ordinary person living in that community.

we see that it is not. Again, the principles of Islam are the thoughts of Mecca society and beliefs that scrape their existing values upside down instead of having dreams

It has been. So even a little bit of history is just how wrong Marxists' claims are

we do not think it can be easily accepted because we know they are looking.  
Hz. A modest life until the prophet came to him at the age of forty  
the aristocrats (many nations and camels  
rich tribal leaders) did not care for their lives, a large part of their life  
he had only one woman, did not compliment paganism, did not believe in witchcraft, and  
mistreatment to women, the elderly, girls and slaves (maids) around  
He opposed to be killed. Now that the economic structure at that time was decisive  
Even if we accept it right for the moment, it does not occur, on the contrary, Hz. All kinds of  
Prophet

Even if it is at the expense of comfort, luxury and richness, it endures the difficulties and  
sends its message.

We see that it continues.

Hz. It was also said that the Prophet was influenced by his surroundings and ancient religions.  
Of course

The Qur'an contains religious and moral values that the previous prophets informed.

However, this situation Hz. The messages of the Prophet are not from God but from the  
culture around him.

does not show that it has received. Both the Qur'an and Hz. To the thoughts of the Prophet  
When we look at it, we do not see any work from the beliefs that prevailed at that time. E.g

There was idolatry around the Prophet. However, contrary to the claims,

he had fought against. There were Christians and Jews among the people he met.

However, with the understanding of the Christianity (trinity), he was clerical, as the son of  
God.

He was seriously disputed by his sight and incarnation and did not accept them.

Hz. The Prophet rejected the Jews' understanding of God that day.

However, according to atheists, the Prophet should have been influenced by them. But such a

If the influence was true, Hz. In the words of the Prophet, the existing beliefs (teslîs,  
Incarnation, baptism, primary crime, etc.) would have to be found. However, the Prophet

Despite the mention of God, the comments of the Christians he met

He refused them because he did not follow the original. After all, something like this

and Hz. It is obvious that the Prophet did not make up anything from his own head.

emerges.

Again, according to atheists, the religion of Islam is Hz. The society in which the Prophet  
grew up

was about the structure. However, the Qur'an and Hz. When we look at the words of the  
Prophet,

we see that it is not so. They are a religion that emerged later in Islam.

They stated that there was no continuation of a tradition. This is a monotheist

tradition and Hz. Hz. All the prophets until Muhammad

is the monotheistic religion he describes.

Hz. Moses, Hz. Jesus or the Prophet. No prophet in history, especially Muhammad

it did not bring a new religion on its own, nor did it make such a claim. All in one

It will be worshiped, blessed, or bestowed on God to inform people of its existence.

They became the ambassadors of religion, who taught that there was no existence to be  
annexed. Again

none of these prophets either ask people to bless themselves or

They taught that the true God, who did not want them to be worshiped, was merely creative  
God.

Why is there more than one religion (Judaism, Christianity, Islam) in the universe?

questions such as, or whichever is true or false, though

will be gone.

---

**Page 78**

However, this monotheistic tradition was sometimes mentioned in the name of the related prophets and

started to be called by their name until the other comes, or over time

although it is called by the name of the prophet,

it seemed to be a different religion, as it was slightly removed from the tradition. One in essence

but today, all divine religions whose beliefs are interpreted differently are

are the religions sent by the same God. The last of these religions is one God

Islam has preserved its understanding in the best way up to the present day.

Islam did not see itself separate from what other prophets said, Hz. Adam and

Hz. He claimed that he had claimed the tradition of tawhid since Ibrâhim and at this point the same as the others.

stated that. The reason why Islam is in conflict with Christianity is

Christians deified Jesus and behaved contrary to the monotheistic tradition.

The reason for the similarities between Islam and other religions, as stated above,

they are all an extension of the same God and the same tradition. Between all three

common features are basically the news of three separate prophets sent by the same God.

It has been thanks to similar beliefs it has given. Prophets are the same

they saw it as the continuation of the ring and said something supporting each other. But

Even though his fans fell apart and separated from each other throughout history,

that the prophets are united among themselves and that they are the harbingers of the same God

stated. In this respect, Hz. Muhammad, the last link of the prophets series

and naturally, all people were believers, idolaters,

He invited the Christians who believed in the delivery with the Jews, to the belief in one God.

In this case

repeating the messages of the prophets before him, idolatry, immorality,

What could be more natural than trying to eradicate shirk and persecution?

The main source of the belief in God is the monotheist tradition as above.

If we understand together, in this case, the social structure in which the prophets grew and we see more comfortably that economic conditions remain secondary. The current social structure

to reveal and influence the prophets, the prophets,

they came to restructure and change.

Many of the societies that the prophets came from were in immorality, swearing,

we see that there are extreme people in cruelty and perversity. Indeed, the arrival of the prophets

The reasons are also to eliminate such negative situations and lead people

It is shown. All the prophets are convinced of people from shirk, idolatry,

He tried to discourage paganism, perversion and bad habits,

they invited to believe, love and beauty. Even in their own time,

faced with ugly attacks that faced obstacle, slander and denial, and endanger their lives

They stayed. So it is rational under the calls and messages of these people,

Instead of seeing moral and scientific factors, ideological obsession and prejudices

Trying to find the reasons will not be correct. The truth-free and historical

To explain Islam with empty slogans that are far from the truth,

it will not fit in science.

Nevertheless, not believing in God and his apostles is a man's own  
The decision. But what a person doesn't believe in God and rejects religion is what he doesn't  
believe in

---

## Page 79

not giving the right to say inconsistent, nonsensical things about him, as well as insulting and  
swearing

he never gives his right. Once something is intellectually refuted, that thing is ideologically  
their smear is different from each other. Unfortunately, many materialists

They made it, and contradicted their own principles, they were mistaken.

Marxist atheism, which accepts socialism as a way of life, as an enemy  
while criticizing the capitalist system it sees, feudal structure, bourgeois domination, labor  
He used concepts such as exploitation and a number of slogans in his own way. Justify the  
principles

and the other side was severely abused. True or false

to a side where there is a remarkable point on the subject. And that is this:

The limits of ideological debate and conflict are not limited to both understandings.

it got a significant share from the discussion. Christianity in the West Islam in the East

It was negatively affected by these conflicts.

Marxist atheists are similar to the criticism they have made against capitalism.

They are directed. It is as if Islam predicted a capitalist system or social structure.

as if he was in the position of Christianity. Whereas Islam is neither XIX. century europe

What Christianity believes is neither Christianity nor capitalism brought about by Western  
culture.

Again, Islam is not socialism. It is not possible to be so. So Marxist

the atheists' religion of Islam and the civilization formed by that religion

it was wrong for them to look and see their own prejudices there.

Marxist atheism has the most natural rights to people for thought (belief), property and  
enterprise.

However, religion did not recognize its freedom, however, it prevented freedom, development  
and social peace.

They claimed that it was a lie. Indeed, these inconsistent claims are similar today.

It is repeated frequently by circles. In fact, even this issue is discussed

it will mean that claims are taken seriously. But it is also worth saying:

People's freedom is given importance in a materialist or Marxist atheism approach.

It is true. But the freedom of this freedom to be just a materialist atheist (or

necessity) is clearly evident. Where a materialist atheism is dominant

let people reject atheism, even freedom to criticize atheism

There is. Even in such places, even a non-Marxist atheist

It is not. So in a materialist environment, in other words, scientific atheism

where he is dominant, believing in God, worshiping Him, expressing his belief, religious  
ceremonies

participation, shaping your life according to religious values or religious motifs in daily life

It would be a dream to wait for freedoms such as accommodation.

In environments where scientific atheism dominates freedom, human beings

the privacy of the family with natural right has not been respected, the name the person wants

to use it, dress it the way it desires and educate its children as they want

even intervened. Ancestors of Marxist atheists who promised freedom to people

he did not take a good look at the values of Muslim societies, at every opportunity, their  
history,

They tried to isolate their national and cultural identities. So much so that in family gatherings, To tolerate religious motifs even in ceremonies such as marriage, marriage, birth, and funeral. They can not. But how sad it is that such people despite all their contradictions he was able to talk about freedom and what he did for the happiness of society they say. Of course, such a strict attempt to atheism can force any kind of imposition and enforcement.

---

## Page 80

Although it could not continue, it has lost its power today. Of course, this situation is a number of not accepted by the circles (some Marxist atheists) and theoretically still such a it has been argued that the world view is valid. "Did we go wrong?" or "This job Even the intellectual Marxists who say, "It doesn't go like this." somehow they have been apharose from their environment. The power of the capitalist system, which tramples social morality and cultural values Of course, the theoreticians of socialism will not be empty. Unfortunately In our age, the majority of societies are they had to choose one of the economic systems. Both systems it will continue to be the antidote to each other. A similar dilemma in the history of thought there was an idealism and anti-materialism. But it is a fact that world systems such as religion (Islam), capitalism and socialism, or It is beyond intellectual contradictions such as idealism and materialism. What are these currents of religion neither will he advocate the negativity of any party, or behalf of another. is in a position to criticize the other. The peculiar understanding of life of religion and its peculiar values are available.

## **G) ATHISM AND THE TRUTH OF ISLAM**

As seen so far, atheists have rejected God's existence for various reasons, and they opposed faith. Of course, Islam is silent in the face of such an attitude as a divine religion. not only, he called on people to believe in the existence of the creator, he said to them that disbelief, paganism and He explained that idolatry was futile.

As mentioned before, there is not a single atheism understanding. Therefore, the reactions of atheists to Islam are different. Some atheists theoretically only God denied his belief, but to argue with a believer He did not enter. While some refuse, they theoretically and practically criticized religion and He struggled with beliefs at the opportunity. Very serious controversy of Islam with these two approaches but as a result, if they are not convinced that they are trying to persuade them, It is also a fact left alone. However, there is a third approach. That's the name of atheism the belief in God and this belief in society, fighting under all religious and moral values. trying to destroy everything that reminds them, it is necessary to use political and are ideological attitudes. Indeed, such atheists have existed throughout history, albeit with different sheaths. with all their strength to establish a faithless and immoral structure, They fought against those who believed in God. That Islam reacted, condemned and deemed it necessary to struggle atheistic understanding is this third approach. Such (ideological) atheists are just because they believe victimizing people, driving from their homes, restricting their rights, when necessary

he risked killing, shed their blood, meant their lives and property, language their chastity extended. Diary of believers' individual rights and freedoms to include religious and moral values in their lives, education and entertainment. they objected, in short, they even intervened in their private lives. Unfortunately this kind of

---

**Page 81**

denialism has become synonymous with persecution, torture, oppression and rape from time to time. Especially

such attitudes that prophets and early Muslims were exposed in today's world are also frequently encountered. Even though some people (philosophers) are violently atheists

Islam also reacted to such attitudes that it opposed. Even belief them criticized him for his inhuman and intangible attitudes and behaviors rather than being a He advised them to end these habits as soon as possible. In the Qur'an, this situation Numerous samples are available.

The Qur'an and Hz. When you look at the life of the Prophet, your primary goal is people It will be seen that there is a facility of belief in oneness. But this also imposes on people It is evident that it is not presented in the form and is presented as optional. Indeed, in this matter

both the Qur'an and the prophet preferred a completely persuasive method and They summoned the glory of the universe and called it to God. When this is the case, in the religion of Islam

it is certain that freedom of belief will be widely seen. However,

There are also issues that Islam does not allow and do not consent to.

One of them is idolatry. That is, some objects that are not certain are sacred. human dignity is trampled because of being counted and attributed to them

It is taken. Another is the persecution, massacre, genocide, rape among people.

Inhuman acts such as torture, injustice, discrimination and exploitation. Now the importance of Islam

When these are her primary issues, her relationship with atheism will be easily understood.

As stated above, atheism remains only a matter of faith and remains inhuman and

If he does not adopt immoral moral attitudes, beyond the struggle in the intellectual plan, in practice there will be no conflict. Especially if you believe in today's conditions or believe in mutual understanding in societies where many different people live together and The presence of tolerance is inevitable. However, as seen in our century, atheism is sometimes

takes an ideological attitude, goes beyond being a point of view to inhumane practices

If it is a step, it is not possible for Islam to remain silent to it. So this is important the point should not be overlooked.

The Qur'an is not just believers or non-Muslims, but any Muslim.

everywhere that strongly opposes similar attitudes and behaviors that may come from a person

He demanded the spread of justice, equality, virtue and love. Already meaningful to him

What makes thousands of people in different languages, religions, colors and geographies, especially crushed,

people who have been exploited, expelled, despised, and injured

It has been these universal values of Islam that have enabled him to be in his heart.

Islam is both the Quran and the Prophet. Of God through the Prophet

He has put forward a lot of evidence to show his existence and provided several examples of them.

Indeed, all of the evidence discussed here is in scriptures, albeit in various ways. It is mentioned. Especially with the evidence of the world (cosmological), the evidence of the goal and order (teleological). it has been used frequently, and in a sense, it has been aimed for people to think about the universe. in the Universe examples of what is happening are exhibited, as to how all this exists people were asked to immerse and exemplify. There are answers in the Qur'an to criticism from Islam atheists. Hz. The Prophet He did not interrupt dialogue with believers and continued to talk and discuss with them.

---

## Page 82

To them, especially the subject of the existence of God, the life, the universe, our existence. and the whole universe is real and nothing around us is coincidence. tried to explain. Again, Islam means that man is a mortal being, but his goodness, With his virtue and belief, he stated that he could deserve immortality in the sight of God. He emphasized on faith and morality.

## 2. Atheism's Approach to Islam

In addition to the attitude of Islam towards the unbelievers, atheists also have attitudes towards Islam. There are generally two approaches here. The first one is based on purely philosophical concerns. are criticisms that are put and thought. In such criticism a certain level and an intellectual attitude Seen. These criticisms remain entirely at the level of thought, practically destructive and immoral. It is turning. Because religion or belief in God is a purely theoretical subject of discussion in such atheists. they are elements of a mental problem related to the world viewpoint. However, in daily life, morality and aesthetics It is not a matter of conflict in such matters.

In the second approach of atheism, ideological criticism is quite different from the first. came to the fore. On the basis of these criticisms, ideological preference rather than theoretical concerns judgments and obsessions are seen. So much so that while expressing ideas in this framework, Especially in terms of style, it is common to hurt and insult people who believe. It is encountered. Undoubtedly, Islam cannot be expected to remain silent against them. Even It is inevitable to fight against these within legitimate measures. Apart from the above two approaches, listen to Islam (with Islam) but its beliefs there are atheists who do not accept. Some of these are the culture they live in They saw it as part of it, therefore they expressed their respect. Some of them Even if he did not believe, he found Islam's belief in God close to believing and accepting it. Hz. Nor did he conceal his sympathy for Mohammed. Although they do not believe in Islam as a part of culture, non-atheists have a peaceful attitude with their environment in their social relationships and business life. They were found in. Never struggle with your opponent or tell them he did not think about the intervention. Some atheists have made comparisons between religions and they have They admitted that it was different from the others. This situation is quite common in Western culture. It is encountered. From the paradoxes, dramatic stories, and churches of Christianity some people who are overwhelmed by their non-humane past, are either in atheism or other they see passing to a belief. At this point, the holy wine of the Islamic church it looks as pure as the drinking water in the glass. However, clean water for those people a lot of fuzzy inside (church bigotry) and outside (ideological propaganda) there is an element.

## 3. Islam Against Atheism

Despite the distortions of the atheists, Islam is evident with all its simplicity and innovation. Far from the negative qualities attributed to him by atheists (especially materialists), it remains a hope.

Contrary to what atheists think religion (Islam) that appeals to humanity is neither an ideology nor an ideology

it is not only an economic and political structure, nor a philosophical worldview. That universe and

embracing living things, welcoming all people to be human,

language, color, culture, social status, economic status, gender, background or past

The only God who does not judge, believes in the belief of God, devastating paganism and justice on earth

is a vast belief system and life order that aims. Size and embrace

in life matters that offer us basic principles in every aspect of life.

It is a universal system of values that proposes solutions. Complimenting creatures of the material realm, who recommends goodness and honesty among people.

is a lifestyle that makes you think. In this sense, Islam is

It is not narrow enough to fit in your mind, it is not only narrow enough to fit the whole being, history, social structure and

It is not a shallow look to approach humanity with a single principle, as did the ideologies.

Islam, which protects the balance of society and individuals in the social field, is both the individual and the society.

divine, which protects the rights of the people, is the defender of individual rights as well as the social order

is a religion. Like some ideologies in Islam, it is possible to create a gap between the society and the individual.

he did not know, he did not sacrifice one to the other. Based on the principle of justice and equality in law

He recommended that all people abide by them under all circumstances.

Islam encouraged to work, trade and to make money through honest ways, to deceive, it violently forbade the lie, exploitation and crushing the debtor. Research in science and encouraged experience, confronted with superstition of superstitions, superstitions and prophecy

It has received. He gave importance to consultancy and election in management, and his administrators were servants of the people.

as he saw. The curse of rulers, kings, sultans, dictators who persecute their people

read, call them to be kind and compassionate, old, poor, orphaned

and recommended the protection of the weak.

Islam rejected all kinds of egoism and racism, for this purpose killing and persecuting refused, interfering with someone else's life, property, family, faith and private life

It also prohibited it. Respect for Muslims, non-Muslim beliefs

advised them to show up and not to say anything badly to someone else's belief,

he advised no one to be pressured about faith.

Islam stated that daily worship can be done wherever it is clean.

He did not run any space conditions. Certain forms such as prayer, fasting, zakat, and pilgrimage

Even in meetings that are mandatory at times, the human body and psychology

Considering its structure, disease, ignorance, dangerous situation, childhood, old age, forgetfulness, etc. He did not hold anyone responsible for the circumstances.

Islam stated that belief is a matter between the servant (man) and God.

it forbade positive or negative foreign intervention. Great importance to scholars that no one can speak for God, including them.  
and stated that he cannot impose on people, as seen in Christianity.  
also did not allow its class (clerical structuring).

---

## Page 84

He stated that no one can enter between man and God even in Islamic meetings.  
don't send anyone else to heaven or hell among people  
He stated that he had no authority. Forgive anyone in the name of religion even if he is a religious scholar  
He also said that he was not in a state of punishment.  
Although Islam attaches great importance to worship,  
this kind of a person who did not see their despair or pessimism because of this  
He recommended that people recover themselves. Islam is on various grounds because of those who have gotten into habits like alcohol, drugs and gambling,  
He asked them not to get away from religion, even though it was difficult, this kind of habits gradually came to an end.  
It reminded that it should be consumed. Islam also forbade hopelessness. History and  
No matter what they do, a person can turn to God and that every stage of life stated that this is a good opportunity for the job.  
As it is seen, Islam is important both individually, socially and universally.  
set out principles for ensuring happiness, why it exists and where  
He presented a vision to the people with the explanations that he brought about going.  
Atheism, on the other hand, provides people with a vision and satisfies with the realm of existence.  
it has not been possible to bring explanations until today. Just why we exist  
not about relevance but also about how we exist and where we're going  
atheism has nothing to offer. Indeed, to expect such an explanation from atheism  
also has no meaning. Because it is a reactionary attitude. A systematic and harmonious world  
by taking a critical attitude towards religion and belief in God instead of revealing the view.  
contented. It will open up the horizons of people as it can not put anything new, hope to them  
Nor did it offer a system that would give and enlighten the future.  
The above situation is also valid for ideological atheism. Even there are all kinds of  
Despite imposition and intellectual naturalism, there has been a blockage and people are in search of new  
It was observed. Some people still haven't renounced ideological atheism theoretically  
Although they are observed, they are known to have self-criticism as a result.  
Despite all, Islam is in front of humanity with all its simplicity and charm.  
It stands. Despite all the negative aspects of tradition and different cultures,  
their own resources (the words of the Quran and the Prophet about religion)  
It was delivered. It seems that the resources that tradition offers us  
the differences that will not be underestimated and underestimated  
There.  
What is Islam, which is essentially peace, fraternity, virtue, cleanliness, human love and tolerance  
Unfortunately, it is presented differently than it is today. Appealing to all humanity  
Although it is identified with the traditions and cultures of some societies in the Middle East.  
Islam remained on the edge in a century when it was most needed, and modernity  
He was not allowed to fill the spiritual void he needed. Ignorant and rude  
the religion of Islam, which is hated and distanced by some people because of people.

all kinds of superstitions, paganism, fetishism, witchcraft, misery and science  
Although he was away from his hostility, he was presented and slandered like a reactionary religion.

---

## Page 85

Some of the tradition (especially in the last one and a half or two centuries) against Islam  
The atheists' objections are also not meaningless. In any case, they are also human  
seeing some negativities caused by the element and getting away from religion  
it is possible. As a matter of fact, such events are frequently encountered today. But  
it is not religion (Islam) responsible, neither God who bestowed life on us, nor "One another  
rejoice. You will not have believed unless you love ... "is the Prophet. (81)

## LAST WORD

The question of the existence of God is a common problem of humanity. What is its religion, language, race, color, geography and culture?  
It is an issue that almost all people, no matter what, are interested and emphasized.

It is an important issue that even those who refuse cannot get out of their minds and have ideas about it.

The majority of people believed in God's existence and were very strong in their existence.  
they made evidence. Some people did not believe in such an entity and in their favor  
They also rejected the evidence brought. This problem is very serious among the parties in  
question.

caused controversy and led to long disputes. Only philosophy and theology  
not only in his books, but also in literary works, novels and poems.

It is widely included.

Those who believe in the existence of God are the philosophical, scientific, theological,  
mystical and moral of this belief.

They stated that they had foundations and explained them one by one. Leading to atheism

They stated that the rationale had no scientific, rational and ethical value.

The number of ways that lead man to God is very large. Of the roads leading to disbelief  
the number is too small to be reasonable if it is reasonable, logical and conscientious.

On the basis of what is happening, it is not the power of the theoretical atheism itself but the  
ignorance displayed in the name of religion,  
inconsistency, hypocrisy and inhumane attitudes lie.

Although it is theoretically possible for a person to be an atheist, it is very difficult to realize.

is a situation. As a result of a simple reasoning, the intention here is that a person

it is not that he sees himself as an atheist. Because atheism is only the result of a verbal  
preference

is not a situation that will arise. What is meant is philosophical consideration for atheism.  
and with logical inconsistencies, moral, existential, and psychological dilemmas.

XX. In the 21st century, many things have been put forward, spoken, written and

It is plotted. Of course, it brings up and discusses very serious problems.

there are valuable ideas. Indeed, any philosophy (especially philosophy of religion)

It is possible to come across and read them with pleasure. But atheism in our century  
unfortunately, the majority of things done on behalf of it are consistent and fair intellectual  
discussions

Instead, there have been ideological discourses that have become rhetoric of atheism. Closely

Looking at the things that arise, rather than ideological science, ideological philosophy and

ideological atheism has been observed. On the basis of these discourses, positivist or

There are materialist influences. However, as seen in this study, the existence of God

---

## Page 86

the issue is wide enough to fit within the narrow framework of any ideology and human

It is a vital problem that embraces all aspects of your life.

A person's rejection of God's existence essentially depends on his own will and rationality.

is something that depends on your reasoning. Indeed, many people who act like this

It was observed. However, if a person is absolutely unbeliever or by no means mind

It is impossible for God not to bring his existence. Many about the impossibility of this

there is evidence. Even in many who reject the understanding of God of traditional religions

similar concepts are encountered. Most of those who say they are unbelievers

who, including materialists, perform the function of God in their minds but

Different values are seen in nature. So from an absolute atheism

It is impossible to speak.

Many objections to criticize the existence of God theoretically until today

was expressed. Scientific, rationality and logicity to these objections, especially in our age

It has been adorned. However, as stated here, these qualities should be believed or not.

are situations that are not monopolized by anyone. It is also an independent

about whether something is scientific, rational or logical,

There are no general valid precise criteria. Because these terms are elastic

Since it is possible to use it in different forms. So these concepts

Its use in the name of atheism is completely misleading and distorting and some weaknesses

futile efforts to strengthen and sustain claims.

Despite all the efforts of atheists, there is strong evidence against God's existence.

Unable to put it. However, a lot of evidence has been put forward regarding the existence of

God.

These evidences refute the atheists' claims that there is no God.

Among them, being (ontological), realm (cosmological), order and goal (teleological),

mystical

there is evidence of experience and morality. Actually, for some people,

it does not even need to be brought. Because God's existence is to be proved for man

it is a very clear and distinct issue that it does not need. So atheist denial

It is surprising.

Atheists had no choice but to criticize the evidence. Besides

they could not provide persuasive opinions that support their own perspectives. put in

also it does not seem possible. Indeed, it is necessary to criticize the evidence theoretically

does not mean atheism. So the intellectual criticism of these evidence

It is clear that it will not result in disbelief. Besides, the majority of those who made these

criticisms

is not an atheist. Atheists can only make philosophical criticism for their own benefit.

Uses. Dislikes one or some of the evidence, even though he believes in God, or

There were also thinkers who opposed its content. These are the essence of any evidence and

it does not refute its main idea and can only go beyond the discussions on its logic.

Atheism, which cannot find a strong ground in philosophical discussions,

He tried to find a place in some scientific assumptions. Not yet proven and

The effect and power of these theories, which cannot be proved, are quite

it has weakened and its prevalence has ended. Among them, Comte's positivist;

Anthropological Feuerbach; Marx's sociopolitical; Freud's psychoanalytic and Nietzsche

---

## Page 87

Sartre has existential atheism. Numerous studies have been done on these theories

So many views have been put forward in favor and against.

Those who put forward the above theories or their sympathizers

generalized and defended them as if they were true in all circumstances. allegations

Although there are countless examples that have been wrong, they have not given up their ideas. Result

He has been unable to understand religion and belief in God, and according to his own perspectives.

they interpreted them.

Indeed, the correct aspects of the theories in question,

It is not possible to say that there is no. As with all ideas, these thinkers

their opinions also have more or less accuracy shares regarding their own conditions.

Of course, there will be elements that can be taken seriously and that need to be considered.

But

besides, the mistakes are seen and expressed with the same honesty

is required. Unfortunately in some circles this honesty is not shown, even ideological

It is also known that they were sanctified due to concerns.

The theories in question are generally related to Christianity and the Western culture created by this religion.

are the reactive movements caused by. XX. all over the world in the first half of the century

these influences are actually the internal reckoning of Western culture. Of this culture

These assumptions, along with the widespread use of various means, are also widely accepted

He has seen. However, it is not possible to say the same things today. Hence them

This point should not be forgotten when defending or criticizing.

In addition to philosophical debates, atheism is sometimes put into ideological forms and some

it has been made the official policy of socialist administrations. As it is known, Marx, Lenin and

Conscious anti-religious policy in socialist countries based on the ideas of Engels

It was monitored. This understanding based on materialism as a religious social event

he saw it and decided to do whatever it needed to eliminate it.

As it was founded on Marxist and materialist principles, it was used as scientific atheism.

Although this approach has been weakened to a great extent nowadays,

It continues its life with the power of the administrations.

It is essentially a theoretical problem and entirely of one's own will and conscience.

when atheism that concerns turned into an ideological thought, it lost its philosophical feature and

turned into a political material. Understanding the world with an ideological perspective

of course, the life of society and religious belief, especially human history,

They interpreted according to their acceptance. Not what he saw as he approached religion and belief in God

They also gave importance to what they wanted to see. Principles and pre-acceptance in their minds

they tried all kinds of ways and propaganda tools to verify and prove it.

they were also partly successful. However, to see that such attitudes are not permanent

It is possible.

Ideologies that adopt atheism as a principle are so natural, static and

they displayed an imposing attitude. Therefore, in his criticisms of religion, he asked the other

they did not give a chance to respond or correct misunderstanding. Of these ideologies

---

## Page 88

people who are affected by what is taught and said to be real

it would be an extreme optimism to wait for them to go out.

Ideologies that define themselves as scientific, philosophical and free-minded

they have become the most born, strictest and most prohibitive schools in history. individuals they even put mortgages on their lives, thoughts, beliefs, in short, their liberties. Its institutions or intellectual movements, including religion, are ideologies. They have fallen into sight. However, religion is neither an ideology nor an economic system, nor it is a philosophical school. This is not the case, as well as the addressee of any ideology or school. It is not. Trying to refute ideologies in an intellectual way, even criticizing them It is difficult. Because these ideologies are XX. It has been turned into contemporary religions of the century. That's why Responding to their claims is not as easy as thought. Even in themselves they react violently to small interpretation or adaptation. allegations no matter what they say or what they say, they will not accept. Because they have their own truths, and these truths will never be discussed or are not things that can be criticized. Whether philosophically or ideologically, atheism will continue in the future it is possible. However, if there is one thing, it is as in the recent past. in the future, atheism cannot be covered by scientific, rational or liberty. Regardless of human atheism, what pain, rationality or freedom is He has learned as a result of experience. Probably to date he will not leave aside his accumulation and gains and to similar deceptions. It will not be fooled.

## REFERENCES

Affifi, AE, Sufi Philosophy of Muhyiddin İbnu'l-Arabî, trans. Mehmet Dağ, Ankara 1975.

Akgun, Mehmet, Turkey Materialism "Introduction to Effects and First, Ankara 1988.

Alston, William P., "Teleological Argument for the Existence of God", The Encyclopedia of Philosophy, ed. Paul Edwards, London 1972.

Aydın, Hüseyin, Nietzsche as Metaphysicist, Bursa 1984.

Aydın, Mehmet, "The Rationality of Believing in the Existence of God" Islamic Studies, II, October 1986.

——— Philosophy of Religion, Izmir 1987.

——— God-Moral Relationship in Kant and Contemporary British Philosophy, Ankara 1991.

Ayer, AJ, Language, Truth and logic, London 1990.

---

### Page 89

Bedevis, Abdurrahman, History of Min "İ İlhâd fi" İ-Islam, Beirut 1980.

Berman, David, A History of Atheism in Britain, London 1988.

Bernard, Lightman, The Origins of Agnosticism, Victorian Unbelief and The Limits of Knowledge, London 1987.

Bolay, Süleyman Hayri, Philosophical Doctrines and Glossary, Ankara 1996.

Buckley, Michael J., At The Origins of Modern Atheism, USA. 1987.

Bukhari, Mohammed b. İsmâil el-, Sahihu "İ-Buhârî, I-VIII, Istanbul 1981.

Collins, James, God In Modern Philosophy, London 1960.

Cottingham, John, The Rationalists, Oxford 1988.

Debray-Ritzen, Pierre, Freud Scholastic, trans. A. Fikret Gökdemir-A. Çetin Ertürk, Ankara 1991.

Descartes, A Discourse on Method, London 1949.

Duralı, Teoman, Philosophy of Biology, Ankara, 1992.

Edwards, P., "Atheism", The Encyclopedia of Philosophy, ed. Paul Edwards, New York 1972.

Edwards, Paul, "Common Consent Arguments for the Existence of God" The Encyclopedia of Philosophy, ed. Paul Edwards, New York 1972.

Feuerbach, Ludwig, The Essence of Christianity, ing. trans. Marian Evans, London 1843.

Flew A, "Divine Omnipotence and Human Freedom", New Essays in Philosophical Theology, ed. Antony Flew and Alasdair MacIntyre, London 1958.

——— God, Freedom and Immortality, New York 1984.

Freud, Sigmund, Introduction to Psychoanalysis, Trans. Günsel Koptagel-İlal, Istanbul 1984.

——— The Future of an Illusion, Eng. trans. WD Roson-Scott, London 1934.

——— Totem and Taboo, London 1983.

Gaskin, JCA, The Quest for Eternity, UK 1984, p. 71-3.

Geach, Peter, "The Meaning of God", Religion and Philosophy, ed. Martin Warner, Cambridge 1922.

Gilson, Etienne Dilemma of Atheism, trans. Veysel Uysal, Istanbul 1991.

---

**Page 90**

Goudge, TA "Charles Robert Darwin", The Encyclopedia of Philosophy, ed. Paul Edwards, London 1972.

Gürsoy, Kenan, Problems of JP Sartre Atheism, Ankara 1987.

Hick, John, Philosophy of Religion, USA 1963.

Hook, Sidney, From Hegel to Marx, USA 1962.

Hume, David, Dialogues Concerning Natural Religion, ed. RHPopkin, USA 1988.

Küng, Hans Does God Exist ?, London 1991.

Lange, Friedrich Albert, History of Materialism and Critique of Its Meaning Today, Trans. Ahmet Arslan, Izmir, 1982.

MacIntyre, Alasdair, "Pantheism", The Encyclopedia of Philosophy, ed. Paul Edwards, New York 1972.

——— "Sigmund Freud", The Encyclopedia of Philosophy, ed. Paul Edwards, New York 1972.

Mackie, JL, "Evil and Omnipotence", The Problem of Evil, Ed. Mary McCord Adams-Robert Merrihew Adams, Oxford 1990.

——— The Miracle of Theism, Oxford 1982.

Magee, Bryan, New Thinkers, ed. Mete Tunçay, Ankara 1985.

Malik b. Enes, al-Muvatta "I-II, Istanbul 1981.

Masterson, Patrick, Atheism and Alienation, London 1971.

McPherson, Thomas, "Religion as The Inexpressible", New Essays in Philosophical Theology, ed. Antony Flew- Alasdair MacIntyre, London 1955.

Mossner, Ernest Campell, "Deism", The Encyclopedia of Philosophy, ed. Paul Edwards, New York 1972.

Muslim, Abu-l-Huseyn Muslim b. Sahihu Muslim, I-III, Istanbul 1981.

Nielsen, Kai, Philosophy and Atheism, New York 1985.

Owen, HP, "Theism", The Encyclopedia of Philosophy, ed. Paul Edwards, New York 1972.

Paley, William, 'Natural Theology', The Existence of God, ed. John Hick, New York 1973.

Passmore, J., A Hundred Years of Philosophy, UK 1975.

---

**Page 91**

Russell, Bertrand, "Am I Atheist or an Agnostic", Bertrand Russell On God and Religion, ed. Seckel AI, New York 1986.

Sartre, Jean-Paul, Existentialism and Human Emotions, Eng. trans. B. Frechtman, New York 1957.

Sena, Cemil, Conception of God, Istanbul 1978.

Smith, George, Atheism The Case Against God, New York 1989.

St. Anselm, "Proslogion", Philosophers on Religion, ed. Patrick Sherry, London 1987.

Sutherland, Stewart, Atheism and The Rejection of God, Oxford 1977.

Taylan, Philosophies of Religion in Necip Islamic Thought, Istanbul 1994.

Topaloğlu, Aydın, Atheism Problem in Contemporary British Philosophy, (unpublished doctorate thesis) 9 Eylül Uni. Institute of Social Sciences, İzmir 1996.

Topaloğlu, Bekir "Allah" art., TDV Islamic Encyclopedia, Istanbul 1989.

Tucker, Robert, Philosophy and Myth in Karl Marx, London 1971.

Ural, Dawn, Positivist Philosophy, Istanbul 1986

Voltaire, Philosophical Dictionary, Eng. trans. and ed. Theodore Besterman, UK 1971.

Wisdom, John, "Gods", Logic and Language, ed. AGN Flew, Oxford 1951.

## NOTES

(1) John Hick, Philosophy of Religion, USA 1963, p. 4.

(2) See. Mehmet Aydın, Philosophy of Religion, Izmir 1987, p. 162.

(3) HPOwen, "Theism", The Encyclopedia of Philosophy, ed. Paul Edwards, Macmillan Rep., New York 1972, I, p. 97-98; Bekir Topaloğlu, "God" mad., Turkey Religious Foundation Islamic Encyclopedia, Istanbul 1989, II, p. 471-498.

(4) For detailed information on the definition of atheism, see. Aydın Topaloğlu, Contemporary British

The Problem of Atheism in Philosophy, (unpublished doctoral dissertation) 9 Eylül Uni. Liberal arts

Institute, Izmir 1996, p. 2-31.

(5) George Smith, Atheism The Case Against God, New York 1989, p. 20.

(6) Stewart Sutherland, Atheism and The Rejection of God, Oxford 1977, p. 5.

(7) David Berman, A History of Atheism in Britain, London 1988, p. 2nd.

---

## Page 92

(8) Nielsen, Philosophy and Atheism, New York 1985, p. 123.

(9) AJ Ayer, Language, Truth and logic, London 1990, p. 23, 120-1.

(10) For detailed information, see. Mehmet Akgun, materialism to Turkey Introduction and First Effects, Ankara 1988, p. 11-57.

(11) Michael J. Buckley, At The Origins of Modern Atheism, USA 1987, p. 1-3.

(12) Buckley, ae, p. 4.

(13) See. Ernest Campell Mossner, "Deism", The Encyclopedia of Philosophy, ed. Paul Edwards, New York 1972, II, p. 326-327.

(14) Alasdair MacIntyre, "Pantheism", The Encyclopedia of Philosophy, ed. Paul Edwards, New York 1972, VI, p. 34.

(15) AE Affifi, Sufi Philosophy of Muhyiddin İbnu'l-Arabî, trans. Mehmet Dağ, Ankara 1975, p. 36;

(16) Antony Flew, God, Freedom and Immortality, New York 1984, p. 31.

(17) Bertrand Russell, "Am I Atheist or an Agnostic", Bertrand Russell On God and Religion, ed. Seckel AI, New York 1986, p. 85

(18) Lightman Bernard, The Origins of Agnosticism, Victorian Unbelief and The Limits of Knowledge, The Johns, London 1987, p. 17. Agnosticism is always anti-religious

it was not treated as an attitude. There are also agnostic ones, although they are religious. So those people who are agnostic because of their suspicions and approach atheism not to be confused. These people believe that God's existence they brought to the agenda, that God is known by reason and believed in his heart They stated that there were different things. So about God in everyday language they also opposed speech. According to these people, believing in God is about Him. talking is separate things. A person may not know God even if he believes, or may also believe that it cannot be known. But he believes in his existence. In this case, a belief also

see no deficiency see. Thomas McPherson, "Religion as The Inexpressible", *New Essays in Philosophical Theology*, ed. Antony Flew- Alasdair MacIntyre, London 1955, p. 131-143.

(19) Friedrich Albert Lange, *The History of Materialism and Its Meaning Criticism*, trans. Ahmet Arslan, Izmir 1982, p. 1-29.

(20) Peter Geach, "The Meaning of God", *Religion and Philosophy*, ed. Martin Warner, Cambridge 1922, p. 85-88.

(21) Abdurrahman Bedevî, *Min Tarihi'l-İlhâd fi'l-Islam*, Beirut 1980, p. 27-28; Necip Taylan, *Philosophies of Religion in Islamic Thought*, Istanbul 1994, p. 57-63.

(22) For detailed information, see. Taylan, ae, p. 63-74.

(23) Patrick Masterson, *Atheism and Alienation*, London 1971, p. one.

---

## Page 93

(24) Ludwig Feuerbach, *The Essence of Christianity*, Eng. trans. Marian Evans, London 1843, p. 5-30.

(25) Although the philosophical side of Marx's ideas is rather economic, political and its historical dimensions are at the forefront. According to Marx, who aimed at the proletarian power, any

the level of development achieved by any society through means of production in the period determines the formation of classes. This is the relationship that individuals will establish with each other.

It is determined. Ideology, religion, philosophy, art, moral and legal systems called is formed on this basis. The most important thing for Marx in terms of society is how he will continue his life. This means that people

is the basis of their relationship. The means of production determine social relations.

Production

change of the means of life of people and the relationship of individuals with each other

it will result in changing their formats. From this, the way the classes are organized

It will be affected. The means of production are in the hands of a society, not the whole society.

conflict of class interests will be inevitable. Actually according to Marx, the world history has also been the history of class conflicts. This situation until the revolution, that is, communism

it is natural to last. However, no class will leave its production vehicles easily.

Therefore, the only way to establish communism is by the forced destruction of the existing order.

will now. For detailed information, see. Bryan Magee, *New Thinkers*, ed. Mete Tunçay, Ankara 1985, p. 37-38.

(26) Hans Küng, *Does God Exist ?*, London 1991, p. 229; Sidney Hook, *From Hegel to Marx*, USA, 1962, p. 17-18.

(27) Sigmund Freud, *The Future of an Illusion*, Eng. trans. WD Roson-Scott, London 1934, p. 39-55.

- (28) James Collins, *God's Modern Philosophy*, London 1960, p. 263.
- (29) Jean-Paul Sartre, *Existentialism and Human Emotions*, Eng. trans. b. frecht financing, New York 1957, p. 15.
- (30) David Hume, *Dialogues Concerning Natural Religion*, ed. RHPopkin, USA 1988, p. 77.
- (31) Voltaire, *Philosophical Dictionary*, Eng. trans. and ed. T Besterman, UK 1971, p. 49-58.
- (32) Cemil Sena, *Conception of God*, Istanbul 1978, p. 586-609.
- (33) Paul Edwards, "Common Consent Arguments for the Existence of God" *The Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, ed. Paul Edwards, New York 1972, I, p. 152-154.
- (34) Buhârî, *Sahihu'l-Buhârî*, I-VIII, Istanbul 1981, "Cenâiz" 93.
- (35) Berman, *supra*, p. 7-8.
- (36) For detailed information, see. Mehmet Aydın, *Philosophy of Religion*, 210-229.

---

**Page 94**

- (37) See. John Wisdom, "Gods", *Logic and Language*, ed. AGN Flew, Oxford 1951, p. 139; Aydın Topaloğlu, p. 58-65.
- (38) see. Süleyman Hayri Bolay, *Philosophical Doctrines and Glossary*, Ankara 1996, s. 6-13.
- (39) See. John Cottingham, *The Rationalists*, Oxford 1988, p. 175-185.
- (40) Gaskin, *The Quest for Eternity*, UK 1984, p. 163.
- (41) Mehmet Aydın, "The Intelligence of Believing in the Existence of God" *Islamic Studies*, number: 2, October 1986, p. 12-21.
- (42) Muslim, *Abu'l-Huseyn Muslim b. al-Haccac al-Kuşeyri, Sahihu Muslim*, "faith" 209-211.
- (43) Aydın Topaloğlu, *Atheism Problem in Contemporary British Philosophy*, p. 101-108.
- (44) The word ontology means "asset information" or "asset theory."  
It is a combination of the Greek words "on to: asset" and "logos: information".
- (45) Anselm, "Proslogion", *Philosophers on Religion*, ed. Patrick Sherry, London 1987, p. 43-45.
- (46) Descartes, *A Discourse on Method*, London 1949, p. 120-126.
- (47) Mehmet Aydın, *ibid*, p. 25.
- (48) For discussions see. Aydın Topaloğlu, *ae*, p. 119-121.
- (49) See. Mehmet Aydın, *Philosophy of Religion*, p. 48-66
- (50) *ae*, p. 53-54.
- (51) *ae*, 54-55.
- (52) *ae*, p. 56.
- (53) William Paley, 'Natural Theology', *The Existence of God*, ed. John Hick, New York 1973, p. 99-103.
- (54) William P. Alston, "Teleological Argument for the Existence of God", *The Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, ed. Paul Edwards, London 1972, VIII, p. 85.
- (55) Darwin states that he is agnostic about the existence of God.  
Although he opposed Christianity, he did not deny God's existence. J. Passmore, *A Hundred Years of Philosophy*, UK 1975, p. 40; TA Goudge, "Charles Robert Darwin", *The Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, ed. Paul Edwards, London 1972, II, p. 295; Darwin's For his thoughts on God along with the theory of evolution, see. Teoman Duralı, *Biology Philosophy*, Ankara 1992, p. 175-187.

---

**Page 95**

- (56) J. Passmore, *A Hundred Years of Philosophy*, p. 38; Gaskin, *The Quest for Eternity*, p. 71-3.
- (57) See. P. Edwards, "Atheism", p. 178; Flew "Divine Omnipotence and Human Freedom", *New Essays in Philosophical Theology*, ed. Antony Flew and Alasdair MacIntyre, London 1958, p. 145; JL Mackie, "Evil and Omnipotence", *The Problem of Evil*, Ed. Mary McCord Adams-Robert Merrihew Adams, Oxford 1990, p. 28-33.
- (58) Flew "Divine Omnipotence and Human Freedom", p. 145-7.
- (59) Mackie, *The Miracle of Theism*, Oxford 1982, p. 156-8.
- (60) For detailed information on the problem of evil, see. Aydın Topaloğlu, age, 160-171.
- (61) For detailed information, see. Kemal Eraslan, *Selections from Dîvân-ı Hikmet*, Culture and Ministry of Tourism Publications, Ankara 1983.
- (62) see. Mehmet Aydın, age, p. 66-70.
- (63) J. Hick, *Philosophy of Religion*, 26-27.
- (64) For extensive information on the subject. bk. Mehmet Aydın in *Kant and Contemporary English God-Moral Relationship in Philosophy*, Ankara 1991., p. 26-45.
- (65) Şafak Ural, *Positivist Philosophy*, Istanbul 1986, p. 17-18.
- (66) Ural, ae, p. 19-20.
- (67) Ludwig Feuerbach, *The Essence of Christianity*, Eng. trans. Marian Evans, London 1843, p. 5-30.
- (68) For detailed information, see. Hans Küng, *Does God Exist ?*, p. 229; Robert Tucker, *Philosophy and Myth in Karl Marx*, London 1971, p. 74; James Collins, *God In Modern Philosophy*, p. 255.
- (69) Etienne Gilson, *The Dead End of Atheism*, trans. Veysel Uysal, Istanbul 1991, p. 43-49.
- (70) Sigmund Freud, *Introduction to Psychoanalysis*, trans. Günsel Koptagel-İlal, Istanbul 1984, p. 23-38; Alasdair MacIntyre, "Sigmund Freud", *Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, III, p. 250-252; Collins, ae, p. 299.
- (71) Sigmund Freud, *Totem and Taboo*, London 1983, p. 147-151.
- (72) Pierre Debray-Ritzen, *Freud Scholastic*, trans. A. Fikret Gökdemir-A. Çetin Ertürk, Ankara 1991, p. IX-XI.
- (73) see. Mehmet Aydın, *Philosophy of Religion*, p. 176-179
- (74) Collins, supra, p. 263;

---

## Page 96

- (75) Jean-Paul Sartre, *Existentialism and Human Emotions*, Eng. trans. B. Frechtman, New York 1957, p. 15; For detailed information about Sartre's atheism, see. Kenan Gürsoy, JP *The Problems of Sartre Atheism*, Ankara 1987, p. 33-38.
- (76) Mehmet Aydın, *Philosophy of Religion*, p. 176-178
- (77) See Nietzsche's atheism. Hüseyin Aydın, *Nietzsche as Metaphysicist*, Bursa 1984, p. 1-15., Etienne Gilson, *The Dead End of Atheism*, trans. Veysel Uysal, Istanbul 1991, s. 11-22.
- (78) Malik b. Enes, *al-Muvatta 'I-II*, Istanbul 1981, "Hüsnü'-Hulk" 8.
- (79) For detailed information, see. Mehmet Akgun, *materialism to Turkey Entry and Initial Effects*, p. 11-57.
- (80) For detailed information, see. Süleyman Hayri Bolay, "Dialectic" and "Materialism" mad.

Philosophical Doctrines and Glossary, p. 92-101, 256-262.  
(81) Muslim, "Faith" 93.